



A CLASS OF SHANNON-MCMILLAN THEOREMS FOR MTH-ORDER MARKOV INFORMATION SOURCE ON GENERALIZED RANDOM SELECTION SYSTEM

KANGKANG WANG AND DECAI ZONG

Abstract. In this paper, our aim is to establish a class of Shannon-McMillan theorems for m th-order nonhomogeneous Markov information source on the generalized random selection system by constructing the consistent distribution functions. As corollaries, we obtain some Shannon-McMillan theorems for m th-order nonhomogeneous Markov information source and the general nonhomogeneous Markov information source. Some results which have been obtained are extended. In the proof, a new technique for studying Shannon-McMillan theorems in information theory is applied.

1. Introduction

Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space, $\{X_n, n \geq 0\}$ be an arbitrary information source defined on (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) which takes values on the alphabet set $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_M\}$ with joint distribution:

$$P(X_0 = x_0, \dots, X_n = x_n) = p(x_0, \dots, x_n) > 0, \quad x_i \in S, 0 \leq i \leq n. \quad (1)$$

Let

$$f_n(\omega) = -\frac{1}{n+1} \log p(X_0, \dots, X_n),$$

where \log is natural logarithmic, $f_n(\omega)$ is called the relative entropy density of $\{X_i, 0 \leq i \leq n\}$.

If $\{X_n, n \geq 0\}$ be an m th-order nonhomogeneous Markov information source, then as $n \geq m$,

$$P(X_n = x_n | X_0 = x_0, \dots, X_{n-1} = x_{n-1}) = P(X_n = x_n | X_{n-m} = x_{n-m}, \dots, X_{n-1} = x_{n-1}). \quad (2)$$

Denote

$$q(i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}) = P(X_0 = i_0, \dots, X_{m-1} = i_{m-1}), \quad (3)$$

Corresponding author: Kangkang Wang.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60F15.

Key words and phrases. Generalized Shannon-McMillan theorem, the consistent distribution, m th-order Markov information source, relative entropy density.

The work is supported by the Project of Higher Schools' Natural Science Basic Research of Jiangsu Province of China (09KJD110002).

$$p_n(j|i_1, \dots, i_m) = P(X_n = j | X_{n-m} = i_1, \dots, X_{n-1} = i_m). \quad (4)$$

$q(i_0, \dots, i_{m-1})$ is called the m dimensional initial distribution. $p_n(j|i_1, \dots, i_m)$, $n \geq m$ are called the m th-order transition probabilities, and

$$P_n = (p_n(j|i_1, \dots, i_m)) \quad (5)$$

are called the m th-order transition matrices. In the case,

$$p(x_0, \dots, x_n) = q(x_0, \dots, x_{m-1}) \prod_{k=m}^n p_k(x_k | x_{k-m}, \dots, x_{k-1}), \quad (6)$$

$$f_n(\omega) = -\frac{1}{n+1} [\log q(X_0, \dots, X_{m-1}) + \sum_{k=m}^n \log p_k(X_k | X_{k-m}, \dots, X_{k-1})]. \quad (7)$$

The convergence of $f_n(\omega)$ in a sense (L_1 convergence, convergence in probability, a.s. convergence) is called Shannon-McMillan theorem or entropy theorem or asymptotic equipartition property (AEP) in information. Shannon [1] first proved the AEP for convergence in probability for stationary ergodic information source with a finite alphabet set. McMillan [2] and Breiman [3] proved the AEP in L_1 and a.s. convergence, respectively, for stationary ergodic information source. Chung [4] considered the case of the countable alphabet. The AEP for general stochastic processes can be found, for example, in Barron [5] and Algoet and Cover [6]. Liu and Yang [7] have proved AEP for a class of nonhomogeneous Markov information sources.

The conception of random selection derives from gambling. We consider a sequence of Bernoulli trial, and suppose that at each trial the bettor has the free choice of whether or not to bet. A theorem on gambling system asserts that under any non-anticipative system the successive bets form a sequence of Bernoulli trial with unchanged probability for success. The importance of this statement was recognized by von Mises, who introduced the impossibility of a successful gambling system as a fundamental axiom (see [8], [9]). This topic was discussed still further by Kolmogrov (see [10]) and Liu and Wang (see [11] and [12]).

