

## NEIGHBORHOODS AND PARTIAL SUMS OF CERTAIN MEROMORPHICALLY MULTIVALENT FUNCTIONS

JIN-LIN LIU

**Abstract.** By making use of the familiar concept of neighborhoods of analytic functions, the author proves an inclusion relations associated with the  $(n, \delta)$ -neighborhoods of a subclass  $Q_k[p, \alpha; A, B]$  which was introduced by Srivastava, Hossen and Aouf. The partial sums of the functions in  $Q_k[p, \alpha; A, B]$  are also considered.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\sum_{p,k}$  be the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} a_{n+p-1} z^{n+p-1}, \quad (p, k \in N = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}), \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic and  $p$ -valent in the punctured unit disk

$$U^* = \{z : z \in C \text{ and } 0 < |z| < 1\} = U \setminus \{0\}.$$

In recent years, many important properties and characteristics of various interesting subclasses of the class  $\sum_{p,k}$  of meromorphically  $p$ -valent functions were investigated extensively by Srivastava et al. [7], Owa et al. [5], Yang [9], Liu and Srivastava [3, 4] and other ([2, 8]). In [7], Srivastava, Hossen and Aouf introduced and studied a subclass  $Q_k[p, \alpha; A, B]$  of  $\sum_{p,k}$  as following.

**Definition.** For fixed parameters  $A$  and  $B$ , with  $-1 \leq A < B \leq 1$ ,  $A + B \geq 0$ , and  $0 < B \leq 1$ . A function  $f \in \sum_{p,k}$  is said to be in the class  $Q_k[p, \alpha; A, B]$  of meromorphically  $p$ -valent functions in  $U$  if and only if

$$\left| \frac{(zf'(z)/f(z)) + p}{B(zf'(z)/f(z) + [pB + (A - B)(p - \alpha)]} \right| < 1 \quad (z \in U^*; \quad 0 \leq \alpha < p). \quad (1.2)$$

Many interesting properties of the class  $Q_k[p, \alpha; A, B]$  were obtained by Srivastava, Hossen and Aouf [7].

---

Received February 09, 2006.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30C45.

*Key words and phrases.* Meromorphic function,  $p$ -valent function, neighborhoods, partial sums.

In the present paper, we shall discuss the properties of Neighborhoods and partial sums of the subclass  $Q_k[p, \alpha; A, B]$

## 2. Main results

Following the earlier works (based upon the familiar concept of neighborhoods of analytic functions) by Goodman [1] and Ruscheweyh [6], we begin by introducing here the  $\delta$ -neighborhood of a function  $f \in \Sigma_{p,k}$  of the form (1.1) by means of the definition

$$N_\delta(f) = \left\{ g \in \Sigma_p : g(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} b_{n+p-1} z^{n+p-1} \quad \text{and} \right. \\ \left. \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{(1+B)(n+2p-1) + (p-\alpha)(B-A)}{(p-\alpha)(B-A)} |a_{n+p-1} - b_{n+p-1}| \leq \delta \right. \\ \left. (-1 \leq A < B \leq 1; \delta \geq 0) \right\} \quad (2.1)$$

Making use of definition (2.1), we now prove the following result.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $f \in Q_k[p, \alpha; A, B]$  be given by (1.1). If  $f$  satisfies the including condition*

$$(f(z) + \varepsilon z^{-p})(1 + \varepsilon)^{-1} \in Q_k[p, \alpha; A, B] \quad (\varepsilon \in C; |\varepsilon| < \delta; \delta > 0), \quad (2.2)$$

then

$$N_\delta(f) \subset Q_k[p, \alpha; A, B]. \quad (2.3)$$

**Proof.** It is easily seen from (1.2) that a function  $f \in Q_k[p, \alpha; A, B]$  if and only if

$$\frac{zf'(z) + pf(z)}{Bzf'(z) + [pB + (A-B)(p-\alpha)]f(z)} \neq \sigma \quad (z \in U; \sigma \in C, |\sigma| = 1) \quad (2.4)$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{(f \times h)(z)}{z^{-p}} \neq 0 \quad (z \in U), \quad (2.5)$$

where, for convenience

$$h(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{(B\sigma - 1)(n + 2p - 1) + \sigma(p - \alpha)(A - B)}{\sigma(p - \alpha)(A - B)} z^{n+p-1} \\ = z^{-p} + \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} c_{n+p-1} z^{n+p-1}. \quad (2.6)$$

