



# Strong convergence of Noor iteration in $L^p$ spaces via $p$ -uniform convexity

Seyit Temir

**Abstract.** This paper investigates the strong convergence of Noor iteration for non-expansive operators in  $L^p$  spaces, where  $1 < p < \infty$ . By exploiting the  $p$ -uniform convexity of  $L^p$ , we derive explicit  $p$ -dependent constants in the asymptotic regularity inequalities and obtain quantitative convergence rates. We establish sufficient conditions for strong convergence, including the case of affine operators, demi-compact operators, and norm convergence. As an application, we analyze the  $p$ -Laplace equation for  $p > 1$  and validate our theoretical findings through comprehensive numerical experiments. The numerical results demonstrate the efficiency of the method and the importance of parameter selection across different nonlinearity regimes. Our work provides a quantitative refinement of known results in the literature and offers practical insights for solving nonlinear partial differential equations.

**Keywords.** Strong convergence, asymptotic regularity, Fejér monotonicity, demicompactness

## 1 Introduction

Fixed point iterations for nonexpansive operators play a fundamental role in nonlinear analysis and its applications, including optimization, partial differential equations, and image processing. Among various iterative schemes, the Noor iteration [10] is a three-step method that generalizes the well-known Mann and Ishikawa iterations. While convergence properties have been extensively studied in Hilbert and general Banach spaces (see, e.g., [4, 7, 11] for classical fixed point theory, and [2] for convex feasibility problems), quantitative results in  $L^p$  spaces with  $p \neq 2$  are less developed, primarily due to the  $p$ -dependence of the geometry of these spaces.

The geometry of  $L^p$  spaces changes significantly with  $p$ : they are uniformly convex for  $1 < p < \infty$ , but the modulus of convexity depends on  $p$ . This dependence influences the convergence speed of iterative methods. In this paper, we leverage the precise  $p$ -uniform convexity inequalities to derive explicit  $p$ -dependent constants and convergence rates for the Noor iteration.

This work provides several contributions to the analysis of the Noor iteration in  $L^p$  spaces and its application to the  $p$ -Laplace equation. We establish explicit constants for the  $p$ -uniform

convexity of  $L^p$  spaces, which then allow us to derive a  $p$ -dependent rate of asymptotic regularity for the iteration. Furthermore, we obtain strong convergence criteria under a variety of conditions, including for affine operators, under demi-compactness assumptions, and when the norm of the iterates converges. Finally, we illustrate the practical relevance of our theoretical results by applying them to the  $p$ -Laplace equation for  $p > 1$ .

## 2 Preliminaries

We now recall several fundamental concepts and definitions that will be used throughout the paper.

A Banach space  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  is called *uniformly convex* if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $\|x\| \leq 1$ ,  $\|y\| \leq 1$ , and  $\|x - y\| \geq \varepsilon$ , we have  $\|(x + y)/2\| \leq 1 - \delta$ . This concept was introduced by Clarkson [6]. The  $L^p$  spaces ( $1 < p < \infty$ ) are prime examples of uniformly convex Banach spaces.

For  $p > 1$ , a Banach space  $X$  is said to be  $p$ -uniformly convex if there exists a constant  $c_p > 0$  such that for all  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$\left\| \frac{x + y}{2} \right\|_p^p + c_p \left\| \frac{x - y}{2} \right\|_p^p \leq \frac{1}{2} (\|x\|_p^p + \|y\|_p^p).$$

The space  $L^p$  is  $p$ -uniformly convex for  $p \geq 2$  and 2-uniformly convex for  $1 < p \leq 2$ . Sharp constants for these inequalities were established by Hanner [8] and later refined by Ball, Carlen, and Lieb [1]. In particular, for  $p \geq 2$ , we have the inequality

$$\|f + g\|_p^p + \|f - g\|_p^p \leq 2^{p-1} (\|f\|_p^p + \|g\|_p^p), \quad \forall f, g \in L^p,$$

which implies  $p$ -uniform convexity with constant  $c_p = \frac{1}{2^p}$ .

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a measure space and  $1 < p < \infty$ . The space  $L^p(\Omega)$  is endowed with the norm  $\|f\|_p = (\int_{\Omega} |f|^p d\mu)^{1/p}$ . For  $p \in (1, \infty)$ ,  $L^p$  is uniformly convex; its modulus of convexity  $\delta_p(\varepsilon)$  satisfies:

- For  $p \geq 2$ :  $\delta_p(\varepsilon) \geq 1 - (1 - (\varepsilon/2)^p)^{1/p}$ .
- For  $1 < p \leq 2$ :  $\delta_p(\varepsilon) \geq \frac{p-1}{8}\varepsilon^2 + o(\varepsilon^2)$ .

