



AN INVERSE SPECTRAL PROBLEM FOR DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS WITH INTEGRAL DELAY

YU. KURYSHOVA

Abstract. The uniqueness theorem is proved for the solution of the inverse spectral problem for second-order integro-differential operators on a finite interval. These operators are perturbations of the Sturm-Liouville operator with convolution and one-dimensional operators. The main tool is an integral transform connected with solutions of integro-differential operators.

1. Introduction

Consider the problem $L = L(q, M, R, V)$ of the form

$$\ell y(x) := -y''(x) + q(x)y(x) + \int_0^x M(x-t)y(t) dt + R(x) \int_0^\pi V(t)y(t) dt = \lambda y(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq \pi, \quad (1)$$

$$y(0) = y'(0) = 0, \quad (2)$$

where λ is the spectral parameter, and q, M, R, V are continuous functions. The operator ℓ is a perturbation of the Sturm-Liouville operator with convolution and one-dimensional operators. We study the inverse spectral problem for L . Inverse problems of spectral analysis consist in recovering operators from their spectral characteristics. Such problems often appear in many branches of natural sciences and engineering. For the Sturm-Liouville differential operator, inverse spectral problems have been studied fairly completely (see the monographs [1]-[5] and the references therein). Inverse problems for integro-differential and integral operators are much more difficult for investigating, and nowadays there are only several isolated results related to these "non-local" inverse problems (see [6]-[9] and the references therein). The integro-differential operator ℓ , considered in the present paper, is the one-dimensional perturbation of the Volterra integro-differential operator. We study the inverse problem of recovering the perturbation provided that the Volterra part is known a priori. In order to formulate the inverse problem for (1)-(2) we first introduce the spectral data for L .

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47G20, 45J05, 44A15.

Key words and phrases. Integro-differential operators, integral transforms, inverse spectral problems, uniqueness theorem.

Let $u(x, \lambda)$ be the solution of the Cauchy problem

$$-u''(x, \lambda) + q(x)u(x, \lambda) + \int_0^x M(x-t)u(t, \lambda) dt + R(x) = \lambda u(x, \lambda), \quad u(0, \lambda) = u'(0, \lambda) = 0. \quad (3)$$

Denote

$$\Delta(\lambda) := 1 - \int_0^\pi V(t)u(t, \lambda) dt. \quad (4)$$

The function $\Delta(\lambda)$ is entire in λ , and its zeros $\{\lambda_n\}$ coincide with the eigenvalues of L . The function $\Delta(\lambda)$ is called the characteristic function of L .

We note that if $V(x) \equiv 0$ or/and $R(x) \equiv 0$, then $\Delta(\lambda) \equiv 1$ (if $R(x) \equiv 0$, then $u(x, \lambda) \equiv 0$, since the Cauchy problem is equivalent to the homogeneous integral Volterra equation of second kind), and L has no eigenvalues. In order to avoid this trivial case we will assume in the sequel that

$$R(x) \sim d_0 x^\alpha, \quad V(\pi-x) \sim d_1 x^\beta, \quad x \rightarrow 0, \quad d_0 d_1 \neq 0, \quad (5)$$

where $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$. In this case L has a countable set of eigenvalues $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \geq 1}$. Moreover, if $\kappa_n \geq 1$ is a multiplicity of the zero λ_n of $\Delta(\lambda)$, then the functions

$$u_{jn}(x) := \frac{\partial^j u(x, \lambda)}{\partial \lambda^j} \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_n}, \quad j = \overline{0, \kappa_n - 1}$$

are root functions of L . Denote $\alpha_{jn} := u_{jn}(\pi)$, $n \geq 1$, $j = \overline{0, \kappa_n - 1}$. The data $S := \{\lambda_n, \alpha_{jn}\}_{n \geq 1, j = \overline{0, \kappa_n - 1}}$ are called the spectral data of L .

The inverse problem is formulated as follows: Let q and M be known a priori and fixed. Given the spectral data S , construct R and V .