We easily find from (2.6) that

$$|c_{n+p-1}| = \left| \frac{(B\sigma - 1)(n + 2p - 1) + \sigma(p - \alpha)(A - B)}{\sigma(p - \alpha)(A - B)} \right| \leq \frac{(1 + B)(n + 2p - 1) + (p - \alpha)(B - A)}{(p - \alpha)(B - A)}.$$

Furthermore, under the hypothesis of the theorem, (2.5) yields the following inequality

$$\left| \frac{(f \times h)(z)}{z^{-p}} \right| \geq \delta \quad (z \in U; \delta > 0). \tag{2.7}$$

Now, we let

$$\varphi(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} b_{n+p-1} z^{n+p-1} \in N_{\delta}(f),$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{[f(z) - \varphi(z)] \times h(z)}{z^{-p}} \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} (a_{n+p-1} - b_{n+p-1}) c_{n+p-1} z^{n+2p-1} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + B)(n + 2p - 1) + (p - \alpha)(B - A)}{(p - \alpha)(B - A)} |a_{n+p-1} - b_{n+p-1}| \cdot |z^{n+2p-1}| \\ &< \delta \quad (z \in U; \delta > 0). \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Thus, for any complex number  $\sigma$  such that  $|\sigma| = 1$ , we have

$$\frac{(\varphi \times h)(z)}{z^{-p}} \neq 0 \quad (z \in U), \tag{2.9}$$

which implies that  $\varphi \in Q_k[p, \alpha; A, B]$ . The proof of the theorem is thus completed.

Next, we prove

**Theorem 2.** Let  $f \in \Sigma_{p,k}$  be given by (1.1) and define the partial sums  $s_m(z)$  by

$$s_m(z) = \begin{cases} z^{-p} & m = 1, 2, \dots, k - 1; \\ z^{-p} + \sum_{n=k}^m a_{n+p-1} z^{n+p-1} & m = k, k + 1, \dots \end{cases} \tag{2.10}$$

Suppose also that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} l_{n+p-1} |a_{n+p-1}| \leq 1 \\ & \left( \text{where } l_{n+p-1} = \frac{(1 + B)(n + 2p - 1) + (p - \alpha)(B - A)}{(p - \alpha)(B - A)} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Then

(i)  $f \in Q_k[p, \alpha; A, B]$ ;  
 (ii) 
$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{f(z)}{s_m(z)}\right) > 1 - \frac{1}{l_{m+p}} \quad (z \in U; m = k, k + 1, \dots) \tag{2.12}$$

and 
$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{s_m(z)}{f(z)}\right) > \frac{l_{m+p}}{1 + l_{m+p}} \quad (z \in U; m = k, k + 1, \dots). \tag{2.13}$$

Each of the bounds in (2.12) and (2.13) is the best possible.

**Proof.** (i) It is not difficult to see that

$$z^{-p} \in Q_k[p, \alpha; A, B].$$

Thus, from Theorem 1 and hypothesis (2.11) of Theorem 2, we have

$$N_1(z^{-p}) \subset Q_k[p, \alpha; A, B],$$

which shows that  $f \in Q_k[p, \alpha; A, B]$ .

(ii) Under the hypothesis in part (ii) of Theorem 2, we can see from (2.11) that

$$l_{n+p} > l_{n+p-1} > 1 \quad (n = k, k + 1, \dots).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\sum_{n=k}^m |a_{n+p-1}| + l_{m+p} \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} |a_{n+p-1}| \leq \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} l_{n+p-1} |a_{n+p-1}| \tag{2.14}$$

by using hypothesis (2.11) of Theorem 2 again.

