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#### Abstract

Suppose $G$ is a multiplicatively written abelian $p$-group, where $p$ is a prime, and $F$ is a field of arbitrary characteristic. The main results in this paper are that none of the Sylow $p$-group of all normalized units $S(F G)$ in the group ring $F G$ and its quotient group $S(F G) / G$ cannot be Prüfer groups. This contrasts a classical conjecture for which $S(F G) / G$ is a direct factor of a direct sum of generalized Prüfer groups whenever $F$ is a perfect field of characteristic $p$.


## I. Introduction

Throughout the present paper, let $G$ be an arbitrary multiplicative abelian group, let $R$ be a commutative unitary ring of prime characteristic $p$ and let $K$ be a field of characteristic different from $p$. As usual, $R G$ and $K G$ are the group algebras over $R$ and $K$ respectively, $S(R G)$ is the p-torsion component of the group of all normalized units $V(R G)$ in $R G$ (note that $V(R G)=S(R G)$ when $G$ is a $p$-group), $S(K G)$ is the group of all normalized $p$-elements in $K G$, and $G_{p}$ is the maximal $p$-primary subgroup of $G$. Moreover, $N(R)$ denotes the Baer radical (often called nil-radical) of $R$. Given a subgroup $H$ of $G$ and a subring $L$ of $R$ containing the same identity, $I(L G ; H)$ denotes the relative augmentation ideal of $L G$ with respect to $H$. Terminology and notations follow [12], [15] and [16].

For instance, following [12] and [13], we shall say that the abelian $p$-group $A$ is a Prüfer group if $A^{p^{\omega}}$ is cyclic of order $p$ and $A / A^{p^{\omega}}=\oplus_{n<\omega} \mathrm{Z}\left(p^{n}\right)$, where $\mathrm{Z}\left(p^{n}\right)$ is a cyclic group of order $p^{n}$. Certainly, $A$ is an infinite countable group, and the non-zero Ulm-Kaplansky functions of $A / A^{p^{\omega}}$ are equal to 1 . In fact, we know that $B G^{p^{\omega}} / G^{p^{\omega}}$ is a $p$-basic subgroup of $G / G^{p^{\omega}}$ whenever $B$ is a $p$-basic subgroup of $G$. So, for the $n$-Ulm-Kaplansky functions $f_{n}$, where $n \geq 0$ is an integer, it follows that $f_{n}\left(G / G^{p^{\omega}}\right)=$ $f_{n}\left(B G^{p^{\omega}} / G^{p^{\omega}}\right)$ and $f_{n}(G)=f_{n}(B)$ (see, for instance, [12, v. I, section 34, p. 170 and p. 173, Exercise 2]). But $B G^{p^{\omega}} / G^{p^{\omega}} \cong B$, therefore $f_{n}\left(G / G^{p^{\omega}}\right)=f_{n}(G)$ whenever $n \geq 0$. Consequently, if $A$ is a Prüfer abelian $p$-group, then $f_{\alpha}(A)=1$ for each $\alpha \leq \omega$ and $f_{\alpha}(A)=0$ for each $\alpha>\omega$.
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From [19, Theorem 6], an abelian $p$-group $A$ such that $A^{p^{\omega}}$ is countable and $A / A^{p^{\omega}}$ is a direct sum of cyclic groups can be decomposed as $C \times D$, where $C$ is countable and $D$ is a direct sum of cyclic groups. In the case of Prüfer groups we observe that $D=1$.

In the theory of commutative group algebras there exists a long-standing conjecture (see, e.g., [1]) stating that $S(R G) / G$ is a direct factor of a direct sum of generalized Prüfer groups, defined as in [12, v. II, section 81, pp.103-104], whenever $G$ is a $p$-group and $R$ is a perfect ring with characteristic $p$.

Under this point of view, we are motivated to give a criterion in order to check whether $S(R G)$ and $S(K G)$ are Prüfer groups. The same purpose we pursue for $S(R G) / G$ and $S(K G) / G$, provided $G$ is a $p$-group. The main results of this article show that none of $S(R G) / G$ and $S(K G) / G$ cannot be Prüfer's groups, against ignoring "a direct sum" in the above conjecture. For some positive solutions of this conjecture the reader can see [1], [9], [14], [17] and [18].

## II. Main results

The present paper extends [2, Section D]. The theorems are distributed into two sections.

## Commutative modular group algebras and Prüfer groups

The next two lemmas are straightforward and, therefore, their proofs are omitted.
Lemma 1. If $g$ is an element of finite order of $G$, then

$$
|\langle g\rangle|=o(g) .
$$

where $\langle g\rangle$ is a finite cyclic group and $o(g)$ is the order of $g$.
Lemma 2. (a) Assume $G$ is p-primary, and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $S^{p^{k}}(R G)=1$ if and only if $G^{p^{k}}=1$.
(b) Assume $R$ has no nilpotent elements and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $S^{p^{k}}(R G)=1$ if and only if $G_{p}^{p^{k}}=1$.

