



COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR CONTRACTION TYPE MAPPINGS IN PARTIALLY ORDERED METRIC SPACES

CHI-MING CHEN AND CHING-JU LIN

Abstract. The purpose of this paper is to present common fixed point theorems for a stronger Meir-Keeler type mapping in partially ordered metric space. Our results generalize some recent results.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, by \mathbb{R}^+ we denote the set of all nonnegative numbers, while \mathcal{N} is the set of all natural numbers. Existence of fixed point for contraction type maps in partially ordered sets has been considered recently in [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10].

In this paper, we define a stronger Meir-Keeler type mapping $\psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1)$ and we present a common fixed point theorem for this generalized nonlinear contraction in partially ordered complete metric spaces.

In 1973, Geraghty [8] introduced the following generalization of Banach's contraction principle.

Let S denote the class of the functions $\beta : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1)$ which satisfy the condition

$$\beta(t_n) \rightarrow 1 \Rightarrow t_n \rightarrow 0.$$

Theorem 1 ([8]). *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, and let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfying*

$$d(fx, fy) \leq \beta(d(x, y)) \cdot d(x, y), \text{ for } x, y \in X,$$

where $\beta \in S$. Then f has a unique fixed point $z \in X$ and $\{f^n(x)\}$ converges to z for each $x \in X$.

Recently, A. Amini-Harandi and H. Emami[3] proved a version of Theorem 1 in the context of complete partially ordered metric spaces, as follows:

Corresponding author: Chi-Ming Chen.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47H10, 54C60, 54H25, 55M20.

Key words and phrases. Complete partially ordered space, common fixed point theorem, stronger Meir-Keeler type mapping.

*Research supported by the NSC.

Theorem 2 ([3]). *Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set and suppose that there exists a metric d in X such that (X, d) is a complete metric space. Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be an increasing mapping such that there exists an element $x_0 \in X$ with $x_0 \preceq f x_0$. Suppose that there exists $\beta \in S$ such that*

$$d(fx, fy) \leq \beta(d(x, y)) \cdot d(x, y), \text{ for } x, y \in X \text{ with } x \succeq y.$$

Assume that either f is continuous or X is such that

$$\text{if an increasing sequence } \{x_n\} \rightarrow x \text{ in } X, \text{ then } x_n \preceq x, \forall n.$$

Besides, if

$$\text{for each } x, y \in X \text{ there exists } z \in X \text{ which is comparable to } x \text{ and } y.$$

Then f has a unique fixed point.

We recall the notion of the Meir-Keeler type mapping. A function $\psi : \mathfrak{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^+$ is said to be a Meir-Keeler type mapping (see [9]), if for each $\eta \in \mathfrak{R}^+$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for $t \in \mathfrak{R}^+$ with $\eta \leq t < \eta + \delta$, we have $\psi(t) < \eta$. We now define a new stronger Meir-Keeler cone-type mapping, as follows:

Definition 1. A mapping $\psi : \mathfrak{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1)$ is called a stronger Meir-Keeler type mapping, if for each $\eta > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for $t \in \mathfrak{R}^+$ with $\eta \leq t < \delta + \eta$, there exists $\gamma_\eta \in [0, 1)$ such that $\psi(t) < \gamma_\eta$.

Example 1. Let $\psi : \mathfrak{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1)$ be defined as $\psi(t) = \frac{t}{t+1}$, then ψ is a stronger Meir-Keeler type mapping.

2. Main results

Definition 2 ([4]). Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set and $f, g : X \rightarrow X$. Then f is said to be g -nondecreasing if for $x, y \in X$,

$$gx \preceq gy \implies fx \preceq fy.$$

In the sequel, we prove the main result of this paper.

Theorem 3. *Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set and suppose that there exists a metric d in X such that (X, d) is a complete metric space. Let $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ be such that $fX \subset gX$, and f be a g -nondecreasing mapping. Suppose that there exists a stronger Meir-Keeler type mapping $\psi : \mathfrak{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1)$ such that*

$$d(fx, fy) \leq \psi(d(gx, gy)) \cdot d(gx, gy), \text{ for } x, y \in X \text{ with } gx \succeq gy. \quad (1)$$

Also suppose,

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{if } \{gx_n\} \subset X \text{ is a nondecreasing sequence with } gx_n \rightarrow gz \in gX, \\ & \text{then } gx_n \leq gz \text{ and } gz \leq g^2z \text{ for all } n \in \mathcal{N}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Suppose also that gX is closed. If there exists an $x_0 \in X$ with $gx_0 \leq fx_0$, then f and g have a coincidence point.