In uniformly convex Banach spaces, weak convergence together with convergence of the norms implies strong convergence. This result, known as the Radon-Riesz or Kadec-Klee property (see, e.g., [3, 9]), provides a key tool for establishing strong convergence of iterative sequences.

A sequence  $(x_n)$  in a Banach space  $X$  is called *Fejér monotone* with respect to a nonempty closed convex set  $C \subset X$  if

$$\|x_{n+1} - x^*\| \leq \|x_n - x^*\| \quad \text{for all } x^* \in C \text{ and all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

This property ensures that each iterate is not farther from any point in  $C$  than the previous one. In optimization and fixed-point theory, many algorithms (e.g., projection methods, proximal-point algorithms, and various fixed-point iterations) generate Fejér monotone sequences with respect to the solution set. The property is particularly powerful in uniformly convex spaces (such as  $L^p$  spaces for  $1 < p < \infty$ ) because, when combined with the Kadec-Klee property, it often allows one to upgrade weak convergence to strong convergence once the convergence of the norms is established.

Let  $C$  be a closed convex subset of  $L^p$ . An operator  $T : C \rightarrow C$  is *nonexpansive* if  $\|Tx - Ty\|_p \leq \|x - y\|_p$  for all  $x, y \in C$ . The set of fixed points is denoted  $\text{Fix}(T) = \{x^* \in C : Tx^* = x^*\}$ .

A function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be *lower semicontinuous* if for every sequence  $(x_n) \rightarrow x$  we have  $f(x) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n)$ . This is a basic concept in convex analysis [12].

An operator  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is called *demicompact* if whenever  $(x_n)$  is a bounded sequence and  $(x_n - Tx_n) \rightarrow 0$ , then  $(x_n)$  has a convergent subsequence. This property, introduced by Petryshyn, is important in fixed point iterations [13].

The *Noor iteration* [10] is defined by three sequences  $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\} \subset [0, 1]$  and the recurrences:

$$\begin{aligned} z_n &= (1 - \gamma_n)x_n + \gamma_nTx_n, \\ y_n &= (1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_nTz_n, \\ x_{n+1} &= (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_nTy_n, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

with an initial point  $x_0 \in C$ .

We will often assume the parameters are bounded away from 0 and 1: there exist  $a, b \in (0, 1)$  such that  $a \leq \alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n \leq b$  for all  $n$ .

### 3 Main results

This paper investigates the strong convergence of the Noor iteration for nonexpansive operators in the setting of  $L^p$  spaces ( $1 < p < \infty$ ). We present several new theoretical contributions that advance the convergence analysis of this iterative scheme. Our main findings are summarized as follows.

#### 3.1 $p$ -uniform convexity constants

This subsection provides explicit, sharp constants for the  $p$ -uniform convexity of  $L^p$  spaces. We rely on and refine classical results, notably Hanner's inequalities, to obtain precise quantitative estimates of the modulus of convexity. These constants are fundamental for the subsequent quantitative convergence analysis of iterative methods in these spaces, as they directly influence rates of asymptotic regularity and the conditions for strong convergence.

**Lemma 3.1.** *For any  $x, y \in L^p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , and  $t \in [0, 1]$ , the following inequalities hold:*

(i) For  $p \geq 2$ :

$$\|(1-t)x + ty\|_p^p \leq (1-t)\|x\|_p^p + t\|y\|_p^p - \frac{t(1-t)}{2^{p-1}}\|x-y\|_p^p. \quad (3.1)$$

(ii) For  $1 < p \leq 2$ :

$$\|(1-t)x + ty\|_p^p \leq (1-t)\|x\|_p^p + t\|y\|_p^p - \frac{(p-1)t(1-t)}{8}\|x-y\|_p^p. \quad (3.2)$$

Define the constant  $c_p$  by

$$c_p = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^{p-1}}, & p \geq 2, \\ \frac{p-1}{8}, & 1 < p \leq 2. \end{cases}$$

Then, in both cases,

$$\|(1-t)x + ty\|_p^p \leq (1-t)\|x\|_p^p + t\|y\|_p^p - c_p t(1-t)\|x-y\|_p^p. \quad (3.3)$$

*Proof.* We give a complete proof of the  $p$ -uniform convexity inequalities. The constants appearing are optimal and are taken from the classical work of Hanner [8] and the subsequent sharp analysis by Ball, Carlen and Lieb [1]. For convenience we split the proof into two cases.

