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#### Abstract

The aim of this paper is to introduce and study pairwise $b$-locally open and pairwise $b$-locally closed functions in bitopological spaces and some characterization and several properties concerning these concepts are investigated.


## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

The study of bitopological spaces was first initiated by Kelly [4] and thereafter a large numbers of papers have been done to generalize the topological concepts to bitopological setting. Andrijevic [1] defined the notion of $b$-open sets in topological spaces. A set $A$ is said to be $b$-open if $A \subset \operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{int}(A)) \cup \operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cl}(A))$. The complement of $b$-open set is called $b$-closed.

The notion of locally closedness was first introduced by Kurotowski and Sierpienski [5]. There after Nasef [6] introduced and studied $b$-locally closed sets in topological spaces. Rajesh [8] generalized the concepts of $b$-locally closed sets in bitopological setting as follows.

Definition 1.1. A subset $A$ of a bitopological space ( $X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ) is called ( $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ )-b-locally closed (in short $\left.\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b L C\right)$ if $A=P \cap Q$ where $P$ is $\tau_{1}-b$-open and $Q$ is $\tau_{2}-b$-closed in $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$.

Recently Tripathy and Sarma [9] have introduced the notion of $b$-locally open sets in bitopological spaces as follows.

Definition 1.2. A subset $A$ of a bitopological space ( $X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ) is called ( $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ )-b-locally open (in short $\left.\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b L O\right)$ if $A=P \cup Q$, where $P$ is $\tau_{1}-b$-closed and $Q$ is $\tau_{2}$ - $b$-open in $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$.

Tripathy and Sarma [10] have introduced the following definitions.
Definition 1.3. The $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ - $b$-locally interior of a set $A$ is denoted by $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b L$ int $(A)$ and defined as $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b L \operatorname{int}(A)=\cup\left\{B: B\right.$ is $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ - $b$-locally open set and $\left.B \subset A\right\}$.
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Definition 1.4. The ( $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ )-b-locally closure of a set $A$ is denoted by $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b L \operatorname{cl}(A)$ and defined as $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b L \operatorname{cl}(A)=\cap\left\{B: B\right.$ is $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ - $b$-locally closed set and $\left.A \subset B\right\}$.

In view of the above definitions we have the following results.
(i) $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b L \operatorname{int}(A) \subset A$ and $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b L \operatorname{cl}(A) \subset A$.
(ii) $A \subset B \Longrightarrow\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b L \operatorname{int}(A) \subset\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b L \operatorname{int}(B)$ and $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b L \operatorname{cl}(A) \subset\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b L \operatorname{cl}(B)$.

Remark 1.1 (Tripathy and Sarma [10] Remark 2.1). A set $A$ is ( $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ )-b-locally open if ( $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ )$b L \operatorname{int}(A)=A$ and $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b$-locally closed if $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b L \operatorname{cl}(A)=A$.

The following definition is due to Pervin [7].
Definition 1.5. A function $f:\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \longrightarrow\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ is said to be pairwise continuous if the induced functions $f:\left(X, \tau_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, \sigma_{1}\right)$ and $f:\left(X, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, \sigma_{2}\right)$ are both continuous.

In this paper, we introduce the notion of pairwise $b$-locally open function and pairwise $b$-locally closed function in bitopological spaces and investigate the properties of these functions.

Throughout ( $X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ) will denote a bitopological space on which no separation axioms are assumed. For a subset $A$ of a bitopological space ( $X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ), $\tau_{i}$-cl(A)(resp. $\tau_{i}$-int (A)) denotes the closure (resp. interior) of $A$ with respect to $\tau_{i}$ for $i=1,2$.

The collection of all $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b L O$ sets [resp. $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b L C$ sets] of $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ will be denoted by $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b L O(X)$ resp. $\left.\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b L C(X)\right]$.

## 2. Pairwise $b$-locally open mapping

Definition 2.1. Let $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ and $\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ be two bitopological spaces. A function $f:(X$, $\left.\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \longrightarrow\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ is called pairwise $b$-locally open (in short, pairwise $b L O$ ) mapping if the image of each $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$-b-locally open set in $X$ is $\sigma_{i}$-open set in $Y$, where $i=1,2$.

Example 2.1. Let $X=Y=\{a, b, c\}, \tau_{1}=\{\varnothing,\{b\}, X\}, \tau_{2}=\{\varnothing,\{a\}, X\}, \sigma_{1}=\{\varnothing,\{b\},\{c\},\{b, c\}, Y\}$, $\sigma_{2}=\{\varnothing,\{c\},\{b, c\}, Y\}$. Consider the function $f:\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \longrightarrow\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ defined by $f(a)=$ $b, f(b)=c, f(c)=c$. Here $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b L O$ sets are $\{\varnothing,\{a\},\{c\},\{a, b\},\{a, c\}, X\}$ and image of each $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b L O$ sets are $\sigma_{1}$-open. Also $\left(\tau_{2}, \tau_{1}\right)-b L O$ sets are $\{\varnothing,\{b\},\{c\},\{a, b\},\{b, c\}, X\}$ and image of each $\left(\tau_{2}, \tau_{1}\right)$-bLO sets are $\sigma_{2}$-open. Hence $f$ is pairwise $b$-locally open mapping.