Lemma 3. ([3, p. 8]) We have $S(R G)=G_{p}$ if and only if one of the following conditions is true:
(i) $G=1$;
(ii) $G \neq G_{p}=1$ and $N(R)=0$;
(iii) $|G|=2$ and $|R|=2$.

Proposition 1. $V(R G)$ is a cyclic p-group if and only if one of the following equalities holds:
(1) $G=1$;
(2) $p=|G|=|R|=2$.

Proof. Obviously, if $V(R G)$ is cyclic, then $G$ is cyclic. From Lemmas 1 and 2(a), we deduce $|V(R G)|=|G|$ and so $V(R G)=G$. Lemma 3 concludes the necessity. Conversely, the result follows again by Lemma 3.

We shall now generalize Proposition 1.
Proposition 2. $S(R G)$ is cyclic if and only if one of the following is valid:
(1) $G=1$;
(2) $G \neq G_{p}=1$ and $N(R)=0$;
(3) $|G|=2$ and $|R|=2$;
(4) $G_{p}=1,|G|=2$ and $|N(R)|=p \geq 3$.

Proof. First, assume that $S(R G)$ is cyclic. We distinguish two cases, namely:
Case 1: $N\left(R^{p^{i}}\right)=N^{p^{i}}(R) \neq 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.
(a) Since there is $g \in G$ with $g^{n} \neq 1$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}, G$ is either torsion-free or mixed. Let $0 \neq r \in N(R)$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we construct the infinite number of different elements $1+r\left(1-g^{p^{m}}\right) \in S(R\langle g\rangle)$ where $S(R\langle g\rangle)$ is cyclic, hence finite, as a subgroup of $S(R G)$. Note that $\langle g\rangle$ is an infinite cyclic group in this situation. Therefore, $S(R\langle g\rangle)=1$, i.e., $g=1$ which is a contradiction.
(b) By what we have just shown in the previous point, $G$ must be torsion.
(b.1) $N\left(R^{p^{i^{\prime}}}\right)=N\left(R^{p^{i^{\prime}+1}}\right)$ for some $i^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}=\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$. Thus $1+I\left(N\left(R^{p^{p^{\prime}}}\right) G_{q} ; G_{q}\right)=$ 1 being both a divisible and cyclic group since $G_{q}$ is $p$-divisible whenever $q \neq p$ is a prime. Therefore, $G_{q}=1$, i.e., $G$ is $p$-primary.
(b.2) $N\left(R^{p^{i}}\right) \neq N\left(R^{p^{i+1}}\right)$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. Then the sequence $N(R), N\left(R^{p}\right)$, $N\left(R^{p^{2}}\right), \ldots, N\left(R^{p^{i}}\right), \ldots$ has an infinite number of different members. Choose the elements $x_{i}=1+r_{i}^{p^{i}}\left(1-g_{q}\right)$ where $r_{i} \in N(R) ; r_{i}^{p^{i}} \in N\left(R^{p^{i}}\right)$ with $r_{i}^{p^{i}} \neq r_{i+1}^{p^{i+1}}$ and $g_{q} \in G_{q}$. Thus $x_{i} \neq x_{i+1}$ and $S\left(R G_{q}\right)$ is infinite cyclic, i.e., $S\left(R G_{q}\right)=1$, whence $G_{q}=1$. Finally, we conclude that $G$ is $p$-torsion.
In that aspect Proposition 1 substantiates our claim.
Case 2: $N\left(R^{p^{j}}\right)=0$ for some $j \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$.
If $P$ is a commutative ring with unity of characteristic $p$ such that $N(P)=0$, as in Proposition 1, $S(P G)$ cyclic yields $S(P G)=G_{p}$, because we have $\exp (S(P G))=\exp \left(G_{p}\right)$ by Lemma 2(b).