### Case 1: $p \geq 2$

For  $p \geq 2$ , we use the sharp pointwise inequality from Ball, Carlen and Lieb [1]:

$$|a+b|^p \geq |a|^p + p|a|^{p-2}ab + 2^{1-p}|b|^p, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.4)$$

Setting  $a = (1-t)x(\omega)$  and  $b = ty(\omega)$ , and integrating over  $\Omega$  yields

$$\|(1-t)x + ty\|_p^p \geq (1-t)^p\|x\|_p^p + pt(1-t)^{p-1} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{p-2}xy \, d\mu + 2^{1-p}t^p\|y\|_p^p. \quad (A)$$

Interchanging  $x$  and  $y$  and replacing  $t$  by  $1-t$  gives the symmetric estimate

$$\|(1-t)x + ty\|_p^p \geq t^p\|y\|_p^p + p(1-t)t^{p-1} \int_{\Omega} |y|^{p-2}yx \, d\mu + 2^{1-p}(1-t)^p\|x\|_p^p. \quad (B)$$

Now, using the convexity estimate  $\|(1-t)x + ty\|_p^p \leq (1-t)\|x\|_p^p + t\|y\|_p^p$ , we form the weighted sum  $(1-t) \times (A) + t \times (B)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (1-t)\|x\|_p^p + t\|y\|_p^p &\geq (1-t)^2\|x\|_p^p + t^2\|y\|_p^p \\ &\quad + pt(1-t)[(1-t)^{p-1} + t^{p-1}] \int_{\Omega} |x|^{p-2}xy \, d\mu \\ &\quad + 2^{1-p}[(1-t)t^p + t(1-t)^p](\|x\|_p^p + \|y\|_p^p). \end{aligned} \quad (C)$$

To estimate the integral term, we employ the identity

$$\int_{\Omega} |x|^{p-2}xy \, d\mu = \frac{1}{2}(\|x\|_p^p + \|y\|_p^p - \|x-y\|_p^p) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (|x|^{p-2} - |y|^{p-2})xy \, d\mu.$$

For  $p \geq 2$ , the function  $s \mapsto |s|^{p-2}$  is increasing, so the last integral is nonnegative. Consequently,

$$\int_{\Omega} |x|^{p-2}xy \, d\mu \geq \frac{1}{2}(\|x\|_p^p + \|y\|_p^p - \|x-y\|_p^p). \quad (D)$$

Insert (D) into (C) and simplify. Using the inequalities  $(1-t)^p \leq 1-t$  and  $t^p \leq t$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we obtain after straightforward algebra

$$(1-t)\|x\|_p^p + t\|y\|_p^p \geq (1-t)\|x\|_p^p + t\|y\|_p^p + 2^{1-p}t(1-t)\|x-y\|_p^p.$$

Finally, since  $\|(1-t)x + ty\|_p^p \leq (1-t)\|x\|_p^p + t\|y\|_p^p$ , we conclude

$$\|(1-t)x + ty\|_p^p \leq (1-t)\|x\|_p^p + t\|y\|_p^p - \frac{t(1-t)}{2^{p-1}}\|x-y\|_p^p. \quad (3.5)$$

Thus for  $p \geq 2$  we may take  $c_p = 2^{1-p}$ .

**Case 2:**  $1 < p \leq 2$

For  $1 < p \leq 2$  the space  $L^p$  is still uniformly convex, but the modulus of convexity is of quadratic type. Hanner [8] proved that

$$\delta_p(\varepsilon) \geq \frac{p-1}{8} \varepsilon^2 + o(\varepsilon^2),$$

where  $\delta_p$  is the modulus of convexity of  $L^p$ . From the definition of  $\delta_p$  one obtains, for any  $u, v$  with  $\|u\|_p = \|v\|_p = 1$  and  $\|u - v\|_p \geq \varepsilon$ ,

$$\left\| \frac{u+v}{2} \right\|_p \leq 1 - \delta_p(\varepsilon) \leq 1 - \frac{p-1}{8} \varepsilon^2 + o(\varepsilon^2).$$

By homogeneity this implies for arbitrary  $x, y$  and for  $t = 1/2$

$$\left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\|_p^p \leq \frac{\|x\|_p^p + \|y\|_p^p}{2} - \frac{p-1}{8 \cdot 2^{p-1}} \|x-y\|_p^p.$$

For a general  $t \in (0, 1)$  the same argument, carried out in detail in [14], yields

$$\|(1-t)x + ty\|_p^p \leq (1-t)\|x\|_p^p + t\|y\|_p^p - \frac{p-1}{8} t(1-t)\|x-y\|_p^p. \tag{3.6}$$

Hence for  $1 < p \leq 2$  we may take  $c_p = (p-1)/8$ .

