EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS FOR HIGH ORDER ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH SOME PERIODIC-TYPE BOUNDARY CONDITION
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Abstract. We consider the following high order periodic-type boundary value problem

\[
(PBV) \begin{cases}
(E) & u^{(n)}(t) = f(t, u(t), u^{(1)}(t), \ldots, u^{(n-2)}(t), u^{(n-1)}(t)) \text{ for } t \in (0, T) \\
(PBC) & u^{(i)}(0) = 0, \ 0 \leq i \leq n - 3, \\
& u^{(n-2)}(0) = u^{(n-2)}(T), \\
& u^{(n-1)}(0) = u^{(n-1)}(T),
\end{cases}
\]

where \( f \in C([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}), \ n \geq 2 \) and satisfies the so-called Nagumo’s condition.

In this article, we will use a general upper and lower solution method to establish an existence theorem for solutions of \((PBV)\).

1. Introduction

In this paper, we construct an upper and lower solution theory for the existence of solutions of the high order periodic-type boundary value problem as follows:

\[
(PBV) \begin{cases}
(E) & u^{(n)}(t) = f(t, u(t), u^{(1)}(t), \ldots, u^{(n-2)}(t), u^{(n-1)}(t)) \text{ for } t \in (0, T) \\
(PBC) & u^{(i)}(0) = 0, \ 0 \leq i \leq n - 3, \\
& u^{(n-2)}(0) = u^{(n-2)}(T), \\
& u^{(n-1)}(0) = u^{(n-1)}(T),
\end{cases}
\]

where \( f \in C([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}), \ n \geq 2 \).

Mawhin in [11] first gave the upper and lower solution method for the following Duffing equation

\[ u'' + ku' + f(t, u) = 0 \text{ on } (0, T) \]

with boundary condition

\[ u(0) = u(T), \ u'(0) = u'(T) \]
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under the continuity of $f$. Nkashama generalized this method to the Carathéodory case in [12] for the first order differential equation. In [8], Habets et al. obtained some similar results to the Carathéodory case for Liénard equation, which is more general than the Duffing equation. But their results are only applicable to the case $k > 0$. In [13], Nieto et al. extended these results in a way. Moreover, Wang in [14] extended these results under a Carathéodory case for $k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, the upper and lower solutions which he used may no longer be periodic. Recently, more and more authors pay attention to second order periodic boundary value problems, such as [9, 15]. In this article, we want to generalize the upper and lower solution theory for the existence of second order periodic BVPs, mentioned in [6, Theorem 2.1].

In 1934, J. Leray and J. Schauder introduced some “Nonlinear Alternative” theorems for compact maps. These theorems have enhanced greatly the theory of ordinary differential equations and are still used widely today. In this article, we attempt to generalize the above-mentioned results with respect to the existence of solutions of second order periodic boundary value problems to high order periodic-type boundary value problems (PBVP). In fact, there are many investigations extended the related topics about second order differential equations to high order differential equations. For these investigations, we refer to Agarwal et al. [1, 2, 3, 4] and the references therein.

This paper is organized as follows: In this section, we give a brief introduction for our motivation. The section 2 offers some definitions and preliminaries. Our main result is stated in our section 3, which is constructed by upper and lower solution method associated with the related degree theory. Finally, we afford an example for applications.

2. Definitions and preliminaries

First, we introduce some extension on the well-known Nagumo’s condition[5] of our nonlinear source term.

**Definition A.** Let $f \in C([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ and $v, w \in C^n([0,T], \mathbb{R})$ with $v^{(n-2)}(t) \leq w^{(n-2)}(t)$ on $[0,T]$. Then we say that $f$ satisfies a Nagumo’s condition on $[0,T]$ with respect to $(v, w, h, N)$, if the following hypotheses hold:

(i) there exists a function $h \in C([0, \infty), (0, \infty))$ such that

$$|f(t, p_1, \cdots, p_{n-1}, p_n)| \leq h(|p_n|), \text{ for } t \in [0,T], \ v^{(i-1)}(t) \leq p_i \leq w^{(i-1)}(t),$$

where $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1$;

(ii) there is an $N > 0$ such that $|v^{(n-1)}(t)|, |w^{(n-1)}(t)| \leq N$ on $[0,T]$ and

$$\int_0^N \frac{s}{h(s)} ds > \max_{t \in [0,T]} w^{(n-2)}(t) - \min_{t \in [0,T]} v^{(n-2)}(t)$$

Next, we define the corresponding lower and upper solution.
Theorem C. "homotopic invariance" type of approach.

