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#### Abstract

The adjoint map of a pair of naturally parametrized curves in the Euclidean plane is studied from the point of view of the curvature. A main interest is when the given curve and its adjoint curve share the same natural parameter and the same curvature. For the general linear second order differential equation we introduce a function expressing the deformation of curvatures induced by the adjoint map.
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## 1 Introduction

Fix the smooth regular curve $r: I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{2}=\left(\mathbb{R}^{2},<\cdot, \cdot>_{\text {can }}\right)$ having the Wronskians $W(r)>0$ (hence $r$ is not a line through the origin $O$ of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ ) and $W\left(r^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$. Expressing the given curve as $r(\cdot)=(x(\cdot), y(\cdot))$ its component functions $x, y$ are solutions of the Wronskian linear differential equation:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
W(x, y, u=u(\cdot)):=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
x & y & u \\
x^{\prime} & y^{\prime} & u^{\prime} \\
x^{\prime \prime} & y^{\prime \prime} & u^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right|=0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{2}: u^{\prime \prime}(t)+p(t) u^{\prime}(t)+q(t) u(t)=0,  \tag{1.1}\\
p:=-\frac{[W(r)]^{\prime}}{W(r)}, \quad q:=\frac{W\left(r^{\prime}\right)}{W(r)}, \quad \mathcal{E}^{2}: \frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{u^{\prime}}{W(r)}\right)+\frac{W\left(r^{\prime}\right) u}{(W(r))^{2}}=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is well-known that the general solution of (1.1) is provided by two real constants $C_{1}, C_{2}$ through the formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=C_{1} x(t)+C_{2} y(t), \quad C_{1}=\frac{W(u, y)}{W(r)}, \quad C_{2}=\frac{W(x, u)}{W(r)} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For further use, let $P=P(t)$ be the anti-derivative of the first coefficient function $p=p(t)$ and $k=k(t)$ the usual curvature of $r$; we suppose that $r$ has no inflexion points, so $k>0$ or $k<0$ on I. A main hypothesis of this short note is that $t$ is a natural parameter for $r$; then $I=(0, L(r))$
with $L(r)$ the length of $r$ and the module $|W(r)|$ is the Euclidean distance form the origin $O$ to the tangent line of the curve. The two functions above are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=-\ln W(r), \quad k=W\left(r^{\prime}\right)=q \exp (-P) \rightarrow q \neq 0 . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second order ordinary differential equation (SODE) $\mathcal{E}^{2}$ expresses $u$ as solution of the differential operator:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}:=\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}+p \frac{d}{d t}+q=\sum_{i=0}^{2} \mu_{i} \frac{d^{i}}{d t^{i}}: C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we recall that any $k$-differential operator $\mathcal{D}:=\sum_{i=0}^{k} \mu_{i} \frac{d^{i}}{d t^{i}}$ has an adjoint operator ([3], [6, p. 218]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}_{a}:=\sum_{i=0}^{k}(-1)^{i} \frac{d^{i}}{d t^{i}}\left(\mu_{i} \cdot\right) . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix now the adjoint curve $r_{a}(t)=\left(x_{a}(t), y_{a}(t)\right)$ which corresponds to the adjoint SODE $\mathcal{E}_{a}^{2}$ provided by $\mathcal{D}_{a}$. Our aim is to study the corresponding curvature transformation $k \rightarrow k_{a}$ due to the fundamental theorem of plane curves ( $[1, \mathrm{p} .52]$ ), which states the main role of this differential invariant in the geometry of $r$. Expressing the initial curve in generalized polar coordinates we characterize the curvature-preserving adjoint map and two examples are discussed. Since finding non-selfdual examples of adjoint curves with the same curvature is a difficult problem we introduce a curvature-deformation function of a general linear SODE; again two examples are considered.