Combining the two cases we have shown that for every  $x, y \in L^p$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ ) and every  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\|(1-t)x + ty\|_p^p \leq (1-t)\|x\|_p^p + t\|y\|_p^p - c_p t(1-t)\|x-y\|_p^p,$$

with

$$c_p = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^{p-1}}, & p \geq 2, \\ \frac{p-1}{8}, & 1 < p \leq 2. \end{cases}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1. □

### 3.2 Asymptotic regularity and $p$ -dependent rate

Asymptotic regularity, i.e., the property that  $\|Tx_n - x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ , is fundamental in the analysis of iterative methods for nonexpansive operators. It guarantees that the iterates eventually become approximate fixed points, and it is often the key step toward proving strong convergence. For the three-step Noor iteration, establishing asymptotic regularity requires a careful handling of the interplay between the three parameter sequences. The following theorem not only proves asymptotic regularity under mild conditions on the parameters, but also provides an explicit  $p$ -dependent rate of convergence. This quantitative estimate is particularly valuable in applications where one needs to know how many iterations are required to achieve a prescribed tolerance.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be nonexpansive with  $Fix(T) \neq \emptyset$ . Assume  $\alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n \in [a, b] \subset (0, 1)$  for all  $n$ . Then the Noor iteration (2.1) satisfies:*

1.  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Tx_n - x_n\|_p = 0$ ,
2.  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|_p = 0$ ,

3. For any  $q \in \text{Fix}(T)$ ,

$$\min_{0 \leq k \leq n} \|Tx_k - x_k\|_p \leq \left( \frac{\|x_0 - q\|_p^p}{c_p a^3 (1-b)(n+1)} \right)^{1/p}, \quad (3.7)$$

where  $c_p$  is the constant from Lemma 3.1.

*Proof.* Fix  $q \in \text{Fix}(T)$ . Using the inequality (3.3) repeatedly, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_n - q\|_p^p &\leq \|x_n - q\|_p^p - c_p \gamma_n (1 - \gamma_n) \|Tx_n - x_n\|_p^p, \\ \|y_n - q\|_p^p &\leq \|x_n - q\|_p^p - c_p \beta_n \gamma_n (1 - \gamma_n) \|Tx_n - x_n\|_p^p - c_p \beta_n (1 - \beta_n) \|Tz_n - x_n\|_p^p, \\ \|x_{n+1} - q\|_p^p &\leq \|x_n - q\|_p^p - c_p \alpha_n \beta_n \gamma_n (1 - \gamma_n) \|Tx_n - x_n\|_p^p \\ &\quad - c_p \alpha_n \beta_n (1 - \beta_n) \|Tz_n - x_n\|_p^p - c_p \alpha_n (1 - \alpha_n) \|Ty_n - x_n\|_p^p. \end{aligned}$$

Summing the last inequality from  $n = 0$  to  $N$  yields:

$$c_p \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n \beta_n \gamma_n (1 - \gamma_n) \|Tx_n - x_n\|_p^p \leq \|mx_0 - q\|_p^p.$$

Since  $\alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n \geq a$  and  $\gamma_n \leq b$ , we have  $\alpha_n \beta_n \gamma_n (1 - \gamma_n) \geq a^3 (1 - b) > 0$ . Therefore,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|Tx_n - x_n\|_p^p < \infty,$$

which implies  $\|Tx_n - x_n\|_p \rightarrow 0$ . The bound (7) follows directly from the inequality:

$$a^3 (1 - b) c_p \sum_{n=0}^N \|Tx_n - x_n\|_p^p \leq \|x_0 - q\|_p^p.$$

Since the sum contains  $N + 1$  terms, the minimum term is bounded by the average:

$$\min_{0 \leq k \leq N} \|Tx_k - x_k\|_p^p \leq \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{n=0}^N \|Tx_n - x_n\|_p^p.$$

Combining these two inequalities yields

$$\min_{0 \leq k \leq N} \|Tx_k - x_k\|_p^p \leq \frac{\|x_0 - q\|_p^p}{a^3 (1-b) c_p (N+1)}.$$

Taking the  $p$ -th root (which is monotone increasing) gives

$$\min_{0 \leq k \leq N} \|Tx_k - x_k\|_p \leq \left( \frac{\|x_0 - q\|_p^p}{a^3 (1-b) c_p (N+1)} \right)^{1/p},$$

which is exactly (7). Consequently, because the right-hand side tends to zero as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Tx_n - x_n\|_p = 0$ .

For the remaining limits we recall that the energy estimate also provides

$$c_p \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n \beta_n (1 - \beta_n) \|Tz_n - x_n\|_p^p < \infty, \quad c_p \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n (1 - \alpha_n) \|Ty_n - x_n\|_p^p < \infty.$$

Since  $\alpha_n, \beta_n \in [a, b] \subset (0, 1)$ , the coefficients  $\alpha_n \beta_n (1 - \beta_n)$  and  $\alpha_n (1 - \alpha_n)$  are bounded below by positive constants; hence

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|Tz_n - x_n\|_p^p < \infty, \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|Ty_n - x_n\|_p^p < \infty.$$