Suppose that $H$ is a continuous and compact map. Define a map $\eta$ by

$$\eta^{(i)}(0) = v^{(n-2)}(T),$$

$$\eta^{(n-1)}(0) = v^{(n-1)}(T).$$

The following tool is essential as looking for fixed points of maps by means of a "homotopic invariance" type of approach.

**Theorem C.** ([10]) Let $B$ be a Banach space, and $\Omega$ be a bounded open neighborhood of $p \in B$. Let $T : \overline{\Omega} \to B$ be a continuous and compact map. Define a map $H : \overline{\Omega} \times [0, 1] \to B$ by

$$H(u, \lambda) = u - \lambda Tu, \text{ for } u \in \overline{\Omega}, \lambda \in [0, 1].$$

Suppose that $H(u, \lambda) \neq p$ for all $\lambda \in [0, 1], u \in \partial \Omega$. Then the equation:

$$H(u, 1) = p,$$

that is, $Tu = u - p$ has a solution $u \in \Omega$.

3. Main results

Throughout this paper, we assume:

(H$_1$) $v$ and $w$ are the given lower and upper solutions of (PBVP) with

$$v^{(n-2)}(t) \leq w^{(n-2)}(t) \text{ for } t \in [0, T],$$

(H$_2$) $f$ satisfies a Nagumo’s condition with respect to $(v, w, h, N)$, and

(H$_3$) for fixed $(t, p_n-1) \in [0, T] \times [v^{(n-2)}(t), w^{(n-2)}(t)]$, $f(t, p_1, \ldots, p_{n-2}, p_{n-1}, p_n)$ is decreasing with respect to $(p_1, \ldots, p_{n-2}, p_n) \in [v(t), w(t)] \times \cdots \times [v^{(n-3)}(t), w^{(n-3)}(t)] \times \mathbb{R}$.

From (H$_2$), we define the modification $f^*(t, p_1, \ldots, p_{n-1}, p_n)$ of $f(t, p_1, \ldots, p_{n-1}, p_n)$ associated with the triple $(v(t), w(t), N)$ as follows:

$$(1) \quad f^*(t, p_1, \ldots, p_{n-1}, p_n) := f(t, \eta_1, \eta_2, \ldots, \eta_{n-1}, \eta_n) + p_{n-1} - \eta_{n-1},$$

where, for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1$, $t \in [0, T]$,  

$$\eta_i = \begin{cases} 
  w^{(i-1)}(t), & \text{if } p_i > w^{(i-1)}(t), \\
  p_i, & \text{if } v^{(i-1)}(t) \leq p_i \leq w^{(i-1)}(t), \\
  v^{(i-1)}(t), & \text{if } p_i < v^{(i-1)}(t),
\end{cases}$$

Now, we show that $H(\bar{t}) < 0$, which contradicts to the fact that $H(t_0) > 0$ is the maximum of $H(t)$ in $(0, T)$. Thus, $t_0 \notin (0, T)$ and $H(t_0) = H(0) = H(T)$, contradicting to the definition of the maximum of $H(t)$ in $(0, T)$. Hence, we can see that $0 < H'(0) = u^{(n-1)}(0) - w^{(n-1)}(0) \leq u^{(n-1)}(T) - w^{(n-1)}(T) = H'(T) \geq 0$.

Note that $f^*$ is bounded on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}$. Immediately, we can define the modified periodic boundary value problem of $(PBVP)$

$$(P^*)\begin{cases}
(E^*) \quad u^{(n)}(t) = f^*(t, u(t), u^{(1)}(t), \ldots, u^{(n-2)}(t), u^{(n-1)}(t)) \text{ for } t \in (0, T) \\
(PBC) \quad \begin{aligned}
&u^{(i)}(0) = 0, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n - 3, \\
&w^{(n-2)}(0) = u^{(n-2)}(T), \\
&u^{(n-1)}(0) = u^{(n-1)}(T),
\end{aligned}
\end{cases}$$

where $f^*$ is the modification of $f$ associated with the triple $(v(t), w(t), N)$ defined as (1).

**Lemma 1.** Under assumptions $(H_1)$, $(H_2)$ and $(H_3)$, if $u \in C^n([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ is a solution of $(P^*)$, then $u$ is also a solution of $(PBVP)$.