Consequently, $S(R G)$ cyclic gives $S\left(R^{p^{j}} G\right)$ is cyclic, hence in view of the conclusions above, $S\left(R^{p^{j}} G\right)=G_{p}$. So, Lemma 3 leads us to $G=1$, or $G \neq G_{p}=1$, or $G=G_{p} \neq 1$, $\left|R^{p^{j}}\right|=2,|G|=2$. We will consider the following two subcases.
(2.1) Assume $G_{p} \neq 1$. Hence $R$ is a field which follows from Proposition 1 since $G$ is a p-group.
We also note that $R^{p^{j}}$ is a field and $N(R)=0$, whence $R$ is a field. If $0 \neq r \in R$, then $0 \neq r^{p^{j}} \in R^{p^{j}}$. So, there exists some $0 \neq \alpha \in R^{p^{j}}$ such that $r^{p^{j}} \cdot \alpha=r\left(r^{p^{j}-1} \cdot \alpha\right)=1$. Therefore, $r$ is invertible in $R$ and this allows us to deduce that $R$ is a field.
(2.2) Assume $G_{p}=1$ and $N(R) \neq 0$. Each element of $S(R G)$ will be of the form $1+r_{1} g_{1}+\ldots+r_{k} g_{k}$, where $0 \neq r_{i} \in N(R)$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{k} r_{i}=0$ and $g_{i} \in G ; 1 \leq i \leq k$. Clearly, $|N(R)| \leq|S(R G)|$ and $|G| \leq|S(R G)|$ since $1+r(1-g)=1+r^{\prime}\left(1-g^{\prime}\right)$ if and only if $r=r^{\prime}$ and $g=g^{\prime}$, where $r, r^{\prime} \in N(R) \backslash\{0\}$ and $g, g^{\prime} \in G \backslash\{1\}$. Since tr $\neq 0$ whenever $1 \leq t \leq p-1$, we obtain that $|N(R)| \geq p$. On the other hand, if $|G| \geq 3$, then as above $|N(R)|<|S(R G)|$ whenever $|S(R G)|<\aleph_{0}$.
Now consider $S(R G)=\left\{1, v, v^{2}, \ldots, v^{p^{m}-1} \mid v^{p^{m}}=1\right\}$, where $1 \leq m \leq j$; thus $|S(R G)|=p^{m}$. Moreover, $|R| \geq 4,|N(R)| \leq p^{m}$ and $|G|<p^{m}$; we observe that $|G|=p^{m}$ means $G=G_{p}=1$.

Suppose $j=1$ (hence $m=1$ ). But $|S(R G)|=p$ and $p \geq 3$, since in the remaining case when $p=2$ we deduce $|G|<2$, i.e., $G=1$. Because of the above given inequalities, we obtain $|N(R)|=p$ so $N(R)=\left\{0, r, 2 r, \ldots,(p-1) r \mid r^{2}=0\right\}$ and $N^{p}(R)=N\left(R^{p}\right)=0$. Utilizing the above ideas, it follows that $|G|=2$.

Now, let $m \geq 2$, so $j \geq 2$. Since $S\left(R^{p^{j-1}} G\right) \subseteq S(R G)$ is cyclic and $S^{p}\left(R^{p^{j-1}} G\right)=$ 1, we conclude that $\left|S\left(R^{p^{j-1}} G\right)\right|=p$. Hereafter, the above step can be successfully employed to deduce that $|G|=2$; of course $N\left(R^{p^{j-1}}\right) \neq 0$, otherwise $1 \leq m \leq j-1$ and the first point will be started for $j=2$. That is why, $|N(R)|=p^{m}$ and $\left|N\left(R^{p^{j-1}}\right)\right|=p$.

Evidently, every element of $S(R G)$ is of the form $1+r(1-g)$, where $r \in N(R)$. If $r \in N(R)$, it follows that $\{0, r, 2 r, \ldots,(p-1) r\} \subseteq N(R)$. Likewise, if $r \in N(R)$ with $r^{s}=0, s \geq 2$ and $r^{s-1} \neq 0$, it holds that the set $\left\{r, r^{2}, \ldots, r^{s-1}\right\}$ of $s-1$ elements is a set of different nilpotent elements. All of these arguments lead us to the evaluation that $|N(R)| \geq 1+(s-1)(p-1)$ for $s \geq 2$. Since the sum of nilpotent elements is a nilpotent, there are $s-2$ nilpotent elements by considering the different sums $r+r^{2}, \ldots, r+r^{s-1}$. Finally, we compute that $|N(R)| \geq(s-1)(p-1)+1+s-2=(s-1) p, s \geq 2$; of course there is an exact estimation of the cardinality of the finite ideal $N(R)$, but however this inequality works.

So, if $S(R G)$ is cyclic of $\exp (S(R G))=p^{m}$ for $m \geq 2$, it is routine to verify that there exists a nilpotent element $\beta \in R$ such that $\beta^{p^{m}}=0, \beta^{p^{m}-1} \neq 0$ for $m \geq 2$ and $S(R G)=\langle 1+\beta(1-g)\rangle$.

By what we have just shown for $s=p^{m}$ with $m \geq 2$, it follows that $|N(R)| \geq$ $\left(p^{m}-1\right) p>p^{m}$ whenever $p \geq 2, m \geq 2$; notice that $p^{m}-1>p^{m-1}$ holds even for $p \geq 3$, $m \geq 1$. This contradicts our equality $|N(R)|=p^{m}$.