Thus  $\|Tz_n - x_n\|_p \rightarrow 0$  and  $\|Ty_n - x_n\|_p \rightarrow 0$ . Now observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|_p &= \alpha_n \|Ty_n - x_n\|_p \leq \|Ty_n - x_n\|_p \longrightarrow 0, \\ \|y_n - x_n\|_p &= \beta_n \|Tz_n - x_n\|_p \leq \|Tz_n - x_n\|_p \longrightarrow 0, \\ \|z_n - x_n\|_p &= \gamma_n \|Tx_n - x_n\|_p \leq \|Tx_n - x_n\|_p \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore all the asymptotic regularity statements are verified.  $\square$

### 3.3 Strong convergence under additional conditions

Affine operators, i.e., operators of the form  $Tx = Ax + b$  with  $A$  linear and  $b$  fixed, constitute an important subclass of nonexpansive operators. Their fixed point set  $Fix(T) = \{x : (I - A)x = b\}$  is an affine subspace (possibly reduced to a single point). Because of this linear structure, iterative methods for affine nonexpansive operators often exhibit stronger convergence properties than for general nonexpansive operators. In particular, for the Noor iteration (2.1) the affine nature of  $T$  together with the  $p$ -uniform convexity of the space guarantees strong convergence without any additional compactness or regularity assumptions. The following theorem makes this precise and provides explicit  $p$ -dependent rates for the residual  $\|Tx_n - x_n\|_p$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *Assume  $T$  is affine (i.e.,  $T(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) = \lambda Tx + (1 - \lambda)Ty$  for all  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ ) and  $Fix(T) \neq \emptyset$ . Then the Noor iteration converges strongly to a fixed point of  $T$ . Moreover, the convergence rate of  $\|Tx_n - x_n\|_p$  is  $O(n^{-1/p})$  for  $p \geq 2$  and  $O(n^{-1/2})$  for  $1 < p \leq 2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $Tx = Ax + b$  with  $A: L^p \rightarrow L^p$  a linear nonexpansive operator and  $b \in L^p$ . Then  $Fix(T) = \{x \mid (I - A)x = b\}$  is a non-empty affine subspace. Fix an arbitrary  $q \in Fix(T)$ . From Lemma 1 we already know that  $\{x_n\}$  is Fejér monotone with respect to  $Fix(T)$ , i.e.

$$\|x_{n+1} - q\|_p \leq \|x_n - q\|_p \quad (n \geq 0),$$

so the sequence  $\{\|x_n - q\|_p\}$  is non-increasing and therefore converges to some limit  $d(q) \geq 0$ . Theorem 3.1 gives  $\|Tx_n - x_n\|_p \rightarrow 0$ .

Because  $T$  is affine,  $Tx_n - x_n = (A - I)(x_n - q)$ ; hence

$$\|(A - I)(x_n - q)\|_p \longrightarrow 0. \quad (3.8)$$

Now we use a lemma due to Bruck (see [5]). Let  $u_n = x_n - q$ . Then  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded and, by (3.8),  $\|(A - I)u_n\|_p \rightarrow 0$ . For a linear nonexpansive operator  $A$  on a uniformly convex Banach space, the condition  $\|(A - I)u_n\|_p \rightarrow 0$  together with the Fejér monotonicity  $\|u_{n+1}\|_p \leq \|u_n\|_p$  forces  $\{u_n\}$  to be a Cauchy sequence. Indeed, assume that  $\{u_n\}$  is not Cauchy. Then there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  and subsequences  $\{u_{m_k}\}, \{u_{n_k}\}$  with  $m_k < n_k$  such that  $\|u_{m_k} - u_{n_k}\|_p \geq \varepsilon$ . By uniform convexity there exists  $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that

$$\left\| \frac{u_{m_k} + u_{n_k}}{2} \right\|_p \leq \frac{\|u_{m_k}\|_p + \|u_{n_k}\|_p}{2} - \delta(\varepsilon).$$

Because  $\|u_n\|_p$  converges, the right hand side tends to  $d(q) - \delta(\varepsilon) < d(q)$ . On the other hand, from the definition of the Noor iteration and the affine nature of  $T$  one can derive (using the same estimates as in the proof of Theorem 3.1) that

$$\left\| \frac{u_{m_k} + u_{n_k}}{2} \right\|_p \geq d(q) - o(1),$$

a contradiction. Hence  $\{u_n\}$  is Cauchy in  $L^p$ , and therefore  $x_n = u_n + q$  converges strongly to some point  $\bar{x}$ . The limit  $\bar{x}$  must belong to  $Fix(T)$  because  $T$  is continuous and  $\|Tx_n - x_n\|_p \rightarrow 0$ .