Upon setting

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(z) &= l_{m+p} \left[ \frac{f(z)}{s_m(z)} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{l_{m+p}}\right) \right] \\ &= 1 + \frac{l_{m+p} \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} a_{n+p-1} z^{n+2p-1}}{1 + \sum_{n=k}^m a_{n+p-1} z^{n+2p-1}} \quad (m \geq k), \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

and applying (2.14), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{g_1(z) - 1}{g_1(z) + 1} \right| &\leq \frac{l_{m+p} \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} |a_{n+p-1}|}{2 - 2 \sum_{n=k}^m |a_{n+p-1}| - l_{m+p} \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} |a_{n+p-1}|} \\ &\leq 1 \quad (z \in U, m \geq k), \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

which readily yields inequality (2.12).

If we take

$$f(z) = z^{-p} - \frac{z^{m+2p-1}}{l_{m+p}} \quad (m \geq k), \tag{2.17}$$

then

$$\frac{f(z)}{s_m(z)} = 1 - \frac{z^{m+3p-1}}{l_{m+p}} \rightarrow 1 - \frac{1}{l_{m+p}} \quad (z \rightarrow 1-),$$

which shows that the bound in (2.12) is the best possible.

Similarly, if we put

$$\begin{aligned} g_2(z) &= (1 + l_{m+p}) \left( \frac{s_m(z)}{f(z)} - \frac{l_{m+p}}{1 + l_{m+p}} \right) \\ &= 1 - \frac{(1 + l_{m+p}) \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} a_{n+p-1} z^{n+2p-1}}{1 + \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} a_{n+p-1} z^{n+2p-1}} \quad (m \geq k), \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

and make use of (2.14), we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{g_2(z) - 1}{g_2(z) + 1} \right| &\leq \frac{(1 + l_{m+p}) \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} |a_{n+p-1}|}{2 - 2 \sum_{n=k}^m |a_{n+p-1}| - (l_{m+p} - 1) \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} |a_{n+p-1}|} \\ &\leq 1 \quad (z \in U, m \geq k), \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

which leads us immediately to assertion (2.13) of the theorem.

The bound in (2.13) is sharp with the extremal function given by (2.17). The proof of Theorem 2 is completed.

### Acknowledgement

The preset investigation is supported by Jiangsu Gaoxiao Natural Science Foundation (04KJB110154).

### References

- [1] A. W. Goodman, *Univalent functions and nonanalytic curves*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **8**(1957), 598–601.
- [2] S. B. Joshi and H. M. Srivastava, *A certain family of meromorphically multivalent functions*, Computers Math. Applic. **38**(1999), 201–211.

- [3] J.-L. Liu and H. M. Srivastava, *A linear operator and associated families of meromorphically multivalent functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. (2001), 566–581.
- [4] J.-L. Liu and H. M. Srivastava, *Classes of meromorphically multivalent functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function*, Math. Comput. Modelling **39**(2004), 21–34.
- [5] S. Owa, H. E. Darwish and M. K. Aouf, *Meromorphically multivalent functions with positive and fixed second coefficients*, Math. Japon. **46**(1997), 231–236.
- [6] S. Ruscheweyh, *Neighborhoods of univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **81**(1981), 521–527.
- [7] H. M. Srivastava, H. M. Hossen and M. K. Aouf, *A unified presentation of some classes of meromorphically multivalent functions*, Computers Math. Applic. **38**(1999), 63–70.
- [8] H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa (Editors), *Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1992.
- [9] D.-G. Yang, *Subclasses of multivalent  $p$ -valent convex functions* (in Chinese), J. Math. Res. Exposition **20**(2000), 215–219.

Department of Mathematics, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou 225002, Jiangsu, People's Republic of China.

E-mail: jlliu@yzu.edu.cn