## 2 The adjoint map and curvature problems

We fix now the expression of the initial curve:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(t):=\rho(t) \exp (i \omega(t)), \rho>0, \quad r^{\prime}(t)=\rho^{\prime}(t) \exp (i \omega(t))+\rho(t) \omega^{\prime}(t) \exp \left(i \omega(t)+\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence since $t$ is a natural parameter we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(\rho \omega^{\prime}\right)^{2}=1 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The characterization of the curvature-preserving transformations $r \rightarrow r_{a}$ is provided by:
Theorem 2.1. Suppose that $t$ is also a natural parameter for the adjoint curve $r_{a}$. Then the adjoint map $r \rightarrow r_{a}$ is curvature-preserving if and only if:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left[\ln \left(\rho \sqrt{1-\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)^{2}}\right)\right]^{\prime \prime}}{\rho^{2}\left(1-\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)-1}=\frac{\left[\ln \left(\rho \sqrt{1-\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)^{2}}\right)\right]^{\prime}}{\rho \rho^{\prime}} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $t$ is a natural parameter for $r$ we can express $q$ as function of $\rho$. Indeed the system (1.1) means:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x^{\prime \prime}+p x^{\prime}+q x=0  \tag{2.4}\\
y^{\prime \prime}+p y^{\prime}+q y=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Multiplying the first equation with $x^{\prime}$, the second equation with $y^{\prime}$ and adding the resulting relations we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=-\frac{2 p}{\left(\rho^{2}\right)^{\prime}}=-\frac{p}{\rho \rho^{\prime}} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The adjoint SODE of $\mathcal{E}^{2}$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}_{a}^{2}: u_{a}^{\prime \prime}(t)-p(t) u_{a}^{\prime}(t)+\left(q(t)-p^{\prime}(t)\right) u_{a}(t)=0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the hypothesis gives the adjoint curvature:

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{a}=\left(q-p^{\prime}\right) \exp (P) . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equality $k=k_{a}$ (which implies $q \neq p^{\prime}$ ) combined with (2.5) reads as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=\frac{P^{\prime \prime}}{1-\exp (-2 P)}=-\frac{P^{\prime}}{\rho \rho^{\prime}} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.1) we obtain the Wronskian of the initial curve:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(r)=\rho^{2} \omega^{\prime} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, via (2.2), means:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(r)=\rho \sqrt{1-\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)^{2}} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=-\ln \left(\rho \sqrt{1-\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)^{2}}\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing this last formula into (2.8) yields the claimed relation (2.3).
Example 2.2 The degenerate case of the characterization (2.3) is provided by the constancy of $P$, equivalently, from (1.2), the constancy of $W(r)$. From (2.9) we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{2} \omega^{\prime}=\text { constant } \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is exactly the Kepler second law ([2, p. 235]). This means that $r$ is a central conic and it is well-known that the operator (1.3) is self-adjoint (i.e. $p=0$ ) if and only if $r$ is projectively equivalent to a conic ([6, p. 220]). In particular, if $\rho=$ constant $=R>0$ then the condition (2.2) gives $\omega(t)=\frac{t}{R}$ i.e. we have the well-known natural parametrization of the circle $\mathcal{C}(O, R)$.