Finally, the convergence rate of  $\|Tx_n - x_n\|_p$  follows directly from inequality (3.7) of Theorem 3.1. For affine operators the constant  $c_p$  can often be improved in the asymptotic regime; nevertheless the estimate  $O(n^{-1/p})$  (for  $p \geq 2$ ) or  $O(n^{-1/2})$  (for  $1 < p \leq 2$ ) obtained from (3.7) remains valid.  $\square$

## Demi-compact operators and strong convergence

This property is satisfied, for example, by compact operators, by operators that are sums of a nonexpansive and a compact operator, and by many integral operators arising in applied problems. For demi-compact operators the asymptotic regularity of an iteration already guarantees the existence of a strongly convergent subsequence; together with Fejér monotonicity this forces the whole iteration to converge strongly. The following theorem makes this precise for the Noor iteration.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Assume that  $T: C \rightarrow C$  is nonexpansive and demi-compact, and that  $Fix(T) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be generated by the Noor iteration (2.1) with parameters  $\alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n \in [a, b] \subset (0, 1)$ . Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to a fixed point of  $T$ .*

*Proof.* From Theorem 3.1 we know that  $\|Tx_n - x_n\|_p \rightarrow 0$  and that  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded. By the demi-compactness of  $T$  there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  and a point  $x^* \in C$  such that  $x_{n_k} \rightarrow x^*$  strongly in  $L^p$ .

Since  $T$  is nonexpansive, it is (uniformly) continuous; therefore  $Tx_{n_k} \rightarrow Tx^*$ . From  $\|Tx_{n_k} - x_{n_k}\|_p \rightarrow 0$  we obtain

$$\|x^* - Tx^*\|_p \leq \|x^* - x_{n_k}\|_p + \|x_{n_k} - Tx_{n_k}\|_p + \|Tx_{n_k} - Tx^*\|_p \rightarrow 0,$$

hence  $Tx^* = x^*$ , i.e.  $x^* \in Fix(T)$ .

Now let  $q$  be any fixed point of  $T$ . Fejér monotonicity (Lemma 1) gives

$$\|x_{n+1} - q\|_p \leq \|x_n - q\|_p \quad \text{for all } n,$$

so the sequence  $\{\|x_n - q\|_p\}$  is non-increasing and therefore convergent. For the subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  we have  $\|x_{n_k} - x^*\|_p \rightarrow 0$ ; in particular  $\|x_{n_k} - q\|_p \rightarrow 0$ . Choosing  $q = x^*$  we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x^*\|_p = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_k} - x^*\|_p = 0,$$

which means that the whole sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to  $x^*$ .  $\square$

Thus, for demi-compact operators the Noor iteration (2.1) always converges strongly, provided a fixed point exists. This result is especially valuable in applications where the operator naturally possesses some compactness property.

### Strong convergence via norm convergence

In uniformly convex Banach spaces, weak convergence together with convergence of the norms implies strong convergence. This property, known as the Radon-Riesz or Kadec-Klee property, is particularly useful in the analysis of iterative methods. For the Noor iteration (2.1), if one can establish that the norms of the iterates converge to the norm of a fixed point, then the iteration must converge strongly. This condition is often easier to verify in practice, for example when the operator  $T$  is a projection or when the iteration is Fejér monotone with respect to a fixed point. The following theorem makes this precise.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Assume  $Fix(T) \neq \emptyset$  and that there exists  $x^* \in Fix(T)$  such that  $\|x_n\|_p \rightarrow \|x^*\|_p$ . Then the Noor iteration converges strongly to  $x^*$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.1, we have  $\|Tx_n - x_n\|_p \rightarrow 0$  and the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded. Since  $L^p$  is reflexive for  $1 < p < \infty$ , there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  converging weakly to some  $y \in L^p$ . The operator  $I - T$  is demi-closed (a well-known property of nonexpansive operators in uniformly convex spaces), and because  $\|(I - T)x_{n_k}\|_p \rightarrow 0$ , we conclude that  $y \in Fix(T)$ .

The hypothesis of the theorem gives  $\|x_{n_k}\|_p \rightarrow \|x^*\|_p$ . Since  $x^*$  is a fixed point and  $y$  is a weak limit of a subsequence of iterates, we also have  $\|y\|_p \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_k}\|_p$  by the weak lower semi-continuity of the norm. However, because  $\|x_{n_k}\|_p \rightarrow \|x^*\|_p$ , we must have  $\|y\|_p = \|x^*\|_p$ .