Finally, we find that $m<2$ and $j<2$ when $S(R G)$ is cyclic. The result follows.
Conversely, assume that conditions (1)-(4) are satisfied. For points (1)-(3) we directly apply Lemma 3 to conclude that $S(R G)=G_{p}$ and since $G_{p}$ is cyclic, the result follows. If now (4) holds, it is easily verified that every element of $S(R G)$ is of the type $1+n r(1-g)=(1+r(1-g))^{n}$, where $0 \leq n \leq p-1$ and $r \in N(R)$ with $r^{2}=0$. So, $S(R G)$ is a cyclic group of order $p$.

Remark 1. $1 \neq S(R G)$ cyclic yields that $N(R)$ is finite. On the other hand, $1 \neq S(R G)$ cyclic implies that $R$ is perfect provided $G_{p} \neq 1$. From [7, Example 9], $N(R)=0$. Actually, Cases 1 and 2 in Proposition 2 may be reduced to $N(R)=0$ and $G_{p} \neq 1$; or $N(R) \neq 0$ and $G_{p}=1$, or $N(R) \neq 0$ and $G_{p} \neq 1$.

The reader can see also [4, Theorem, pp.262-263] where we have established a criterion for $S(R G)$ to be a direct sum of cyclic groups of the same order $p^{t}$ for $t \geq 1$.

The next constructions illustrate Proposition 2.
Example 1. Consider the following rings and their nil-radicals.
(1) $R=\{0,1,-1\}$, char $(R)=3, N(R)=\{0\}$;
(2) $R=\left\{0,1, r, 1-r \mid r^{2}=0\right\}$, char $(R)=2, N(R)=\{0, r\}$;
(3) $R=\left\{0,1,-1, r, 2 r, r+1, r-1,-r-1,1-r \mid r^{2}=0\right\}$, char $(R)=3, N(R)=\{0, r, 2 r\}$;
(4) $N(R)=\left\{0, r, r^{2}, r+r^{2} \mid r^{3}=0\right\}$, char $(R)=2$;
(5) $N(R)=\left\{0, r, 2 r, r^{2}, 2 r^{2}, r+r^{2},-r-r^{2}, r-r^{2}, r^{2}-r \mid r^{3}=0\right\}, \operatorname{char}(R)=3$.

Suppose now that $|S(R G)|=4(p=2, m=2)$, that $|G|=3$ with $G=\langle g\rangle$ and that $R$ is as in 2). Therefore, $S(R G)=\left\{1,1+r(1-g), 1+r\left(1-g^{2}\right), 1+r g(1-g)\right\}$. Because $S^{2}(R G)=1, S(R G)$ is not cyclic but is a direct sum of the two cyclic groups $\{1,1+r(1-g)\}$ and $\left\{1,1+r\left(1-g^{2}\right)\right\}$ each of which is with order 2 ; we observe that $(1+r(1-g))\left(1+r\left(1-g^{2}\right)\right)=1+r g(1-g)$.

Let now $p=3$ and $m=2$, as well as $|G|=2$ and $N(R)$ be as in 5). Consequently, $S(R G)=\{1+\alpha(1-g) \mid \alpha \in N(R)\}$ is of power 9. But $S(R G)$ is not cyclic of order 9 since $S^{p}(R G)=1$, i.e., $(1+\alpha(1-g))^{3}=1+\alpha^{3}\left(1-g^{3}\right)=1$; note that $N^{p}(R)=0$.

Proposition 3. Suppose that $G$ is a p-group. Then $V(R G) / G$ is cyclic if and only if one of the following conditions is true:
(1) $G=1$;
(2) $p=|R|=|G|=2$;
(3) $p=|G|=2$ and $|R|=4$;
(4) $p=|R|=2, G^{2} \neq 1$ and $|G|=4$;
(5) $p=|R|=|G|=3$.

Proof. Let $V(R G) / G$ be a nontrivial cyclic group of order $p^{m}$ for $m \geq 1$. So, $\bar{V}=$ $V(R G) / G=\left\{\overline{1}, \bar{v}, \bar{v}^{2}, \ldots, \bar{v}^{p^{m}-1} \mid \bar{v}^{p^{m}}=1\right\}$ for some element $\bar{v}=\left(r_{1} g_{1}+\ldots+r_{n} g_{n}\right) G ;$ $0 \neq r_{i} \in R$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i}=1, g_{i} \in G ; 1 \leq i \leq n$. If $G$ is decomposable, then by [1, Theorem (Direct Factor)], $V(R G) / G$ must be decomposable (see also [9]). But this is impossible and thus $G$ is indecomposable.

Since $(V(R G) / G)^{p^{m}}=\overline{1}$, we have $V^{p^{m}}(R G) G=G$, i.e., $V\left(R^{p^{m}} G^{p^{m}}\right)=G^{p^{m}}$. Using Lemma 3, we derive $G^{p^{m}}=1$, or $G^{p^{m}} \neq 1, p=\left|R^{p^{m}}\right|=\left|G^{p^{m}}\right|=2$. Both $R$ and $G$ are finite. Moreover either $G^{p^{k}} \neq 1$ and $\left|R^{p^{k}}\right|>2$, or $\left|G^{p^{k}}\right|>2$, for $0 \leq k<m$.