Further, if f, g commute at their coincidence points, then f and g have a common fixed point in X .

Proof. Given $x_0 \in X$ such that $gx_0 \leq fx_0$. Since $fX \subset gX$, we can choose $x_1 \in X$ such that $gx_1 = fx_0$. Continuing this process, we define the sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X recursively as follows:

$$fx_n = gx_{n+1}, \text{ for all } n \in \mathcal{N}.$$

Since $gx_0 \leq fx_0$ and f is a g -nondecreasing mapping, we have

$$fx_0 \leq fx_1 \leq fx_2 \cdots \leq fx_n \leq fx_{n+1} \leq \cdots$$

In what follows we will suppose that $d(fx_n, fx_{n+1}) > 0$ for all $n \in \mathcal{N}$, since if $fx_{n+1} = fx_n$ for some n , then $fx_{n+1} = gx_{n+1}$, that is, f and g have a coincidence point x_{n+1} , and so we complete the proof.

By (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(fx_n, fx_{n+1}) & \leq \psi(d(gx_n, gx_{n+1})) \cdot d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) \\ & \leq d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) \\ & \leq d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n). \end{aligned} \quad (*)$$

Then the sequence $\{d(fx_{n+1}, fx_n)\}$ is decreasing and bounded below. Let $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fx_{n+1}, fx_n) = \eta \geq 0$. Then there exists $\kappa_0 \in \mathcal{N}$ and $\delta > 0$ such that for all $n > \kappa_0$

$$\eta \leq d(fx_{n+1}, fx_n) < \eta + \delta.$$

For each $n \in \mathcal{N}$, since $\psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1)$ is a stronger Meir-Keeler type mapping, for these η and $\delta > 0$ we have that for $d(fx_{\kappa_0+n+1}, fx_{\kappa_0+n}) \in \mathbb{R}^+$ with $\eta \leq d(fx_{\kappa_0+n+1}, fx_{\kappa_0+n}) < \delta + \eta$, there exists $\gamma_\eta \in [0, 1)$ such that $\psi(d(fx_{\kappa_0+n+1}, fx_{\kappa_0+n})) < \gamma_\eta$. Thus, by (*), we can deduce

$$\begin{aligned} d(fx_{\kappa_0+n+1}, fx_{\kappa_0+n}) & = \psi(d(fx_{\kappa_0+n}, fx_{\kappa_0+n-1})) \cdot d(fx_{\kappa_0+n}, fx_{\kappa_0+n-1}) \\ & < \gamma_\eta d(fx_{\kappa_0+n}, fx_{\kappa_0+n-1}), \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that for each $n \in \mathcal{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} d(fx_{\kappa_0+n+1}, fx_{\kappa_0+n}) &< \gamma_\eta d(fx_{\kappa_0+n}, fx_{\kappa_0+n-1}) \\ &< \dots \\ &< \gamma_\eta^n d(fx_{\kappa_0+1}, fx_{\kappa_0}). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fx_{\kappa_0+n+1}, fx_{\kappa_0+n}) = 0, \text{ since } \gamma_\eta < 1.$$

We now claim that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fx_{\kappa_0+m}, fx_{\kappa_0+n}) = 0$ for $m > n$. For $m, n \in \mathcal{N}$ with $m > n$, we have

$$d(fx_{\kappa_0+m}, fx_{\kappa_0+n}) \leq \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} d(fx_{\kappa_0+i+1}, fx_{\kappa_0+i}) < \frac{\gamma_\eta^{m-1}}{1-\gamma_\eta} d(fx_{\kappa_0+1}, fx_{\kappa_0}),$$

and hence $d(fx_m, fx_n) \rightarrow 0$, since $0 < \gamma_\eta < 1$. So $\{fx_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Since gX is closed and $\{fx_n\} = \{gx_{n+1}\} \subset gX$, there exists $\mu \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = g\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n.$$

Now we claim that μ is a coincidence point of f and g . Using (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(g\mu, f\mu) &\leq d(g\mu, fx_n) + d(fx_n, f\mu) \\ &\leq d(g\mu, fx_n) + \psi(d(gx_n, g\mu)) \cdot d(gx_n, g\mu) \\ &< d(g\mu, fx_n) + d(gx_n, g\mu). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get $g\mu = f\mu$.