Now, by the Radon-Riesz property of  $L^p$  (which holds for  $1 < p < \infty$ ), weak convergence together with convergence of the norms implies strong convergence. Hence,  $x_{n_k} \rightarrow y$  strongly. Since  $y \in Fix(T)$  and  $\|y\|_p = \|x^*\|_p$ , and because  $L^p$  is strictly convex, it follows that  $y = x^*$ . Thus, every weakly convergent subsequence of  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to  $x^*$ . This, together with the boundedness of  $\{x_n\}$ , implies that the entire sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to  $x^*$ .  $\square$

## 4 Application to the $p$ -Laplace equation

Consider the  $p$ -Laplace equation for  $p > 1$  on a bounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  with Dirichlet boundary conditions:

$$-\Delta_p u := -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = f \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \tag{4.1}$$

The weak formulation seeks  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f v \, dx \quad \forall v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

Define the operator  $T : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  by  $Tw = u$ , where  $u$  solves the regularized problem:

$$-\operatorname{div}((|\nabla w|^{p-2} + \lambda) \nabla w) = f \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

with  $\lambda > 0$  a regularization parameter. For sufficiently large  $\lambda$  (depending on  $p$ ), one can show that  $T$  is nonexpansive. The Noor iteration (2.1) can be applied to compute the fixed point of  $T$ , which corresponds to the solution of (4.1).

Since the operator  $T$  is nonexpansive and the space  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  is uniformly convex, our strong convergence results (e.g., Theorem 3.3 under demicompactness or Theorem 3.4 when the norms converge) guarantee strong convergence in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  (which embeds into  $L^p(\Omega)$ ). Moreover, the explicit  $p$ -uniform convexity constants yield a  $p$ -dependent rate of asymptotic regularity. For instance, for  $p = 3$ , the expected rate is  $O(n^{-1/3})$ , while for  $p$  close to 2, the rate approaches  $O(n^{-1/2})$ .

The convergence ratio, defined as the ratio between the numerically estimated asymptotic slope and the theoretical slope, serves as a critical diagnostic tool. An ideal ratio of 1 indicates perfect alignment between numerical performance and theoretical predictions. Values significantly less than 1 suggest that the iteration has not yet reached the asymptotic regime, while values greater than 1 indicate numerical convergence faster than theoretical expectations. This metric thus quantifies not only whether the method converges, but also how well it conforms to the underlying mathematical theory.

Table 1: Performance comparison of Noor iteration with different parameter strategies.

$p$	Regime	$\alpha, \beta, \gamma$	Iterations	$\ T(u) - u\ _2$	Ratio
<b>Regime-optimized parameters</b>					
1.5	Singular	0.4	132	$1.02 \times 10^{-8}$	0.942
2.0	Linear	0.5	185	$7.94 \times 10^{-9}$	1.384
3.0	Degenerate	0.6	214	$1.58 \times 10^{-8}$	1.467
<b>Conservative fixed parameters: <math>\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0.2</math></b>					
1.5	Singular	0.2	382	$3.45 \times 10^{-13}$	0.975
2.0	Linear	0.2	327	$2.89 \times 10^{-13}$	0.960
3.0	Degenerate	0.2	291	$4.12 \times 10^{-13}$	0.961
<b>Aggressive fixed parameters: <math>\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0.7</math></b>					
1.5	Singular	0.7	500	$2.18 \times 10^{-13}$	0.019
2.0	Linear	0.7	500	$0.00 \times 10^0$	0.000
3.0	Degenerate	0.7	500	$1.72 \times 10^{-13}$	0.014

Table 1 presents a comprehensive comparison of different parameter strategies for the Noor iteration applied to the  $p$ -Laplace equation. The results reveal nuanced behaviors that depend on both the nonlinearity parameter  $p$  and the iteration parameters  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$ . The parameters  $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0.4$  for  $p = 1.5$ ,  $0.5$  for  $p = 2.0$ , and  $0.6$  for  $p = 3.0$  were selected based on the mathematical characteristics of each regime. This tailored approach recognizes that the  $p$ -Laplace operator exhibits fundamentally different behaviors in singular ( $p < 2$ ), linear ( $p = 2$ ), and degenerate ( $p > 2$ ) regimes. The singular regime requires conservative steps to handle the blow-up of coefficients as  $|\nabla u| \rightarrow 0$ , while the degenerate regime benefits from more aggressive steps to overcome the vanishing of coefficients in low-gradient regions. The linear regime naturally accommodates balanced parameters. This strategy achieves the fastest convergence (132-214 iterations) while maintaining good theoretical alignment (ratios 0.942-1.467). The ratio slightly above 1 for  $p = 2.0$  and  $p = 3.0$  suggests that the numerical method may leverage transient phases or regularization effects to converge faster than the asymptotic theory predicts.

Using uniformly small parameters ( $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0.2$ ) ensures strong contractivity of the iteration operator, guaranteeing convergence from a theoretical standpoint. This is reflected in the excellent agreement with theoretical predictions (ratios 0.960-0.975). However, the excessively small step sizes lead to slow convergence, requiring 291-382 iterations. This demonstrates the trade-off between reliability and efficiency: conservative parameters provide robust convergence and theoretical fidelity at the cost of computational speed.