We shall distinguish five cases:
Case 1: $p=2, m=1$. Thus $V(R G) / G=\left\{\overline{1}, \bar{v} \mid \bar{v}^{2}=1\right\}$. Assume that $|R|>2$. Hence, $\overline{1} \neq[1+r(1-g)] G=\bar{v}$ for $0,1 \neq r \in R$ and $1 \neq g \in G$ with $[1+r(1-g)]^{2} \in G$, i.e., $1+r^{2}\left(1-g^{2}\right) \in G$. This is equivalent to $r^{2}=0$ or $r^{2}=1$ or $g^{2}=1$. Besides, we consider $\left[1+r^{\prime}(1-h)\right] G$ for some $r^{\prime} \in R \backslash\{0,1, r\}$ and $h \in G \backslash\{1, g\}$ such that $\left[1+r^{\prime}(1-h)\right] G=$ $[1+r(1-g)] G$, i.e., $r^{\prime}=1+r$ and $h=g^{-1}$. Consequently, $[1+r(1-g)] G \neq\left[1+r\left(1-g^{-1}\right)\right] G$ when $g \neq g^{-1}$. That is why, $|G|=2$ and $|R|=4$.

Let us now $|R|=2$, whence $|G|>2$ and more precisely $|G| \geq 4$. This will be studied in the next case.

Case 2: $p=2, m \geq 2$. Starting with $m=2, V(L G) / G \subseteq V(R G) / G$ is cyclic of order 2 or 4 where $L=\{0,1\} \leq R$. Since $G^{4}=1$ and $G^{2} \neq 1$, or $G^{4} \neq 1$ with $\left|R^{4}\right|=\left|G^{4}\right|=2$, there exists $1 \neq g \in G$ such that $g^{2} \neq 1, g^{3} \neq 1$ whence $\left\{1, g, g^{2}, g^{3} \mid g^{4}=1\right\} \leq G$.

First, $|V(L G) / G|=2$, and $|G|=4$, i.e., $G=\left\{1, g, g^{2}, g^{3} \mid g^{4}=1\right\}$, so $G^{2}=\left\{1, g^{2}\right\}$. Since $V(R G)=G \cup\left\{1+g+g^{2}, 1+g+g^{3}, 1+g^{2}+g^{3}, g+g^{2}+g^{3}\right\}$, it is immediate that $\bar{V}=\left\{\overline{1},\left[1+g+g^{2}\right] G\right\}$.

If now $|G|=8$, i.e., $G=\left\{1, g, \ldots, g^{7} \mid g^{8}=1\right\}$, we observe that $\bar{V}$ contains five different elements, that are $\overline{1},\left(1+g+g^{2}\right) G,\left(1+g+g^{3}\right) G,\left(1+g+g^{2}+g^{3}+g^{4}\right) G$ and $\left(1+g+g^{2}+g^{3}+g^{4}+g^{5}+g^{6}\right) G$. This contradicts the power of $\bar{V}$ which is precisely 4 .

If $|G|=4$ and $|R|=4$, then $G=\left\{1, g, g^{2}, g^{3} \mid g^{4}=1\right\}$ and $R=\{0,1, r, 1+r\}$. Furthermore, we see that $\bar{V}=\left\{\overline{1},\left(1+g+g^{2}\right) G,(1+r-r g) G,\left(1+r-r g^{2}\right) G,\left(1+r-r g^{3}\right) G\right\}$ consists of five different elements because $1+r \neq-r$, but this is not true. Thus $|R|<4$ or $|G|<4$, i.e., $|R| \leq 2$ or $|G| \leq 2$.

Next, for $m \geq 3$ we observe that $V\left(L^{p^{m-2}} G^{p^{m-2}}\right) G / G \cong V\left(L^{p^{m-2}} G^{p^{m-2}}\right) / G^{p^{m-2}}$ is a cyclic group of order $p^{2}$ as a subgroup of $V(L G) / G$. This is exactly the previous step. Thus, when $m \geq 2, V(R G) / G$ is not cyclic.

Case 3: $p=3, m=1$. Since $p=3$ there is $r \in R$ with $r \neq 0,1$, hence $\{0,1,-1\} \subseteq R$. Moreover, $G^{3}=1$ and $G^{2} \neq 1$. Let us now $|R|=3$, i.e., $R=\{0,1,-1\}$ and $|G|=3$, that is, $G=\left\{1, g, g^{2} \mid g^{3}=1\right\}$. Therefore, $V(R G)=\{1,1+g(1-g), 1-g(1-g),-g-$ $\left.g^{2},-1-g, g, g^{2}\right\}$ and $\bar{V}=\{\overline{1},[1+g(1-g)] G,[-1-g] G\}$. Moreover, we calculate that $[1+g(1-g)] G=\left[1+g-g^{2}\right] G=\left[1-g+g^{2}\right] G=\left[1+2 g+g^{2}\right] G=[-1-g]^{2} G=[(-1-g) G]^{2}$.