The goal of the present paper is to prove the uniqueness theorem for the solution of this inverse problem. For this purpose together with L we consider a problem $\tilde{L} := L(q, M, \tilde{R}, \tilde{V})$. We agree that if a certain symbol a denotes an object related to L , then \tilde{a} will denote a similar object related to \tilde{L} . Now we formulate the main result of the paper.

Theorem 1. *If $S = \tilde{S}$, then $R = \tilde{R}$ and $V = \tilde{V}$. Thus, the specification of the spectral data S uniquely determines the functions R and V .*

In Section 2 we establish some auxiliary propositions, and in Section 3 we provide the proof of Theorem 1.

2. Auxiliary propositions

Denote

$$\ell_1 y(x) := -y''(x) + q(x)y(x) + \int_0^x M(x-t)y(t) dt.$$

Let $S(x, \lambda)$ be the solution of the Cauchy problem

$$\ell_1 S(x, \lambda) = \lambda S(x, \lambda), \quad S(0, \lambda) = 0, \quad S'(0, \lambda) = 1. \tag{6}$$

By the same arguments as in [7] and [9] one gets that the following representation holds

$$S(x, \lambda) = \frac{\sin \rho x}{\rho} + \int_0^x K(x, t) \frac{\sin \rho t}{\rho} dt, \quad \lambda = \rho^2, \tag{7}$$

where $K(x, t)$ is a twice continuously differentiable function which does not depend on λ , and $K(x, 0) = 0$.

Lemma 1. *The following relations are valid*

$$K_{tt}(x, t) - K_{xx}(x, t) + q(x)K(x, t) + M(x-t) + \int_t^x M(x-\xi)K(\xi, t) d\xi = 0, \tag{8}$$

$$q(x) = 2 \frac{dK(x, x)}{dx}. \tag{9}$$

Proof. Differentiating (7) twice with respect to x , we get

$$S'(x, \lambda) = \cos \rho x + K(x, x) \frac{\sin \rho x}{\rho} + \int_0^x K_x(x, t) \frac{\sin \rho t}{\rho} dt,$$

$$S''(x, \lambda) = -\rho \sin \rho x + \frac{d}{dx} \left(K(x, x) \frac{\sin \rho x}{\rho} \right) + K_x(x, t)|_{t=x} \frac{\sin \rho x}{\rho} + \int_0^x K_{xx}(x, t) \frac{\sin \rho t}{\rho} dt.$$

Substituting into (6) we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho \sin \rho x - \frac{d}{dx} \left(K(x, x) \frac{\sin \rho x}{\rho} \right) - K_x(x, t)|_{t=x} \frac{\sin \rho x}{\rho} - \int_0^x K_{xx}(x, t) \frac{\sin \rho t}{\rho} dt \\ & + q(x) \frac{\sin \rho x}{\rho} + q(x) \int_0^x K(x, t) \frac{\sin \rho t}{\rho} dt + \int_0^x M(x-t) \frac{\sin \rho t}{\rho} dt \\ & + \int_0^x M(x-t) \left(\int_0^t K(t, \xi) \frac{\sin \rho \xi}{\rho} d\xi \right) dt = \rho \sin \rho x + \rho \int_0^x K(x, t) \sin \rho t dt. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating twice by parts the last integral we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^x \left(-K_{xx}(x, t) + q(x)K(x, t) + M(x-t) + \int_t^x M(x-\xi)K(\xi, t) d\xi \right) \frac{\sin \rho t}{\rho} dt \\ & - K_x(x, t)|_{t=x} \frac{\sin \rho x}{\rho} - \frac{dK(x, x)}{dx} \frac{\sin \rho x}{\rho} - K(x, x) \cos \rho x + q(x) \frac{\sin \rho x}{\rho} \\ & = -K(x, x) \cos \rho x + K(x, 0) + K_t(x, t)|_{t=x} \frac{\sin \rho x}{\rho} - \int_0^x K_{tt}(x, t) \frac{\sin \rho t}{\rho} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$K_x(x, t)|_{t=x} + K_t(x, t)|_{t=x} = \frac{dK(x, x)}{dx}, \quad K(x, 0) = 0,$$