Theorem 2.1. Let $f:\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \longrightarrow\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ be a mapping between two bitopological spaces. Then the following are equivalent :
(a) $f$ is pairwise b-locally open mapping.
(b) $f\left(\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b L \operatorname{int}(A)\right) \subset \sigma_{i}-\operatorname{int}(f(A))$, for every subset $A$ of $X$, where $i=1,2$.

Proof. (a) $\Longrightarrow$ (b) Since $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b L \operatorname{int}(A)$ is a $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b L O$ set in $X$ for any subset $A$ of $X$ and $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b L \operatorname{int}(A) \subset A$. Then we have $f\left(\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b L \operatorname{int}(A)\right) \subset f(A)$.

Since $f$ is pairwise $b L O$-mapping, therefore $f\left(\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b L \operatorname{int}(A)\right)$ is $\sigma_{i}$-open.
Hence $f\left(\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b L \operatorname{int}(A)\right) \subset \sigma_{i}-\operatorname{int}(f(A))$.
(b) $\Longrightarrow$ (a) Let $A$ be a $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b L O$ set in $X$.

We have $\quad \sigma_{i}-\operatorname{int}(f(A)) \subset f(A)$.
By hypothesis $\quad f\left(\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b L \operatorname{int}(A)\right) \subset \sigma_{i}-\operatorname{int}(f(A)) \Longrightarrow f(A) \subset \sigma_{i}-\operatorname{int}(f(A))$

Therefore from (1) and (2), we get $f(A)$ is $\sigma_{i}$-open in $Y$. Hence $f$ is pairwise $b$-locally open map.

Definition 2.2. A subset $S$ is called an $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ - $b$-locally open neighbourhood of a point $x$ of $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ if there exists a $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b$-locally open set $V$ such that $x \in V \subset S$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $f:\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ be a function. Then the following are equivalent.
(a) $f$ is pairwise b-locally open mapping.
(b) For each $x \in X$ and each $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$-b-locally open neighbourhood $A$ of $x$ in $X$, there exists $a$ $\sigma_{i}$-neighbourhood $C$ of $f(x)$ such that $C \subset f(A)$, where $i=1,2$.

Proof. (a) $\Longrightarrow$ (b) Let $A$ be a $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$-b-locally open neighbourhood of $x$ and $x \in X$. Therefore there exists a $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$-b-locally open set $B$ in $X$ such that $x \in B \subset A$. Since $f$ is pairwise $b$ locally open map, so by Theorem 2.1., we have

$$
f\left(\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b L \operatorname{int}(B)\right) \subset \sigma_{i}-\operatorname{int}(f(B)) \Longrightarrow f(B) \subset \sigma_{i}-\operatorname{int}(f(B))
$$

Hence $f(B)$ is $\sigma_{i}$-open set such that $f(x) \in f(B) \subset f(A)$.
Putting $f(B)=C$, we get $C$ is a $\sigma_{i}$-open set such that $C \subset f(A)$.
(b) $\Longrightarrow$ (a) Let $A$ be a $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$-b-locally open set in $X$ and $x \in X$. By hypothesis, for each $f(x) \in$ $f(A)$, there exists a $\sigma_{i}$-neighbourhood $B_{f(x)}$ of $f(x)$ such that $B_{f(x)} \subset f(A)$.

Since $B_{f(x)}$ is $\sigma_{i}$-neighbourhood of $f(x)$, there exists a $\sigma_{i}$-open set $C_{f(x)}$ such that $f(x) \in$ $C_{f(x)} \subset B_{f(x)}$.

Now $f(A)=\cup\left\{C_{f(x)}: f(x) \in f(A)\right\}$. It is clear that $f(A)$ is $\sigma_{i}$-open.
Hence $f$ is pairwise $b$-locally open mapping.

Theorem 2.3. Let, $f:\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ and $g:\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(Z, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$ be two mappings. If $g_{0} f: X \rightarrow Z$ is pairwise b-locally open map and $g$ is pairwise continuous injection, then $f$ is pairwise b-locally open map.

Proof. Let $A$ be an $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ - $b$-locally open set in $X$. Since $g_{0} f$ is pairwise $b$-locally open map, therefore $\left(g_{0} f\right)(A)$ is $\theta_{i}$-open.