If we suppose that $|R|>3$ or $|G|>3$, i.e., $|R| \geq 9$ or $|G| \geq 9$, it is not difficult to obtain in the same manner that $|\bar{V}|>3$, which is a contradiction.

Case 4: $p=3, m \geq 2$. Start with $m=2$. Certainly, $G^{9}=1$ and so $|G|=9$ since otherwise if $|G|=3$ it follows that $G^{3}=1$, a contradiction. Thus $G=\left\{1, g, g^{2}, \ldots, g^{8} \mid g^{9}=1\right\}$ and as above $R \supseteq\{0,1,-1\}$. Consider the elements $\left(1+g-g^{k}\right) G$ for $2 \leq k \leq 8$. It is only a technical matter to check that $\left(1+g-g^{k}\right) G \neq\left(1+g-g^{j}\right) G$ whenever $g^{k} \neq g^{j}$ and $2 \leq j \leq 8$, because $1 \neq-1$. Moreover, two different elements are also $\left(1+g+g^{2}+g^{3}\right) G$ and $\left(1+g+g^{2}-g^{3}-g^{4}\right) G$. A crucial approach here is that the canonical forms of these elements are with different lengths. Consequently, $\bar{V} \supseteq\left\{\overline{1},\left(1+g-g^{k}\right) G\right.$ for $2 \leq k \leq 8$, $\left.\left(1+g+g^{2}+g^{3}\right) G,\left(1+g+g^{2}-g^{3}-g^{4}\right) G\right\}$ contains ten elements. This gives a contradiction and finishes the step $m=2$.

When $m \geq 3$ we have $|G| \geq 27$ and, therefore, we can copy the idea from Case 2.
Case 5: $p \geq 5$. Begin with $m=1$. Since the characteristic of $R$ is $p$ and $R \supseteq$ $\{0,1,2, \ldots, p-1\}$, it holds that $|R| \geq p$. Moreover, $G^{p}=1$ and $G^{s} \neq 1$ for $1 \leq s \leq p-1$. It is a routine technical exercise to verify that $\left(1+\left(1-g^{k}\right)\right) G=\left(2-g^{k}\right) G \neq\left(2-g^{j}\right) G=$ $\left(1+\left(1-g^{j}\right)\right) G$ when $g^{k} \neq g^{j}$ and $1 \leq k \neq j \leq p-1$ for some $g \in G$. In this way $(1+g(1-g)) G \neq\left(2-g^{k}\right) G$ for all $1 \leq k \leq p-1$. Finally, $\bar{V}$ contains the set of $p+1$ different elements $\left\{\overline{1},(2-g) G,\left(2-g^{2}\right) G, \ldots,\left(2-g^{p-1}\right) G,(1+g(1-g)) G\right\}$ while $|\bar{V}|=p$. This contradiction shows that this case cannot happen. After this, because $V\left(R^{p^{m-1}} G^{p^{m-1}}\right) / G^{p^{m-1}} \cong V\left(R^{p^{m-1}} G^{p^{m-1}}\right) G / G \subseteq V(R G) / G$ is cyclic of order $p$ whenever $m \geq 2$, we conclude that the case is contradictory. This completes the necessity.

As for the sufficiency, we observe that for the first four situations we have $|V(R G) / G|=$ 1 , hence $V(R G) / G=\overline{1}$, or $|V(R G) / G|=2$. The fifth dependence was considered in Case 3 above.

Example 2. There are four special commutative unitary rings of power 4 and with characteristic 2 which illustrate the criteria in Propositions 2 and 3. Specifically, they are the following:
(1) $R=\left\{0,1, r, 1+r \mid r^{2}=0\right\}, N(R)=\{0, r\}$;
(2) $R=\left\{0,1, r, 1+r \mid r^{2}=1\right\}, N(R)=\{0,1+r\}$;
(3) $R=\left\{0,1, r, 1+r \mid r^{2}=r\right\}, N(R)=\{0\}$ and $R$ has two zero divisors $\{r, 1+r\}$ which are idempotents, so $R$ is perfect;
(4) $R=\left\{0,1, r, 1+r \mid r^{2}=1+r\right\}, N(R)=\{0\}$ and $R$ has three units $\{1, r, 1+r\}$, i.e., $R$ is a perfect field.

We are now prepared to proceed by proving the main assertions. In the next two theorems we use results on Ulm-Kaplansky invariants of $V(R G) / G$ provided $G$ is a $p$-group and $R$ is a perfect ring of prime characteristic $p$ (see details in [10, p. 138], Theorem 6 and p. 141, Remark]). Utilizing the same ideas, it easily follows that these Ulm-Kaplansky invariants are either infinite or zero when $G$ is infinite and $R$ is not necessarily perfect.