Example 2.3 We can start now directly with a naturally parametrized curve. It is the Cornu spiral $\left([1\right.$, p. 54] $) r:(0,+\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(t)=\left(\int_{0}^{t} \cos \left(u+\frac{u^{2}}{2}\right) d u, \int_{0}^{t} \sin \left(u+\frac{u^{2}}{2}\right) d u\right), \quad k(t)=t+1>1 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for which we obtain the coefficient functions:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
P(t)=-\ln \left[\sin \left(t+\frac{t^{2}}{2}\right) \int_{0}^{t} \cos \left(u+\frac{u^{2}}{2}\right) d u-\cos \left(t+\frac{t^{2}}{2}\right) \int_{0}^{t} \sin \left(u+\frac{u^{2}}{2}\right) d u\right]  \tag{2.14}\\
q(t)=(t+1)\left[\sin \left(t+\frac{t^{2}}{2}\right) \int_{0}^{t} \cos \left(u+\frac{u^{2}}{2}\right) d u-\cos \left(t+\frac{t^{2}}{2}\right) \int_{0}^{t} \sin \left(u+\frac{u^{2}}{2}\right) d u\right]^{-1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Due to the very complicated computations we use the Wolfram Alpha to find the SODE satisfies by the components of $r$. Unfortunately, this free software provides not a SODE but a third order differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1+t) U^{\prime \prime \prime}-U^{\prime \prime}+(1+t)^{3} U^{\prime}=0 \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, for a naturally parametrized plane curve it is well-known to satisfy the third order differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}^{3}: k U^{\prime \prime \prime}-k^{\prime} U^{\prime \prime}+k^{3} U^{\prime}=0 \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

so, the relation (2.15) is exactly a recognition of this fact. The adjoint equation to (2.16) is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}_{a}^{3}: U_{a}^{\prime \prime \prime}+\frac{k^{\prime}}{k} U_{a}^{\prime \prime}+\left[2\left(\frac{k^{\prime}}{k}\right)^{\prime}+k^{2}\right] U_{d}^{\prime}+\left[\left(\frac{k^{\prime}}{k}\right)^{\prime \prime}+2 k k^{\prime}\right] U_{a}=0 . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 2.4 Trying to connect the SODE (1.1) with the third order differential equation (2.16) we derive $\mathcal{E}^{2}$ in order to obtain $d \mathcal{E}^{2}=\mathcal{E}^{3}$; then the equality of the coefficients with (2.16) means:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
p=-\frac{k^{\prime}}{k},  \tag{2.18}\\
p^{\prime}+q=k^{2}, \\
q^{\prime}=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Therefore, both coefficients $p, q$ are given as functions of $k$, which is supposed to be strictly positive:

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=k^{2}+\left(\frac{k^{\prime}}{k}\right)^{\prime}=\text { constant }=C, \quad P=\ln \frac{1}{k} . \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The choice $C=1$ is inspired by the hypothesis of natural parameter for $t$; then the above second order non-linear differential equation in the unknown $k$ has an implicit solution, provided by Wolfram Alpha:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}+t=\int_{1}^{k(t)} \frac{d u}{\sqrt{\mathcal{C} u^{2}-u^{4}+2 u^{2} \ln u}}, \quad \mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{R} . \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

We study now the same problem for the adjoint equations i.e. when $d \mathcal{E}_{a}^{2}=\mathcal{E}_{a}^{3}$. The derivative of $\mathcal{E}_{a}^{2}$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{a}^{\prime \prime \prime}-p u_{a}^{\prime \prime}+\left(q-2 p^{\prime}\right) u_{a}^{\prime}+\left(q^{\prime}-p^{\prime \prime}\right) u_{a}=0 \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this equation coincides with (2.17) if and only if:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=-\frac{k^{\prime}}{k}, \quad q=k^{2} . \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows then that (1.1) is similar to (2.16) but as second order differential equation and not as a third order one. Comparing (2.22) with the initial expression (1.1) of the coefficient functions $p, q$ it results:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(r)=\mathcal{C} k, \quad W\left(r^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{C} k^{3}, \quad \mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{*} . \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The supplementary hypothesis of natural parametrization for $r$ reduces the equations above to $k^{2}=\frac{1}{\mathcal{C}}$ and then $r$ is a circle and (1.1) is a self-adjoint SODE.