it follows that

$$\int_0^x A(x, t) \sin \rho t \, dt + B(x) \sin \rho x = 0, \quad (10)$$

where

$$A(x, t) = K_{tt}(x, t) - K_{xx}(x, t) + q(x)K(x, t) + M(x-t) + \int_t^x M(x-\xi)K(\xi, t) \, d\xi,$$

$$B(x) = q(x) - 2 \frac{dK(x, x)}{dx}.$$

Fix x and take $\rho = \rho_n := (2\pi n + \pi/2)x^{-1}$ in (10). Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^x A(x, t) \sin(\rho_n t x^{-1}) \, dt = 0,$$

and consequently, (10) yields $B(x) \equiv 0$. Therefore, $\int_0^x A(x, t) \sin \rho t \, dt = 0$ for all ρ , and hence $A(x, t) = 0$ for $0 \leq t \leq x$. Lemma 1 is proved. \square

Lemma 2. Let $f \in C^2[0, \pi]$, and let

$$h(x) := f(x) + \int_0^x K(x, t) f(t) \, dt. \quad (11)$$

Then

$$\ell_1 h(x) = -f''(x) - \int_0^x K(x, t) f''(t) \, dt + f(0)K_t(x, t)|_{t=0}, \quad x \in [0, \pi]. \quad (12)$$

Proof. Differentiating (11) twice we get

$$h'(x) = f'(x) + K(x, x)f(x) + \int_0^x K_x(x, t)f(t) \, dt,$$

$$h''(x) = f''(x) + \frac{d}{dx} \left(K(x, x)f(x) \right) + K_x(x, t)|_{t=x}f(x) + \int_0^x K_{xx}(x, t)f(t) \, dt,$$

and consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_1 h(x) &= -f''(x) - \frac{d}{dx} \left(K(x, x)f(x) \right) - K_x(x, t)|_{t=x}f(x) - \int_0^x K_{xx}(x, t)f(t) \, dt \\ &\quad + q(x)f(x) + \int_0^x q(x)K(x, t)f(t) \, dt + \int_0^x M(x-t)f(t) \, dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^x M(x-t) \left(\int_0^t K(t, \xi)f(\xi) \, d\xi \right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Taking (9) into account we infer

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_1 h(x) &= -f''(x) + \int_0^x f(t) \left(-K_{xx}(x, t) + q(x)K(x, t) + M(x-t) + \int_t^x M(x-\xi)K(\xi, t) \, d\xi \right) dt \\ &\quad - K_x(x, t)|_{t=x}f(x) - \frac{dK(x, x)}{dx}f(x) - K(x, x)f'(x) + 2 \frac{dK(x, x)}{dx}f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Together with (8) this yields

$$\ell_1 h(x) = -f''(x) - \int_0^x f(t)K_{tt}(x, t) dt - K_x(x, t)|_{t=x}f(x) + \frac{dK(x, x)}{dx}f(x) - K(x, x)f'(x).$$

Integrating the integral by parts twice we calculate

$$\ell_1 h(x) = -f''(x) - \int_0^x K(x, t)f''(t) dt + f(0)K_t(x, t)|_{t=0},$$

i.e. (12) is valid. Lemma 2 is proved. □

3. The proof of Theorem 1

For each fixed t , let $g(x, t, \lambda)$, $x \geq t$ be the solution of the Cauchy problem

$$-g''(x, t, \lambda) + q(x)g(x, t, \lambda) - \lambda g(x, t, \lambda) + \int_t^x M(x - \xi)g(\xi, t, \lambda) d\xi = 0, \tag{13}$$