Theorem 1. Suppose $G$ is a p-group or $R$ is a ring with no nilpotent elements. Then $S(R G)$ cannot be a Prüfer group.

Proof. (1) Assume $G=G_{p}$ and by contradiction, let $S(R G)=V(R G)$ be a Prüfer group. Thus $V^{p^{\omega}}(R G)=V\left(R^{p^{\omega}} G^{p^{\omega}}\right)$ is cyclic of order $p$, hence Proposition 1 and its proof guarantee that $V\left(R^{p^{\omega}} G^{p^{\omega}}\right)=G^{p^{\omega}}$. On the other hand, $V(R G)$ is countable and so $V(R G) / G$ is countable. Since $G$ is nice in $V(R G)$ (cf., [10, p.135, Lemma 1]), we deduce that $(V(R G) / G)^{p^{\omega}}=V^{p^{\omega}}(R G) G / G=\overline{1}$, whence $V(R G) / G$ is separable. By the second Prüfer's theorem (see [12, v. I, Theorem 17.3]), $V(R G) / G$ is a direct sum of cyclic groups. Furthermore, because of the purity of $G$ in $V(R G)$, a result due to L. Kulikov (e.g., [12,
v. I, Theorem 28.2]) is applicable to obtain $V(R G) \cong G \times(V(R G) / G)$. Therefore, $V(R G) / G^{p^{\omega}} \cong\left(G / G^{p^{\omega}}\right) \times(V(R G) / G)$. Assume $G=G^{p^{\omega}}$. Then $G$ is both cyclic and divisible. This gives a contradiction when $G \neq 1$. Thus we conclude that $G \neq G^{p^{\omega}}$, whence $G$ is infinite because $G^{p^{\omega}} \neq 1$. Moreover, $V(R G) / G^{p^{\omega}}=V(R G) / V^{p^{\omega}}(R G)$ has Ulm-Kaplansky functions equal to 1 . On the other hand, conforming with [12, v. I, section 37, p.185, Exercise 8], these invariants for $V(R G) / G^{p^{\omega}}$ are equal to the sum of the Ulm-Kaplansky invariants of $G / G^{p^{\omega}}$ and $V(R G) / G$ respectively. Moreover, [10, p.138, Theorem 6] applies to show that $V(R G) / G$ has infinite Ulm-Kaplansky invariants when either $G$ or $R$ is infinite and $G \neq G^{p}$; as early observed $G \neq G^{p}$ holds. If both $G$ and $R$ are finite, then $S(R G)$ is obviously finite whence it is not Prüfer. Consequently, $V(R G)=G$, so Lemma 3 leads us to $|R|=|G|=2$, which is the desired contradiction with the infinite cardinality of the Prüfer groups.
(2) Assume $N(R)=0$. This case can be processed similarly as that in (1).

Theorem 2. Suppose $G$ is a p-group and $R$ is a commutative unitary ring of prime characteristic $p$. Then $V(R G) / G$ cannot be a Prüfer group.

Proof. Assume the contrary. In view of the definition and our assumption $(V(R G) / G)^{p^{\omega}}=$ $V^{p^{\omega}}(R G) G / G=V\left(R^{p^{\omega}} G^{p^{\omega}}\right) G / G \cong V\left(R^{p^{\omega}} G^{p^{\omega}}\right) / G^{p^{\omega}}$ is cyclic of order $p$, whence $G^{p^{\omega}} \neq$ $V\left(R^{p^{\omega}} G^{p^{\omega}}\right)$ and thus $G$ is infinite. However, by the above commentaries, $V(R G) / G$ should be with Ulm-Kaplansky functions precisely 1. But, complying with [10, p.138, Theorem 6], when $G$ is infinite we deduce that these invariants computed for $V(R G) / G$ are infinite or 0 . So, we obtain the wanted contradiction.

In case $G$ is finite, we yield that $V(R G) / G$ is bounded whence it is not a Prüfer group.

The following illustrates Theorem 1.
Example 3. Consider $V(R G)=\oplus_{n<\omega} \mathrm{Z}\left(p^{n}\right)$. Evidently, $|V(R G)|=\aleph_{0} \Longleftrightarrow|R|+$ $|G|=\aleph_{0}$ with $|R| \leq \aleph_{0}$ and $|G| \leq \aleph_{0}$. Besides, $V^{p^{\omega}}(R G)=1 \Longleftrightarrow G^{p^{\omega}}=1$ and, for each $n \geq 1, V^{p^{n}}(R G) \neq 1 \Longleftrightarrow G^{p^{n}} \neq 1$. By assumption, for every $k \geq 0$, the $k$-Ulm-Kaplansky invariants of $V(R G)$ are 1 , while owing to [22, Theorem 7] they are equal to 0 or to $\max \left(\left|R^{p^{k}}\right|,\left|G^{p^{k}}\right|\right)$ if either $\left|R^{p^{k}}\right| \geq \aleph_{0}$ or $\left|G^{p^{k}}\right| \geq \aleph_{0}$. In the case $k=0$, we obtain a contradiction. That is why, $V(R G) \neq \oplus_{n<\omega} \mathrm{Z}\left(p^{n}\right)$.