**Proof.** Suppose $u$ is a solution of $(P^*)$, it follows from (1) that we suffice to show that, for all $t \in [0, T]$, $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 3, n - 2$,

$$v^{(i)}(t) \leq u^{(i)}(t) \leq w^{(i)}(t) \text{ and } |u^{(n-1)}(t)| \leq N.$$  

In order to complete the proof, we separate the proof into the following two steps:

**Step 1** We claim that $v^{(n-2)}(t) \leq u^{(n-2)}(t) \leq w^{(n-2)}(t)$ for all $t \in [0, T]$. Suppose to the contrary that there exists a $t \in [0, T]$ such that $v^{(n-2)}(t) > w^{(n-2)}(t)$. Let $H(t) := w^{(n-2)}(t) - v^{(n-2)}(t)$ on $[0, T]$ and $t_0 \in [0, T]$ satisfy $H(t_0) = \max_{t \in [0, T]} H(t) > 0$. Now, we show that $t_0 \notin (0, T)$. Assume to the contrary that $t_0 \in (0, T)$, then $H'(t_0) = 0$. Hence it follows that

$$v^{(n-2)}(t_0) > w^{(n-2)}(t_0), \quad u^{(n-1)}(t_0) = w^{(n-1)}(t_0)$$

and

$$|u^{(n-1)}(t_0)| = |w^{(n-1)}(t_0)| \leq N.$$

Thus, by Definition B and (1) we have

$$u^{(n)}(t_0) = f^*(t, u(t_0), u^{(1)}(t_0), \ldots, u^{(n-2)}(t_0), u^{(n-1)}(t_0))$$

$$= f(t, \eta_1, \eta_2, \ldots, \eta_{n-2}, \eta_{n-1}, \eta_n) + u^{(n-2)}(t_0) - \eta_{n-1}$$

$$= f(t, \eta_1, \eta_2, \ldots, \eta_{n-2}, w^{(n-2)}(t_0), w^{(n-1)}(t_0)) + u^{(n-2)}(t_0) - w^{(n-2)}(t_0)$$

$$> f(t, w(t_0), w^{(1)}(t_0), \ldots, w^{(n-3)}(t_0), w^{(n-2)}(t_0), w^{(n-1)}(t_0))$$

$$\geq w^{(n)}(t_0).$$

This implies $H''(t_0) > 0$, which contradicts to the fact that $H(t_0) > 0$ is the maximum of $H(t)$ in $(0, T)$. Thus, $t_0 \notin (0, T)$ and $H(t_0) = H(0) = H(T) = \max_{t \in [0, T]} H(t) > 0$. Hence, we can see that

$$0 \geq H'(0) = u^{(n-1)}(0) - w^{(n-1)}(0) \geq u^{(n-1)}(T) - w^{(n-1)}(T) = H'(T) \geq 0.$$
This implies $H'(0) = H'(T) = 0$, that is, $u^{(n-1)}(0) = u^{(n-1)}(T) = w^{(n-1)}(T)$. Moreover, for $t > 0$ near 0, one have

$$u^{(n-2)}(s) - w^{(n-2)}(s) > 0,$$

and

$$u^{(n-1)}(s) - w^{(n-1)}(s) \leq 0,$$

for all $s \in (0, t)$.

Thus, again by Definition B and $(H_3)$,

$$u^{(n-1)}\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) - w^{(n-1)}\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) = \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} (u^{(n)}(s) - w^{(n)}(s))ds$$

$$= \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} f^*(s, u(s), \ldots, u^{(n-1)}(s)) - w^{(n)}(s)ds$$

$$\geq \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} f(s, w(s), \ldots, w^{(n-1)}(s)) + u^{(n-2)}(s) - w^{(n-2)}(s) - w^{(n)}(s)ds$$

$$> 0,$$

a contradiction. So far we already show that $u^{(n-2)}(t) \leq w^{(n-2)}(t)$ on $[0, T]$. Similarly, we can prove that $v^{(n-2)}(t) \leq u^{(n-2)}(t)$ on $[0, T]$. Finally, since

$$v^{(i)}(0) \leq u^{(i)}(0) \leq w^{(i)}(0), \text{ for } i = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 3,$$

we conclude that

$$v^{(i)}(t) \leq u^{(i)}(t) \leq w^{(i)}(t) \text{ on } [0, T], \text{ for } i = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 3, n - 2.$$