Many of practical information source, such as language and image information, are often m th-order Markov information source, and always nonhomogeneous. Hence it is of importance to study the AEP for the m th-order nonhomogeneous Markov information source in the information theory. The purpose of this paper is to generalize Shannon-McMillan theorems for m th-order nonhomogeneous Markov information source to the case of the generalized random selection system by constructing the consistent distribution functions and nonnegative sup-martingale. As corollaries, we obtain some Shannon-McMillan theorems for m th-order Markov chain and the general Markov chain. Some results of Liu and Yang (see [13] and

[7]) are extended. In the proof, we apply a new technique to studying the strong limit theorems for entropy density in information theory. Afterward, many scholars (see [15]-[33]) have studied all kinds of stochastic processes and some limit properties with their applications for m th-order nonhomogeneous Markov chains on the generalized gambling system.

In order to extend the conception of random selection, which is the crucial part of the gambling system, we first give a set of real-valued functions $f_n(x_0, \dots, x_n)$ defined on S^{n+1} ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), which will be called the generalized selection functions if they take values in an arbitrary real interval of $[a, b]$, ($a, b \in R$) (The traditional random selection system [12] takes values in the set of $\{0, 1\}$). We let

$$\begin{aligned} Y_0 &= y \text{ (} y \text{ is an arbitrary real number)} \\ Y_{n+1} &= f_n(X_0, \dots, X_n), \quad n \geq 0, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where $\{Y_n, n \geq 0\}$ is called as the generalized gambling system or the generalized random selection system. Let $\delta_i(j)$ be the Kronecker delta function on S , that is for $i, j \in S$

$$\delta_i(j) = \begin{cases} 0, & i \neq j, \\ 1, & i = j. \end{cases}$$

We can obtain the following definition:

Definition 1. Let $\{Y_n, n \geq 0\}$ be a generalized random selection system defined as (8), $\{\sigma_n(\omega), n \geq 0\}$ be a nonnegative increasing stochastic sequence. We call

$$S_{[\sigma_n(\omega)]}(\omega) = -\left(1 \left/ \sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n(\omega)]} Y_k \right. \right) [Y_0 \log q(X_0, \dots, X_{m-1}) + \sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n(\omega)]} Y_k \log p_k(X_k | X_{k-m}, \dots, X_{k-1})]. \tag{9}$$

the relative entropy density of m th-order nonhomogeneous Markov information source $\{X_i, 0 \leq i \leq [\sigma_n(\omega)]\}$ on the generalized random selection system, where $[\sigma_n(\omega)]$ represents the integral part of $\sigma_n(\omega)$. Obviously, the generalized relative entropy density $S_{[\sigma_n(\omega)]}(\omega)$ is just the general relative entropy density $f_n(\omega)$ if $\sigma_n(\omega) = n, Y_n \equiv 1, n \geq 0$.

Definition 2. Let

$$h_k(x_{k-m}, \dots, x_{k-1}) = -\sum_{x_k \in S} p_k(x_k | x_{k-m}, \dots, x_{k-1}) \log p_k(x_k | x_{k-m}, \dots, x_{k-1}), \tag{10}$$

$$H(p_k(s_1 | X_{k-m}^{k-1}), \dots, p_k(s_M | X_{k-m}^{k-1})) = h_k(X_{k-m}, \dots, X_{k-1}), \quad k \geq m. \tag{11}$$

$H(p_k(s_1 | X_{k-m}^{k-1}), \dots, p_k(s_M | X_{k-m}^{k-1}))$ is called the random conditional entropy of X_k with respect to X_{k-m}, \dots, X_{k-1} .

We denote $X^n = \{X_0, \dots, X_n\}, X_m^n = \{X_m, \dots, X_n\}$. x^n, x_m^n the realization of X^n, X_m^n .

2. Main results and the proof

Theorem 1. Let $\{X_n, n \geq 0\}$ be an m th-order nonhomogeneous Markov chain with the m dimensional initial distribution (3) and the m th-order transition matrices (5). $S_{[\sigma_n(\omega)]}(\omega)$ and $H(p_k(s_1|X_{k-m}^{k-1}), \dots, p_k(s_M|X_{k-m}^{k-1}))$ are defined by (9) and (11), respectively. Denote

$$D(\omega) = \{\omega : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n(\omega) = \infty, 0 < \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sigma_n(\omega) / \sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n(\omega)]} Y_k \right) \leq M_0\}, \quad (12)$$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [S_{[\sigma_n(\omega)]}(\omega) - \frac{1}{\sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n(\omega)]} Y_k} \sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n(\omega)]} Y_k H(p_k(s_1|X_{k-m}^{k-1}), \dots, p_k(s_M|X_{k-m}^{k-1}))] = 0. \quad P - a.s. \quad \omega \in D(\omega). \quad (13)$$