Another idea to show that the equality $V(R G)=\oplus_{n<\omega} \mathrm{Z}\left(p^{n}\right)$ is not true is like this: If yes, $G$ should be a direct sum of cyclic groups, hence, in virtue of [5] or [6], so is $V(R G) / G$. Furthermore, as we have just seen above, $V(R G)=G \times V(R G) / G$. But then the Ulm-Kaplansky functions argument means that $V(R G)=G$, i.e., by Lemma 3, $V(R G)$ is finite which is against our hypothesis.

## Commutative semisimple group algebras and Prüfer groups

Theorem 3. Suppose $G$ is a p-group and $K$ is the first kind field with respect to $p$. Then $S(K G)$ cannot be a Prüfer group.

Proof. Let $S(K G)$ be a Prüfer group. By definition, $S^{p^{\omega}}(K G)$ is cyclic of order $p$. Exploiting [20, Theorem 19], $S^{p^{\omega}}(K G)$ is divisible. Thus $S^{p^{\omega}}(K G)=1$, a contradiction.

Note 1: In the situation of Theorem $3, S(K G) / S^{p^{\omega}}(K G) \cong S(K G)$ has Ulm-Kaplansky invariants equal to 1 . Taking into account [21, Theorem 7], $S(K G)$ possesses UlmKaplansky functions equal to 0 or to $|B|$ where $B$ is the basic subgroup of $G$. Hence, $B=1$, i.e., $G$ is divisible. Furthermore, in virtue of [8, Theorem 4], we derive that $S(K G)$ is divisible. But it is reduced, i.e., $S(K G)=1$, a contradiction.

Theorem 4. Suppose $G$ is a p-group and $K$ is a field of the first kind with respect to $p$. Then $S(K G) / G$ cannot be a Prüfer group.

Proof. According to [11, Proposition 1], $(S(K G) / G)^{p^{\omega}}$ is always divisible (see also [8]). So, it cannot be a cyclic group of order $p$. That is why $S(K G) / G$ cannot be a Prüfer group, as asserted.

Note 2: As in Note 1, the Ulm-Kaplansky arguments from [11] are also applicable to deduce that $S(K G) / G$ cannot be, in fact, a Prüfer group.

Theorem 5. Suppose $G$ is a p-group and $K$ is the second kind field with respect to $p$. Then $S(K G)$ cannot be a Prüfer group.

Proof. Owing to [20, p. 36 and Theorem 21], we find that $S(K G)$ is a direct sum of co-cyclic groups, hence it is not a Prüfer group.

Theorem 6. Suppose $G$ is a p-group and $K$ is a field of the second kind with respect to p. Then $S(K G) / G$ cannot be a Prüfer group.

Proof. If $G$ is finite, $S(K G)$ is finite or divisible whence so is $S(K G) / G$. Therefore, it is not a Prüfer group.

When $G$ is infinite, we employ [20, p.45, Theorem 21] to infer that $S(K G) / G$ need not be a Prüfer group. In fact, if $p \neq 2$, then $S(K G)$ is divisible, whereas if $p=2$ and $G^{p} \neq 1$, then $S^{p}(K G)$ is divisible. Thus in both cases $(S(K G) / G)^{p}=S^{p}(K G) G / G$ is divisible, and consequently $(S(K G) / G)^{p^{\omega}}=(S(K G) / G)^{p}$ is not cyclic. When $G^{p}=1$, we observe that $S(K G)$ is bounded by $p$, whence the same is $S(K G) / G$.

So, in any event, $S(K G) / G$ is not a Prüfer group, as expected.
Example 4. As in the modular case, one can illustrate in Theorem 3 that the equality $S(K G)=\oplus_{n<\omega} \mathrm{Z}\left(p^{n}\right)$ is not valid by applying [20] and [21]; see the proof of Theorem 3 as well.

## Global case

Combining both the modular and semi-simple cases, we establish the following.
Global Theorem 7. Let $G$ be a p-group and let $F$ be a field of arbitrary characteristic. Then $S(F G)$ and $S(F G) / G$ cannot be Prüfer groups.

Proof. Each field has characteristic $p$ or characteristic different from $p$. These fields with characteristic $\neq p$ are either of the first kind with respect to $p$ or of the second kind with respect to $p$, respectively. Henceforth, the foregoing theorems work.
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