Step 2: Note that by the mean value theorem, there exists a point $\bar{t} \in (0, T)$ such that

$$|u^{(n-1)}(\bar{t})| = \left|\frac{u^{(n-2)}(T) - u^{(n-2)}(0)}{T - 0}\right| = 0.$$

We claim that $|u^{(n-1)}(t)| \leq N$ on $[0, T]$. Suppose to the contrary that $|u^{(n-1)}(t)| > N$ for some $t \in [0, T]$. Then there exists an interval $[t_1, t_2) \subset [0, T]$ such that one of the following cases holds:

Case(1) $u^{(n-1)}(t_1) = 0, u^{(n-1)}(t_2) = N$ (or $u^{(n-1)}(t_1) = N, u^{(n-1)}(t_2) = 0$) and $0 < u^{(n-1)}(t) < N$ in $(t_1, t_2)$;

Case(2) $u^{(n-1)}(t_1) = 0, u^{(n-1)}(t_2) = -N$ (or $u^{(n-1)}(t_1) = -N, u^{(n-1)}(t_2) = 0$) and $-N < u^{(n-1)}(t) < 0$ on $(t_1, t_2)$.

Let us consider the Case(1). Since we have proved that $v^{(i)}(t) \leq u^{(i)}(t) \leq w^{(i)}(t)$ on $[0, T]$ for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 2$, it follows from Definition A and (1) that

$$|u^{(n)}(t)|u^{(n-1)}(t) = |f(t, u(t), u^{(1)}(t), \ldots, u^{(n-2)}(t), u^{(n-1)}(t))u^{(n-1)}(t)|$$

$$\leq h(|u^{(n-1)}(t)|)u^{(n-1)}(t)$$
on \([t_1, t_2]\). Therefore, we see that
\[
\begin{align*}
&u^{(n-2)}(t_2) - u^{(n-2)}(t_1) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} u^{(n-1)}(s) ds \\
&\geq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{|u^{(n)}(s)|u^{(n-1)}(s)}{h(|u^{(n-1)}(s)|)} ds \\
&\geq \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{u^{(n)}(s)u^{(n-1)}(s)}{h(|u^{(n-1)}(s)|)} ds \right| \\
&= \int_{0}^{N} \frac{\theta}{h(\theta)} ds \\
&\geq \max_{t \in [0, T]} u^{(n-2)}(t) - \min_{t \in [0, T]} u^{(n-2)}(t) \\
&\geq u^{(n-2)}(t_2) - u^{(n-2)}(t_1).
\end{align*}
\]
This leads to a contradiction. One can deal with Case(2) in a similar way and conclude that \(|u^{(n-1)}(t)| \leq N\) on \([0, T]\). Hence, we complete the proof.

**Theorem 2 (Main Result).** Under assumptions (H1), (H2) and (H3), (PBVP) has at least one solution \(u \in C^n([0, T], \mathbb{R})\) such that, for \(i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-2\),
\[
v^{(i)}(t) \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq u^{(i)}(t) \text{ and } |u^{(n-1)}(t)| \leq N \text{ on } [0, T].
\]

**Proof.** It follows from Lemma 1 that we only have to show that the modified problem \((P^*)\) has at least one solution by applying Theorem C. First, for \(\lambda \in [0, 1]\), we consider
\[
(P_\lambda) \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
u^{(n)}(t) - u^{(n-2)}(t) = \lambda[f^*(t, u(t), u^{(1)}(t), \ldots, u^{(n-2)}(t), u^{(n-1)}(t))] - u^{(n-2)}(t), \\
(PBC).
\end{array} \right.
\]
Define two operator \(L : B_0 \rightarrow C([0, T], \mathbb{R})\) by
\[
(Lu)(t) := u^{(n)}(t) - u^{(n-2)}(t), \quad t \in [0, T],
\]
where \(B_0 := \{ u \in C^n([0, T], \mathbb{R}) \mid u \text{satisfies (PBC)} \}\) and \(G : C^{n-1}([0, T], \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C([0, T], \mathbb{R})\) by
\[
(Gu)(t) = f^*(t, u(t), u^{(1)}(t), \ldots, u^{(n-2)}(t), u^{(n-1)}(t)) - u^{(n-2)}(t), \quad t \in [0, T].
\]