Suppose that f and g commute at μ . Let $\nu = g\mu = f\mu$. Then

$$f\nu = fg\mu = gf\mu = g\nu.$$

By (2), since $g\mu \leq g^2\mu = g\nu$, $g\mu = f\mu$ and $g\nu = f\nu$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} d(f\mu, f\nu) &\leq \psi(d(g\mu, g\nu)) \cdot d(g\mu, g\nu) \\ &< d(f\mu, f\nu). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $d(f\mu, f\nu) = 0$, that is $d(\nu, f\nu) = 0$. Therefore,

$$f\nu = g\nu = \nu.$$

So we proved that f and g have a common fixed point in X . □

By Theorem 3, if $g = I =$ the identity mapping, then we immediately obtain the following corollaries.

Corollary 1. *Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set and suppose that there exists a metric d in X such that (X, d) is a complete metric space. Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a nondecreasing mapping. Suppose that there exists a stronger Meir-Keeler type mapping $\psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1)$ such that*

$$d(fx, fy) \leq \psi(d(x, y)) \cdot d(x, y), \text{ for } x, y \in X \text{ with } x \preceq y.$$

Also suppose,

if $\{x_n\} \subset X$ is a nondecreasing sequence with $x_n \rightarrow z \in X$,

then $x_n \preceq z$ for all $n \in \mathcal{N}$.

If there exists an $x_0 \in X$ with $x_0 \preceq fx_0$, then f has a fixed point in X .

Corollary 2. *Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set and suppose that there exists a metric d in X such that (X, d) is a complete metric space. Suppose $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a nondecreasing mapping and*

$$d(fx, fy) \leq kd(x, y), \text{ for } x, y \in X \text{ with } x \preceq y \text{ where } k \in (0, 1).$$

Also suppose,

if $\{x_n\} \subset X$ is a nondecreasing sequence with $x_n \rightarrow z \in X$,

then $x_n \preceq z$ for all $n \in \mathcal{N}$.

If there exists an $x_0 \in X$ with $x_0 \preceq fx_0$, then f has a fixed point in X .

References

- [1] R. P. Agarwal, M. A. El-Gebeily and D. O'Regan, *Generalized contractions in partially ordered metric spaces*, Appl. Anal., **87**(2008), 109–116.
- [2] I. Altun and G. Durmaz, *Some fixed point theorems on ordered cone metric spaces*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, **58**(2009), 319–325.
- [3] A. Amini-Harandi and H. Emami, *A fixed point theorem for contraction type maps in partially ordered metric spaces and application to ordinary differential equations*, Nonlinear Anal., **72**(2010), 2238–2242.
- [4] L. Ćirić, N. Ćakić, M. Rajović and J. S. Ume, *Monotone generalized nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2008**(2008), Article ID 131294, 11 pages.
- [5] T. Gnana Bhaskar and V. Lakshmikantham, *Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications*, Nonlinear Anal., **65**(2006), 1379–1393.
- [6] V. Lakshmikantham and L. Ćirić, *Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces*, Nonlinear Anal., **70**(2009), 4341–4349.
- [7] J. Caballero, J. Harjani and K. Sadarangani, *Contractive-like mapping principles in ordered metric spaces and application to ordinary differential equations*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2010**(2010), Article ID 916064, 14 pages.
- [8] M. Geraghty, *On contractive mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **40**(1973), 604–608.
- [9] A. Meir and E. Keeler, *A theorem on contraction mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **28**(1969), 326–329.
- [10] B. Samet and C. Vetro, *Coupled fixed point, F-invariant set and fixed point of N-order*, Ann. Funct. Anal., **1**(2010), 46–56.

Department of Applied Mathematics, National Hsinchu University of Education, Taiwan.

E-mail: ming@mail.nhcue.edu.tw

Department of Applied Mathematics, National Hsinchu University of Education, Taiwan.

E-mail: g9824201@mail.nhcue.edu.tw