Let us recall now some known facts concerning the transformation of parameter in a linear $\operatorname{SODE} \mathcal{E}: u^{\prime \prime}+p^{\prime}+q u=0$. Let $\tilde{t}=\tilde{t}(t)$ such a change of parameter; then the new linear SODE is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\tilde{t} \tilde{t}}+\tilde{p} u_{\tilde{t}}+\tilde{q} u=0, \quad p=\tilde{t}^{\prime} \tilde{p}-\frac{\tilde{t}^{\prime \prime}}{\tilde{t}^{\prime}}, \quad q=\left(\tilde{t}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \tilde{q} . \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows two relative invariants:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{q} d t=\sqrt{\tilde{q}} d \tilde{t}, \quad\left(2 p+\frac{q^{\prime}}{q}\right) d t=\left(2 \tilde{p}+\frac{\tilde{q}_{\tilde{t}}}{\tilde{q}}\right) d t \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore we have the absolute invariant:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\mathcal{E}):=\frac{2 p+\frac{q^{\prime}}{q}}{\sqrt{q}}=\frac{2 p q+q^{\prime}}{q^{\frac{3}{2}}} . \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence two linear SODEs, $\mathcal{E}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$, are equivalent through a transformation $\tilde{t}=\tilde{t}(t)$ if and only if $I(\mathcal{E})=I(\tilde{\mathcal{E}})$. For the two parts of the example 2.4 above we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(\mathcal{E}\left(p=-\frac{k^{\prime}}{k}, q=1\right)\right)=2 p=-2 \frac{k^{\prime}}{k}, \quad I\left(\mathcal{E}\left(p=-\frac{k^{\prime}}{k}, q=k^{2}\right)\right)=0 . \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is worth to remark that in both cases studied in the example 2.4 the first coefficient function is $p=-\frac{k^{\prime}}{k}$. In this case we can perform a change of parameter which reduces the SODE $\mathcal{E}^{2}$ to a simpler form. Namely, let $\tau=\tau(t)$ be the structural angle function of $r$ i.e. $\tau(t)=\int_{t_{0}}^{t} k(u) d u$; then $r^{\prime}(t)=\exp (i \tau(t))$. For this new parameter we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{\prime}=k \frac{d r}{d \tau}, \quad r^{\prime \prime}=k^{2} \frac{d^{2} r}{d \tau^{2}}+k^{\prime} \frac{d r}{d \tau} \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then the SODE $\mathcal{E}^{2}: r^{\prime \prime}-\frac{k^{\prime}}{k} r^{\prime}+q(t) r(t)=0$ reduces to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
k^{2}(t(\tau)) \frac{d^{2} r}{d \tau^{2}}(\tau)+q(t(\tau)) r(t(\tau))=0 \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

We finish this section with an example showing again the complexity of finding $\mathcal{E}^{2}$ even for a simple curve.

Example 2.5 The well-known catenary curve is ([4]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(t)=\left(\ln \left(t+\sqrt{1+t^{2}}\right), \sqrt{1+t^{2}}\right) \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
k(t)=\frac{1}{1+t^{2}} \in(0,1), \tau(t)=\arctan t \rightarrow r^{\prime}(\tau)=\exp (i \tau)  \tag{2.31}\\
W(r)(t)=\frac{t \ln \left(t+\sqrt{1+t^{2}}\right)}{\sqrt{1+t^{2}}}-1 \\
W(r)(\tau)=\sin \tau \ln \frac{1+\sin \tau}{\cos \tau}-1=\sin \tau \ln \frac{\cos \frac{\tau}{\tau}+\sin \frac{\tau}{\tau}}{\cos \frac{\tau}{2}-\sin \frac{\tau}{2}}-1
\end{array}\right.
$$

It results:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
p(t)=-\frac{1}{t}\left[\frac{1}{1+t^{2}}+\frac{\sqrt{1+t^{2}}}{t \ln \left(t+\sqrt{1+t^{2}}\right)-\sqrt{1+t^{2}}}\right]  \tag{2.32}\\
q(t)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+t^{2}}\left[t \ln \left(t+\sqrt{1+t^{2}}\right)-\sqrt{1+t^{2}}\right]} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Obviously, considered separately the functions $x, y$ satisfy more simple SODE. For $y$ we have $y^{\prime}=\frac{t}{1+t^{2}} y$ which by derivation means:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{t}{1+t^{2}} y^{\prime}+\frac{t^{2}-1}{\left(1+t^{2}\right)^{2}} y=0 \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The adjoint SODE of this last SODE is $y_{a}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{t}{1+t^{2}} y_{a}^{\prime}=0$ with the solution $y_{a}(t)=x(t)=$ $\ln \left(t+\sqrt{1+t^{2}}\right)$.