$$g(t, t, \lambda) = 0, \quad g_x(x, t, \lambda)|_{x=t} = 1 \tag{14}$$

with respect to x . It is easy to check that

$$u(x, \lambda) = \int_0^x g(x, t, \lambda)R(t) dt, \tag{15}$$

where $u(x, \lambda)$ was defined by (3). Fix t in (13)-(14) and make the substitution $x = z + t$, $g_1(z, \lambda) := g(z + t, t, \lambda)$. Then (13)-(14) take the form

$$-g_1''(z, \lambda) + q(z + t)g_1(z, \lambda) - \lambda g_1(z, \lambda) + \int_0^z M(z - \tau)g_1(\tau, \lambda) d\tau = 0, \tag{16}$$

$$g_1(0, \lambda) = 0, \quad g_1'(0, \lambda) = 1. \tag{17}$$

Similar to (7) one gets the representation

$$g_1(z, \lambda) = \frac{\sin \rho z}{\rho} + \int_0^z P_1(z, \tau, t) \frac{\sin \rho \tau}{\rho} d\tau,$$

where $P_1(z, \tau, t)$ is a smooth function. Therefore,

$$g(x, t, \lambda) = \frac{\sin \rho(x - t)}{\rho} + \int_0^{x-t} P(x, \tau, t) \frac{\sin \rho \tau}{\rho} d\tau, \tag{18}$$

where $P(x, \tau, t) = P_1(z, \tau, t)$. Substituting (18) into (15) we get

$$u(x, \lambda) = \int_0^x \left(\frac{\sin \rho(x - t)}{\rho} + \int_0^{x-t} P(x, \tau, t) \frac{\sin \rho \tau}{\rho} d\tau \right) R(t) dt,$$

and consequently,

$$u(x, \lambda) = \int_0^x \left(R(x - t) + \int_0^{x-t} P(x, t, \tau)R(\tau) d\tau \right) \frac{\sin \rho t}{\rho} dt. \tag{19}$$

Substituting (19) into (4) we calculate

$$\Delta(\lambda) = 1 - \int_0^\pi V(t) dt \int_0^t \left(R(t-s) + \int_0^{t-s} P(t, s, \tau) R(\tau) d\tau \right) \frac{\sin \rho s}{\rho} ds.$$

This yields

$$\Delta(\lambda) = 1 - \int_0^\pi \frac{\sin \rho s}{\rho} \left(\int_s^\pi V(t) \left(R(t-s) + \int_0^{t-s} P(t, s, \tau) R(\tau) d\tau \right) dt \right) ds$$

or

$$\Delta(\lambda) = 1 + \int_0^\pi B(t) \frac{\sin \rho t}{\rho} dt, \quad (20)$$

where

$$B(t) = - \int_t^\pi V(s) \left(R(s-t) + \int_0^{s-t} P(s, t, \tau) R(\tau) d\tau \right) ds \quad (21)$$

Changing the variable $s = \pi - \xi$ in (21) we infer

$$B(t) = - \int_0^{\pi-t} V(\pi - \xi) \left(R(\pi - \xi - t) + \int_0^{\pi - \xi - t} P(\pi - \xi, t, \tau) R(\tau) d\tau \right) d\xi.$$

Taking $x = \pi - t$, we rewrite the last relation in the following form

$$B(\pi - x) = - \int_0^x V(\pi - \xi) \left(R(x - \xi) + \int_0^{x - \xi} P(\pi - \xi, \pi - x, \tau) R(\tau) d\tau \right) d\xi. \quad (22)$$