The case  $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0.7$  presents a more complex picture. While the final residuals  $\|T(u) - u\|_2$  are small, the near-zero convergence ratios (0.000-0.019) indicate a failure to reach the asymptotic convergence regime within the allowed 500 iterations. This does not necessarily mean that the iteration diverges; rather, it suggests that the convergence is either too slow to observe

the asymptotic rate or that the iteration has stalled in a non-asymptotic phase. The aggressive steps likely prevent the operator from maintaining the contractivity properties required for the theoretical convergence rate to manifest. This underscores a critical insight: achieving a small residual does not guarantee that the iteration has entered its theoretically predicted asymptotic behavior. Monitoring the convergence ratio is essential to distinguish between genuine asymptotic convergence and mere residual reduction.

The sensitivity of the Noor iteration to parameters  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$  stems from the structure of the fixed-point operator  $T$ . For the regularized  $p$ -Laplace problem,  $T$  is affine with respect to the gradient structure, and its contractivity depends on the regularization parameter  $\lambda$  and the step sizes  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ . The optimal parameters vary with  $p$  because the Lipschitz constant of  $T$  changes across regimes. In the singular regime, the operator can become highly sensitive, necessitating smaller steps. In contrast, the degenerate regime often exhibits weaker contractivity, allowing for larger steps without violating stability.

## 5 Conclusion

We have established strong convergence results for the Noor iteration of nonexpansive operators in  $L^p$  spaces, with  $p > 1$ , emphasizing the role of  $p$ -uniform convexity. Explicit constants and convergence rates were derived, providing a quantitative refinement of known qualitative theorems. The results were applied to the  $p$ -Laplace equation for  $p > 1$ , covering singular ( $1 < p < 2$ ), linear ( $p = 2$ ), and degenerate ( $p > 2$ ) regimes.

Numerical experiments confirm that the Noor iteration is a robust method for solving the  $p$ -Laplace equation, with performance critically dependent on parameter selection. The convergence ratio serves as a key diagnostic tool, assessing convergence quality beyond mere residual reduction. Parameters optimized for each regime achieve the optimal balance between computational efficiency and theoretical fidelity, while overly conservative parameters ensure theoretical alignment at the expense of increased iterations. Notably, excessively aggressive parameters may prevent the iteration from reaching its asymptotic convergence rate even when residuals decrease, highlighting the distinction between residual reduction and true asymptotic behavior. These findings emphasize the necessity of adapting numerical methods to specific mathematical properties and the importance of comprehensive diagnostics for nonlinear PDE solvers.

Future work could extend the analysis to stochastic versions of the iteration, variable exponent  $p(x)$ -Laplace equations, and systems of nonlinear PDEs. The insights gained from the convergence ratio diagnostic could also inform adaptive parameter selection strategies for more efficient computations.

## References

- [1] K. Ball, E. Carlen, and E. H. Lieb, Sharp uniform convexity and smoothness inequalities for trace norms, *Invent. Math.*, **115** (1994), pp. 463–482.
- [2] H. H. Bauschke and J. M. Borwein, On projection algorithms for solving convex feasibility problems, *SIAM Rev.*, **38(3)** (1996), pp. 367–426.
- [3] H. Brezis, *Functional Analysis, Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations*, Springer, New York, 2011.

- [4] F. E. Browder, Convergence of approximants to fixed points of nonexpansive nonlinear mappings in Banach spaces, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, **24** (1967), pp. 82–90.
- [5] R. E. Bruck, On the convex approximation property and the asymptotic behavior of nonlinear contractions in Banach spaces, *Israel J. Math.*, **38** (1981), 304–314
- [6] J. A. Clarkson, Uniformly convex spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **40** (1936), pp. 396–414.
- [7] K. Goebel and W. A. Kirk, *Topics in Metric Fixed Point Theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1990.
- [8] O. Hanner, On the uniform convexity of  $L^p$  and  $\ell^p$ , *Ark. Mat.*, **3** (1956), pp. 239–244.
- [9] R. E. Megginson, *An Introduction to Banach Space Theory*, Springer, New York, 1998.
- [10] M. A. Noor, New approximation schemes for general variational inequalities, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **251** (2000), pp. 217–229.
- [11] S. Reich, Weak convergence theorems for nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **67** (1979), pp. 274–276.
- [12] R. T. Rockafellar, *Convex Analysis*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1970.
- [13] W. V. Petryshyn, Construction of fixed points of demicompact mappings in Hilbert space, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **14(2)** (1966), 276–284.
- [14] H. K. Xu, Inequalities in Banach spaces with applications, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **16** (1991), pp. 1127–1138.

**Seyit Temir** Department of Mathematics, Art and Science Faculty, Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkiye

E-mail: [seyittemir@adiyaman.edu.tr](mailto:seyittemir@adiyaman.edu.tr)