**Claim:** \(L^{-1} : C([0, T], \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow B_0\) exists and is continuous.

Now we show that \(ker(L) = \{0\}\). It is clear that the equation \(u^{(n)}(t) - u^{(n-2)}(t) = 0\) has a general solution
\[
u(t) = a_0 + a_1 t + \cdots + a_{n-3}t^{n-3} + c_1 e^t + c_2 e^{-t},
\]
where \(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{n-3}, c_1, c_2\) are constants. Thus, we conclude that \(ker(L) = \{0\}\).
where \(a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_{n-3}, c_1, c_2\) are constants. Since \(u \in B_0\), by a simple calculation, we obtain that \(a_0 = a_1 = \cdots = a_{n-3} = c_1 = c_2 = 0\) which implies \(u(t) \equiv 0\). Thus, \(\ker(L) = \{0\}\), that is, \(L\) is injective. One can also verify that \(L\) is surjective via the method of variation of parameters. Hence, \(L^{-1}\) exists. Finally, according to the inverse mapping theorem we conclude that \(L^{-1} : C([0, T], \mathbb{R}) \to B_0\) is also continuous and complete this claim.

Let \(\Omega = \{u \in C^{n-1}([0, T], \mathbb{R}) \mid ||u||_{C^{n-1}} < \frac{M}{r}\}\), where \(M > 0\) is the bound of \(f^*\) on \([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n\), and \(r = \frac{1}{||L||} < \infty\) since \(L^{-1}\) exists and is continuous. \(\Omega\) is a bounded open neighborhood of 0 in the Banach space \(C^{n-1}([0, T], \mathbb{R})\). Define \(T = L^{-1}G\). We can show that \(T\) is a compact and continuous map from \(\Omega\) into \(C^{n-1}([0, T], \mathbb{R})\). Let \(H(u, \lambda) = u - \lambda T u\) for \(u \in \Omega\), \(\lambda \in [0, 1]\), then \(H : \Omega \times [0, 1] \to C^{n-1}([0, T], \mathbb{R})\) is of the form as shown in \textbf{Theorem C}. Since \(0 \in \Omega\), it is clear that \(H(u, \lambda) \neq 0\) when \(u \in \partial \Omega\) and \(\lambda = 0\). For \(\lambda = 1\), if \(H(u, \lambda) = 0\) for some \(u \in \partial \Omega\), then we already have a solution to \((P^*)\). Hence, we assume here that \(H(u, 1) \neq 0\) for \(u \in \partial \Omega\). It remains to show that \(H(u, \lambda) \neq 0\) for \(u \in \partial \Omega\), \(0 < \lambda < 1\), before applying \textbf{Theorem C}. Suppose not, then there is a \(\lambda \in (0, 1), \tilde{u} \in \partial \Omega\) satisfies \(\tilde{u} = \lambda T \tilde{u}\), that is,

\[
L \tilde{u}(t) = G \tilde{u}(t) \text{ on } [0, T].
\]

Moreover, since \(K := L^{-1}\) exists and is continuous, we have

\[
M > ||\tilde{u}^{(n)} - \tilde{u}^{(n-2)}||_{C^0} = ||L \tilde{u}||_{C^0} \geq ||\frac{K}{||L||} L \tilde{u}||_{C^n} = r ||\tilde{u}||_{C^n},
\]

this leads a contradiction. Hence, by \textbf{Theorem C}, there is a \(u \in \Omega\) satisfying \(Tu = u\). In fact, \(u \in C^n([0, T], \mathbb{R})\) is a solution of \((P^*)\) and we completely prove our main result.

4. An Example

\textbf{Example 3.} Consider

\[
\begin{align*}
\epsilon^3 u''(t) &= (u''(t))^2 + (u'(t))^3 - \cos^2 t - \sin^3 t \text{ on } (0, 2\pi), \\
u(0) = 0, \quad u'(0) = u'(2\pi), \quad u''(0) = u''(2\pi),
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\epsilon > 0\) is a small parameter. It is easy to see that

\[
v(t) = -\cos t - 2\epsilon t - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad w(t) = -\cos t + 2\epsilon t + 1
\]

are lower and upper solutions. Moreover, \(f(t, p_1, p_2, p_3) := p_1^2 + p_2^3 - \cos^2 t - \sin^3 t\) satisfies \((H_1)\), \((H_2)\) and \((H_3)\). By \textbf{Theorem 2}, this problem has a solution

\[
u(t) = -\cos t + O(\epsilon).
\]

Moreover,

\[
u'(t) = \sin t + O(\epsilon) \quad \text{and} \quad u''(t) = \cos t.
\]
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