Proof. On the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P})$, let λ be a constant, $\delta_i(j)$ be Kronecker function. Denote $g_k(j) = -\log p_k(j|X_{k-m}^{k-1})$, we construct the following product distribution:

$$\mu(x_0, \dots, x_n; \lambda) = q(x_0, \dots, x_{m-1}) \prod_{k=m}^n \exp\{\lambda y_k g_k(j) \delta_j(x_k)\} \left[\frac{p_k(x_k|x_{k-m}^{k-1})}{1 + (e^{\lambda y_k g_k(j)} - 1) p_k(j|x_{k-m}^{k-1})} \right], \quad n \geq m. \quad (14)$$

Where

$$y_k = f_{k-1}(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}), \quad k \geq 1.$$

By (14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x_n \in S} \mu(x_0, \dots, x_n, \lambda) &= \sum_{x_n \in S} q(x_0, \dots, x_{m-1}) \prod_{k=m}^n \exp\{\lambda g_k(j) y_k \delta_j(x_k)\} \left[\frac{p_k(x_k|x_{k-m}^{k-1})}{1 + (e^{\lambda g_k(j) y_k} - 1) p_k(j|x_{k-m}^{k-1})} \right] \\ &= \mu(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}; \lambda) \sum_{x_n \in S} \exp\{\lambda y_n g_n(j) \delta_j(x_n)\} \left[\frac{p_n(x_n|x_{n-1}^{n-1})}{1 + (e^{\lambda g_n(j) y_n} - 1) p_n(j|x_{n-1}^{n-1})} \right] \\ &= \mu(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}; \lambda) \frac{1}{1 + (e^{\lambda g_n(j) y_n} - 1) p_n(j|x_{n-1}^{n-1})} \left[\sum_{x_n=j} + \sum_{x_n \neq j} \right] \\ &= \mu(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}; \lambda) \frac{e^{\lambda g_n(j) y_n} p_n(j|x_{n-1}^{n-1}) + 1 - p_n(j|x_{n-1}^{n-1})}{1 + (e^{\lambda g_n(j) y_n} - 1) p_n(j|x_{n-1}^{n-1})} \\ &= \mu(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}; \lambda). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Therefore $\mu(x_0, \dots, x_n; \lambda)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ are a family of consistent distribution functions on S^{n+1} .

Let

$$U_n(\lambda, \omega) = \frac{\mu(X_0, \dots, X_n; \lambda)}{p(X_0, \dots, X_n)}. \quad (16)$$

By (6), (14) and (16), we have

$$U_n(\lambda, \omega) = \exp\left\{ \sum_{k=m}^n \lambda Y_k g_k(j) \delta_j(X_k) \right\} \prod_{k=m}^n \left[\frac{1}{1 + (e^{\lambda Y_k g_k(j)} - 1) p_k(j|X_{k-m}^{k-1})} \right], \quad n \geq m. \quad (17)$$

It is easy to see that $U_n(\lambda, \omega)$ is a nonnegative sup-martingale from Doob's martingale convergence theorem (see [14]). Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U_n(\lambda, \omega) = U_\infty(\lambda, \omega) < \infty. \quad P - a.s. \quad (18)$$

By (12), (18) we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n(\omega)]} Y_k} \log U_{[\sigma_n(\omega)]}(\lambda, \omega) \leq 0. \quad P - a.s. \quad \omega \in D(\omega) \quad (19)$$

By (17) and (19) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n(\omega)]} Y_k} \sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n(\omega)]} \lambda Y_k g_k(j) \delta_j(X_k) \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{\sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n(\omega)]} Y_k} \sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n(\omega)]} \log[1 + (e^{\lambda Y_k g_k(j)} - 1) p_k(j|X_{k-m}^{k-1})] \right\} \leq 0. \\ & P - a.s. \quad \omega \in D(\omega) \quad (20) \end{aligned}$$

By (20), the inequalities $1 - 1/x \leq \ln x \leq x - 1, (x > 0)$, $e^x - 1 - x \leq (1/2)x^2 e^{|x|}$ and the properties of superior limit