Further $g$ is pairwise continuous and injective, therefore $g^{-1}\left(g(f(A))=f(A)\right.$ is $\sigma_{i}$-open. Hence $f$ is pairwise $b$-locally open mapping.

Theorem 2.4. A function $f:\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ is pairwise b-locally open if and only iffor any subset $A$ of $Y$ and for any $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$-b-locally closed set $B$ in $X$ such that $f^{-1}(A) \subset B$, then there exists a $\sigma_{i}$-closed set $C$ containing $A$ such that $f^{-1}(C) \subset B$, where $i=1,2$.

Proof. Let $G$ be a $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ - $b$-locally open set in $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Put } \quad A=Y-f(G) \Longrightarrow f^{-1}(A)=f^{-1}(Y)-G=X-G . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $X-G$ is a $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ - $b$-locally closed set in $X$ such that $f^{-1}(A) \subset X-G$. By hypothesis, there exists a $\sigma_{i}$-closed set $C$ containing $A$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
f^{-1}(C) \subset X-G & \Longrightarrow C \subset f(X)-f(G)=Y-f(G) \\
& \Longrightarrow f(G) \subset Y-C . \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $A \subset C$, we have $Y-C \subset Y-A=f(G)$, by (3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Longrightarrow Y-C \subset f(G) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore from (4) and (5), we get $f(G)=Y-C$ and so $f(G)$ is $\sigma_{i}$-open since $C$ is $\sigma_{i^{-}}$ closed.

Hence $f$ is pairwise $b$-locally open mapping.
Conversely, let $A$ be any subset of $Y$ and $B$ be a $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ - $b$-locally closed set of $X$ such that $f^{-1}(A) \subset B$.

Suppose that $f$ is pairwise $b$-locally open map. Let $C=Y-f(X-B)$.
Since $B$ is $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b$-locally closed set in $X$, so $X-B$ is $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b$-locally open set in $X$.
Since $f$ is pairwise $b$-locally open, therefore $f(X-B)$ is $\sigma_{i}$-open.
$\Longrightarrow Y-f(X-B)$ is $\sigma_{i}$-closed
$\Longrightarrow C$ is $\sigma_{i}$-closed.

Now, $C=Y-f(X-B)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Longrightarrow f^{-1}(C)=X-(X-B) \subset B \\
& \Longrightarrow f^{-1}(C) \subset B .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $f^{-1}(A) \subset B$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Longrightarrow X-f^{-1}(A) \supset X-B \\
& \Longrightarrow Y-A \supset f(X-B) \\
& \Longrightarrow A \subset Y-f(X-B)=C \\
& \Longrightarrow A \subset C
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus there exists a $\sigma_{i}$-closed set $C$ such that $f^{-1}(C) \subset B$.
Theorem 2.5. Let $f:\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ be a mapping. Then the following are equivalent.
(a) $f$ is pairwise b-locally open mapping.
(b) $f^{-1}\left(\sigma_{i}-\mathrm{cl}(A)\right) \subset\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b L \operatorname{cl}\left(f^{-1}(A)\right)$ for every subset $A$ of $Y$, where $i=1,2$.

Proof. (a) $\Longrightarrow$ (b) Let $A$ be a subset of $Y$. Therefore we have $f^{-1}(A) \subset\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b L \operatorname{cl}\left(f^{-1}(A)\right)$ and $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b L \operatorname{cl}\left(f^{-1}(A)\right)$ is ( $\left.\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$-b-locally closed set in $X$. Since $f$ is pairwise $b$-locally open mapping, so by Theorem 2.4, there exists a $\sigma_{i}$-closed set $B$ such that $A \subset B$ and $f^{-1}(B) \subset$ $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b L \operatorname{cl}\left(f^{-1}(A)\right)$.

Also $A \subset B$

$$
\Longrightarrow f^{-1}(A) \subset f^{-1}(B) \subset\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b L \operatorname{cl}\left(f^{-1}(A)\right) \Longrightarrow f^{-1}\left(\sigma_{i}-\operatorname{cl}(A)\right) \subset\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b L \operatorname{cl}\left(f^{-1}(A)\right) .
$$

(b) $\Longrightarrow$ (a) Let $A$ be a subset of $Y$ and $B$ be a $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$-b-locally closed set in $X$ such that $f^{-1}(A) \subset$ $B$. We have $A \subset \sigma_{i}-\mathrm{cl}(A)$ and $\sigma_{i}-\mathrm{cl}(A)$ is $\sigma_{i}$-closed.

Therefore by hypothesis, $f^{-1}\left(\sigma_{i}-\mathrm{cl}(A)\right) \subset\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b L \operatorname{cl}\left(f^{-1}(A)\right) \subset\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b L \operatorname{cl}(B)=B \Longrightarrow$ $f^{-1}\left(\sigma_{i}-\mathrm{cl}(A)\right) \subset B$.