It follows from (5) and (22) that $B(t) \in C[0, \pi]$, and

$$B(\pi - x) = dx^{\alpha + \beta + 1} (1 + o(1)), \quad x \rightarrow 0, \quad (23)$$

where $d = -d_0 d_1 \neq 0$. Using (20) and (23) by the well-known method (see, for example, [10]) one gets that the function $\Delta(\lambda)$ is entire in λ of order $1/2$, and

$$\Delta(\lambda) = 1 + O(\rho^{-1}), \quad \lambda = \rho^2 > 0, \quad \lambda \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (24)$$

$$|\Delta(\lambda)| \geq C_\delta |\rho|^{-\gamma} \exp(|\operatorname{Im} \rho| \pi), \quad \rho \in G_\delta, \quad (25)$$

where $\gamma = \alpha + \beta + 3$, $G_\delta = \{\rho : |\rho - \rho_n| \geq \delta \quad \forall n \geq 1\}$, $\lambda = \rho^2$, $\lambda_n = \rho_n^2$, $\delta > 0$. According to (24) and Hadamard's factorization theorem, the function $\Delta(\lambda)$ is uniquely determined by its zeros. Under the assumptions of the theorem this yields

$$\Delta(\lambda) \equiv \tilde{\Delta}(\lambda). \quad (26)$$

We consider the function

$$F(\lambda) := \frac{u(\pi, \lambda) - \tilde{u}(\pi, \lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)}.$$

Using (19), (25) and the assumptions of the theorem we conclude that the function $F(\lambda)$ is entire in λ , and $F(\lambda) = O(\lambda^p)$ as $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$, with $p \geq 0$. By Liouville's theorem one gets that $F(\lambda)$

is a polynomial. On the other hand, taking (19) and (24) into account we infer that $F(\lambda)$ tends to zero as $\lambda > 0, \lambda \rightarrow +\infty$. Therefore $F(\lambda) \equiv 0$, i.e.

$$u(\pi, \lambda) \equiv \tilde{u}(\pi, \lambda). \tag{27}$$

Furthermore, it follows from (19) and (27) that

$$\int_0^\pi \left(R(\pi - t) - \tilde{R}(\pi - t) + \int_0^{\pi-t} P(\pi, t, \tau)(R(\tau) - \tilde{R}(\tau)) d\tau \right) \sin \rho t dt \equiv 0,$$

and consequently,

$$R(\pi - t) - \tilde{R}(\pi - t) + \int_0^{\pi-t} P(\pi, t, \tau)(R(\tau) - \tilde{R}(\tau)) d\tau \equiv 0,$$

or

$$R(x) - \tilde{R}(x) + \int_0^x P(\pi, \pi - x, \tau)(R(\tau) - \tilde{R}(\tau)) d\tau \equiv 0.$$

Since this homogeneous integral equation has only the trivial solution it follows that

$$R(x) = \tilde{R}(x), \quad x \in [0, \pi]. \tag{28}$$

Let the functions $u_0(x, \lambda)$ and $R_0(x)$ be the solutions of the integral equations

$$u(x, \lambda) = u_0(x, \lambda) + \int_0^x K(x, t)u_0(t, \lambda) dt, \quad R(x) = R_0(x) + \int_0^x K(x, t)R_0(t) dt. \tag{29}$$

In view of (3) and (29),

$$u_0(0, \lambda) = u_0'(0, \lambda) = 0, \ell_1 u(x, \lambda) = \lambda u(x, \lambda) - R(x).$$

Moreover, applying Lemma 2 one gets

$$\ell_1 u(x, \lambda) = -u_0''(x, \lambda) - \int_0^x K(x, t)u_0''(t, \lambda) dt. \tag{30}$$

It follows from (29) and () that

$$\ell_1 u(x, \lambda) = \lambda u_0(x, \lambda) + \lambda \int_0^x K(x, t)u_0(t, \lambda) dt - R_0(x) - \int_0^x K(x, t)R_0(t) dt.$$