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n - b_n) \leq 0 \Rightarrow \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n - c_n) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (b_n - c_n),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} Y_k} \sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} \lambda Y_k \{g_k(j) \delta_j(X_k) - g_k(j) p_k(j|X_{k-m}^{k-1})\} \\ & \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} Y_k} \sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} \{\log[1 + (e^{\lambda Y_k g_k(j)} - 1) p_k(j|X_{k-m}^{k-1})] - \lambda Y_k g_k(j) p_k(j|X_{k-m}^{k-1})\} \\ & \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} Y_k} \sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} p_k(j|X_{k-m}^{k-1}) [e^{\lambda Y_k g_k(j)} - 1 - \lambda Y_k g_k(j)] \\ & \leq (\lambda^2/2) \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} Y_k} \sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} p_k(j|X_{k-m}^{k-1}) g_k^2(j) Y_k^2 e^{|\lambda Y_k g_k(j)|} \\ & = (\lambda^2/2) \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} Y_k} \sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} Y_k^2 \log^2 p_k(j|X_{k-m}^{k-1}) \cdot p_k(j|X_{k-m}^{k-1})^{1-|\lambda Y_k|}. \\ & P - a.s. \quad \omega \in D(\omega). \quad (21) \end{aligned}$$

Noticing that $\alpha = \max\{|a|, |b|\}$ exists and $|Y_k| \leq \alpha$, taking $0 < \lambda < 1/\alpha$, dividing both sides of (21) by λ , we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} Y_k} \sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} Y_k \{g_k(j) \delta_j(X_k) - g_k(j) p_k(j|X_{k-m}^{k-1})\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{\lambda}{2} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} Y_k} \sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} Y_k^2 \log^2 p_k(j|X_{k-m}^{k-1}) \cdot p_k(j|X_{k-m}^{k-1})^{1-\lambda|Y_k|} \\
&\leq \frac{\lambda\alpha}{2} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} Y_k} \sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} |Y_k| \log^2 p_k(j|X_{k-m}^{k-1}) \cdot p_k(j|X_{k-m}^{k-1})^{1-\lambda\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

$P - a.s. \quad \omega \in D(\omega).$ (22)

Consider the function

$$\phi(x) = (\log x)^2 x^{1-\lambda}, \quad 0 < x \leq 1, \quad 0 < \lambda < 1. \quad (\text{set } \phi(0) = 0) \quad (23)$$

Letting

$$\phi'(x) = x^{-\lambda} [2(\log x) + (1-\lambda)(\log x)^2] = 0,$$

it can be concluded that on the internal $[0, 1]$,

$$\max\{\phi(x), 0 \leq x \leq 1\} = \phi(e^{2/(\lambda-1)}) = \left(\frac{2}{\lambda-1}\right)^2 e^{-2}. \quad (24)$$

By (22), (23) and (24), in the case $0 < \lambda < 1/\alpha$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} Y_k} \sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n(\omega)]} Y_k \{g_k(j)\delta_j(X_k) - g_k(j)p_k(j|X_{k-m}^{k-1})\} \\
&\leq \frac{\lambda\alpha}{2} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} Y_k} \sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n(\omega)]} |Y_k| \left(\frac{2}{\lambda\alpha-1}\right)^2 e^{-2} \\
&\leq \frac{2\lambda\alpha e^{-2}}{(1-\lambda\alpha)^2} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n(\omega)]} |Y_k|}{\sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n(\omega)]} Y_k} \right). \quad P - a.s. \quad \omega \in D(\omega)
\end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

By (12) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n(\omega)]} |Y_k|}{\sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n(\omega)]} Y_k} \right) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n(\omega)]} \alpha}{\sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n(\omega)]} Y_k} \right) \\
&\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\alpha(\sigma_n(\omega) - m + 1)}{\sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n(\omega)]} Y_k} \right) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\alpha \cdot \sigma_n(\omega)}{\sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n(\omega)]} Y_k} \right) \leq \alpha M_o.
\end{aligned}$$

$P - a.s. \quad \omega \in D(\omega)$ (26)

It follows from (25) and (26) that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} Y_k} \sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n(\omega)]} Y_k \{g_k(j)\delta_j(X_k) - g_k(j)p_k(j|X_{k-m}^{k-1})\} \leq \frac{2\lambda\alpha^2 e^{-2} M_o}{(1-\lambda\alpha)^2}.$$

$P - a.s. \quad \omega \in D(\omega)$ (27)

We choose $0 < \lambda_i < 1/\alpha$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) such that $\lambda_i \rightarrow 0+$ ($i \rightarrow \infty$), it follows from (27) that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} Y_k} \sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} Y_k \{g_k(j) \delta_j(X_k) - g_k(j) p_k(j|X_{k-m}^{k-1})\} \leq 0. \quad P - a.s. \quad \omega \in D(\omega) \quad (28)$$