## 3 The curvature-deformation function of a linear SODE

Motivated by the complexity to find curvature-preserving adjoint maps, in this section we introduce a measure of the difference of curvatures. It is worth to point out that this notion works directly for a SODE (1.1), irrespective if it represents or not a given plane curve.

Definition 3.1 The curvature-deformation function of the linear SODE $\mathcal{E}$ expressed in (1.1) is the smooth function $C D(\mathcal{E}): I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
C D(\mathcal{E}):=q \exp (-P)-\left(q-p^{\prime}\right) \exp (P), \quad P=\int p \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows directly:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C D(\mathcal{E})=P^{\prime \prime} \exp (P)-2 q \sinh (P) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then given a pair of smooth functions $(p, \mathcal{F})$ there exists a unique $q$ such that $C D(\mathcal{E})=\mathcal{F}$, namely:

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=\frac{P^{\prime \prime} \exp (P)-\mathcal{F}}{2 \sinh (P)} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 3.2 Fix the constants $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ and the Gauss hypergeometric equation with $I=(1,+\infty)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma): t(t-1) u^{\prime \prime}+[(\alpha+\beta+1) t-\gamma] u^{\prime}+\alpha \beta u=0 . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\alpha+\beta=\gamma=1$ we obtain $P(t)=\ln \left(t^{2}-t\right)$ and finally:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C D(\mathcal{E})(t)=\alpha \beta\left[\frac{1}{\left(t^{2}-t\right)^{2}}-1\right]-2-\frac{1}{t^{2}-t} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The adjoint SODE to the particular hypergeometric $\operatorname{SODE}(\alpha+\beta=\gamma=1)$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}_{a}(\alpha+\beta=1=\gamma): t(t-1) u_{a}^{\prime \prime}-(2 t-1) u_{a}^{\prime}+\left(\alpha \beta+2+\frac{1}{t^{2}-t}\right) u_{a}=0 . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The absolute invariant of our particular hypergeometric SODE is (supposing $\alpha \beta>0$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\alpha+\beta=1=\gamma)=\frac{(2 t-1)\left(t^{2}-t\right)}{\sqrt{\alpha \beta}}>0 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 3.3 Let $\mathcal{E}: u^{\prime \prime}=\Lambda\left(u^{\prime}, u, t\right)$ be a general SODE. In the paper [5] is considered a Wunschmann-type condition for it:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{u t}+\Lambda_{u u} u^{\prime}+\Lambda_{u u^{\prime}} \Lambda=2 \Lambda_{u} \Lambda_{u^{\prime}} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This condition means that on the two-dimensional manifold of solutions of $\mathcal{E}$ (conform (1.2)) there exists a diagonal Riemannian (or semi-Riemannian) metric satisfying the Hamilton-Jacobi equation. If $\mathcal{E}$ is a linear one:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda\left(u^{\prime}, u, t\right):=-p(t) u^{\prime}-q(t) u \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the relation (3.8) means:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-q^{\prime}=2 p q \rightarrow I(\mathcal{E})=0 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then supposing $q>0$ it results $P=\ln \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}$. We compute the curvature-deformation function in terms of $q$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
C D(\mathcal{E})=q^{\frac{3}{2}}-q^{\frac{1}{2}}-\frac{1}{2 q}\left(\frac{q^{\prime}}{q}\right)^{\prime} . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the case $q=1$ discussed in the previous section gives a vanishing $C D(\mathcal{E})$.
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