Comparing this relation with (30) we obtain

$$u_0''(x, \lambda) + \lambda u_0(x, \lambda) - R_0(x) + \int_0^x K(x, t)(u_0''(t, \lambda) + \lambda u_0(t, \lambda) - R_0(t)) dt = 0,$$

and consequently,

$$u_0''(x, \lambda) + \lambda u_0(x, \lambda) = R_0(x). \tag{31}$$

The solution of the Cauchy problem (31), () has the form

$$u_0(x, \lambda) = \int_0^x \frac{\sin \rho(x-t)}{\rho} R_0(t) dt. \quad (32)$$

Denote

$$V_0(x) = V(x) + \int_x^\pi K(t, x) V(t) dt. \quad (33)$$

It follows from (4), (29) and (33) that

$$\Delta(\lambda) = 1 - \int_0^\pi V_0(t) u_0(t, \lambda) dt. \quad (34)$$

Taking (28), (29) and (32) into account we obtain $u_0(x, \lambda) \equiv \tilde{u}_0(x, \lambda)$. Together with (26) and (34) this yields

$$\int_0^\pi (V_0(t) - \tilde{V}_0(t)) u_0(t, \lambda) dt \equiv 0.$$

Using (32) again we calculate

$$\int_0^\pi \left(\int_s^\pi (V_0(t) - \tilde{V}_0(t)) R_0(t-s) dt \right) \sin \rho s ds \equiv 0,$$

and consequently,

$$\int_s^\pi (V_0(t) - \tilde{V}_0(t)) R_0(t-s) dt \equiv 0, \quad s \in [0, \pi],$$

or

$$\int_0^x (V_0(\pi - \xi) - \tilde{V}_0(\pi - \xi)) R_0(x - \xi) d\xi \equiv 0, \quad x \in [0, \pi].$$

Applying Titchmarsh's theorem we infer $V_0(\pi - \xi) \equiv \tilde{V}_0(\pi - \xi)$, $\xi \in [0, \pi]$ or

$$V_0(x) \equiv \tilde{V}_0(x), \quad x \in [0, \pi].$$

By virtue of (33) this yields

$$V(x) \equiv \tilde{V}(x), \quad x \in [0, \pi],$$

and Theorem 1 is proved. □

Acknowledgement

This research was supported in part by Grants 10-01-00099 and 10-01-92001-NSC of Russian Foundation for Basic Research and Taiwan National Science Council.

References

- [1] V. A. Marchenko, Sturm-Liouville operators and their applications. "Naukova Dumka", Kiev, 1977; English transl., Birkhäuser, 1986.
- [2] B. M. Levitan, Inverse Sturm-Liouville problems. Nauka, Moscow, 1984; English transl., VNU Sci.Press, Utrecht, 1987.
- [3] G. Freiling and V. A. Yurko, Inverse Sturm-Liouville Problems and their Applications. NOVA Science Publishers, New York, 2001.
- [4] R. Beals, P. Deift and C. Tomei, Direct and inverse scattering on the line. Mathematica Surveys and Monographs, 28. AMS, Providence, RI, 1988.
- [5] V. A. Yurko, Method of Spectral Mappings in the Inverse Problem Theory, Inverse and Ill-posed Problems Series. VSP, Utrecht, 2002, 303pp.
- [6] V. A. Yurko, An inverse problem for integro-differential operators. Mat. zametki, **50**, (1991), 134–146 (Russian); English transl. in Math. Notes, 50, no.5-6 (1991), 1188–1197.
- [7] V. A. Yurko, *An inverse problem for integral operators*, Mat. Zametki, **37**, (1985), 690–701 (Russian); English transl. in Math.Notes, **37**, (1985), 378-385.
- [8] Yu. Kuryshova, *The inverse spectral problem for integro-differential operators*, Mat. Zametki, **81** (2007), 855–866; English translation in Math. Notes, **81**, (2007), 767–777.
- [9] S. Buterin, *On an inverse spectral problem for a convolution integro- differential operator*, Results in Mathematics, **50** (2007), 173–181.
- [10] Naimark M.A., Linear Differential Operators, 2nd ed., Nauka, Moscow, 1969; English transl. of 1st ed., Parts I,II, Ungar, New York, 1967, 1968.

Department of Mathematics, Saratov State University, Astrakhanskaya 83, Saratov 410012, Russia.

E-mail: jvkuryshova@rambler.ru