Hence by Theorem 2.4, we have $f$ is pairwise $b$-locally open mapping.

## 3. Pairwise $b$-locally closed mapping

Definition 3.1. Let ( $X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ) and ( $Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}$ ) be two bitopological spaces. A function f : ( $X, \tau_{1}$, $\left.\tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ is called pairwise $b$-locally closed (in short, pairwise $b L C$ ) mapping if the image of each $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$-b-locally closed set in $X$ is $\sigma_{i}$-closed set in $Y$, where $i=1,2$.

Example 3.1. Let $X=Y=\{a, b, c\}, \tau_{1}=\{\varnothing,\{b\}, X\}, \tau_{2}=\{\varnothing,\{a\}, X\}, \sigma_{1}=\{\varnothing,\{a\},\{a, b\}, Y\}, \sigma_{2}=$ $\{\varnothing,\{a\},\{a, b\},\{a, c\}, Y\}$. Consider the function $f:\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ defined by $f(a)=b$,
$f(b)=c, f(c)=c$. Here $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b L C$ sets are $\{\varnothing,\{b\},\{c\},\{a, b\},\{b, c\}, X\}$ and image of each $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b L C$ sets are $\sigma_{1}$-closed. Also $\left(\tau_{2}, \tau_{1}\right)$-bLC sets are $\{\varnothing,\{a\},\{c\},\{a, b\},\{a, c\}, X\}$ and image of each $\left(\tau_{2}, \tau_{1}\right)$-bLC sets are $\sigma_{2}$-closed. Hence $f$ is pairwise $b$-locally closed mapping.

Theorem 3.1. Let $f:\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ be a mapping between two bitopological spaces. Then the following are equivalent :
(a) $f$ is pairwise b-locally closed mapping.
(b) $\sigma_{i}-\mathrm{cl}(f(A)) \subset f\left(\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b L \mathrm{cl}(A)\right)$, for each subset $A$ of $X$, where $i=1,2$.

Proof. (a) $\Longrightarrow$ (b) Let $f$ be pairwise $b$-locally closed mapping. Then for any subset $A$ of $X$ we have $A \subset\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b L \operatorname{cl}(A)$ and $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b L \operatorname{cl}(A)$ is $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b$-locally closed set in $X$. Thus $f(A) \subset f\left(\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b L \operatorname{cl}(A)\right)$.

By assumption we obtain $f\left(\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b L \mathrm{cl}(A)\right)$ is $\sigma_{i}$-closed.
Hence $\sigma_{i}-\mathrm{cl}(f(A)) \subset f\left(\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b L \operatorname{cl}(A)\right)$.
(b) $\Longrightarrow$ (a) Let $A$ be a $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$-b-locally closed set in $X$. We have $f(A) \subset \sigma_{i}-\operatorname{cl}(f(A))$. By hypothesis, $\sigma_{i}-\operatorname{cl}(f(A)) \subset f\left(\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b L \operatorname{cl}(A)\right) \Longrightarrow \sigma_{i}-\operatorname{cl}(f(A)) \subset f(A)$.

Hence $f(A)$ is $\sigma_{i}$-closed in $Y$ and therefore $f$ is pairwise $b$-locally closed mapping.
Theorem 3.2. Let $f:\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ be a mapping. Then the following are equivalent. (a) $f$ be pairwise b-locally closed mapping.
(b) For any subset $A$ of $Y$ and for any $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$-b-locally open set $B$ in $X$ such that $f^{-1}(A) \subset B$, then there exists a $\sigma_{i}$-open set $C$ containing $A$ such that $f^{-1}(C) \subset B$, where $i=1,2$.

Proof. The proof is straightforward, therefore omitted.
Definition 3.2 ([8]). A function $f:\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ is pairwise $b$-locally closed irresolute if $f^{-1}(A) \in\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-b L C(X)$ for every $A \in\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)-b L C(Y)$.

Theorem 3.3. Let $f:\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ and $g:\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(Z, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$ be two functions such that $g_{0} f: X \rightarrow Z$ is pairwise b-locally closed mapping. If $f$ is pairwise $b$-locally closed irresolute surjection, then $g$ is pairwise $b$-locally closed mapping.

Proof. Suppose that, $A$ be $\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ - $b$-locally closed set in $Y$. Since $f$ is pairwise $b$-locally closed irresolute, therefore $f^{-1}(A)$ is $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ - $b$-locally closed set in $X$.

Since $g_{0} f$ is pairwise $b$-locally closed map and $f$ is surjective, we have $\left(g_{0} f\right)\left(f^{-1}(A)\right)$ is $\theta_{i}$-closed $\Longrightarrow g(A)$ is $\theta_{i}$-closed.

Hence $g$ is pairwise $b$-locally closed map.
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