It follows from (9), (10), (11), (28) and $g_k(j) = -\log p_k(j|X_{k-m}^{k-1})$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} [S_{[\sigma_n]}(\omega) - \frac{1}{\sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} Y_k} \sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} Y_k H(p_k(s_1|X_{k-m}^{k-1}), \dots, p_k(s_M|X_{k-m}^{k-1}))] \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} Y_k} \sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} Y_k [-\log p_k(X_k|X_{k-m}^{k-1}) - E(-\log p_k(X_k|X_{k-m}^{k-1})|X_{k-m}^{k-1})] \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} Y_k} \sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} \sum_{j=s_1}^{s_M} Y_k [g_k(j) \delta_j(X_k) - g_k(j) p_k(j|X_{k-m}^{k-1})] \\ &\leq \sum_{j=s_1}^{s_M} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} Y_k} \sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} Y_k [g_k(j) \delta_j(X_k) - g_k(j) p_k(j|X_{k-m}^{k-1})] \\ &\leq 0. \quad P - a.s. \quad \omega \in D(\omega) \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Take $-1/\alpha < \lambda < 0$, it follows from (21) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} Y_k} \sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} Y_k \{g_k(j) \delta_j(X_k) - g_k(j) p_k(j|X_{k-m}^{k-1})\} \\ &\geq \frac{\lambda}{2} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} Y_k} \sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} Y_k^2 \log^2 p_k(j|X_{k-m}^{k-1}) \cdot p_k(j|X_{k-m}^{k-1})^{1+\lambda|Y_k|} \\ &\geq \frac{\lambda\alpha}{2} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} Y_k} \sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} |Y_k| \log^2 p_k(j|X_{k-m}^{k-1}) \cdot p_k(j|X_{k-m}^{k-1})^{1+\lambda\alpha}. \end{aligned} \quad P - a.s. \quad \omega \in D(\omega) \quad (30)$$

We have by (23), (24), (26) and (30) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} Y_k} \sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} Y_k \{g_k(j) \delta_j(X_k) - g_k(j) p_k(j|X_{k-m}^{k-1})\} \\ &\geq \frac{\lambda\alpha}{2} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} Y_k} \sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} |Y_k| \cdot \left(\frac{2}{1+\lambda\alpha}\right)^2 e^{-2} \\ &\geq \frac{2\lambda\alpha^2 e^{-2} M_o}{(1+\lambda\alpha)^2}. \quad P - a.s. \quad \omega \in D(\omega) \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

We choose $-1/\alpha < \lambda_i < 0$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) such that $\lambda_i \rightarrow 0-$ ($i \rightarrow \infty$), it follows from (31) that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} Y_k} \sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} Y_k \{g_k(j) \delta_j(X_k) - g_k(j) p_k(j|X_{k-m}^{k-1})\} \geq 0. \quad P - a.s. \quad \omega \in D(\omega) \quad (32)$$

In a similar way, it follows from (9), (10), (11), (32) and $g_k(j) = -\log p_k(j|X_{k-m}^{k-1})$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} [S_{[\sigma_n]}(\omega) - \frac{1}{\sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} Y_k} \sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} Y_k H(p_k(s_1|X_{k-m}^{k-1}), \dots, p_k(s_M|X_{k-m}^{k-1}))] \\
&= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} Y_k} \sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} Y_k [-\log p_k(X_k|X_{k-m}^{k-1}) - E(-\log p_k(X_k|X_{k-m}^{k-1})|X_{k-m}^{k-1})] \\
&= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} Y_k} \sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} \sum_{j=s_1}^{s_M} Y_k [g_k(j)\delta_j(X_k) - g_k(j)p_k(j|X_{k-m}^{k-1})] \\
&\geq \sum_{j=s_1}^{s_M} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} Y_k} \sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} Y_k [g_k(j)\delta_j(X_k) - g_k(j)p_k(j|X_{k-m}^{k-1})] \\
&\geq 0. \qquad P - a.s. \quad \omega \in D(\omega)
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

By (29) and (33) we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [S_{[\sigma_n]}(\omega) - \frac{1}{\sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} Y_k} \sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n]} Y_k H(p_k(s_1|X_{k-m}^{k-1}), \dots, p_k(s_M|X_{k-m}^{k-1}))] = 0. \quad P - a.s. \quad \omega \in D(\omega) \tag{34}$$

The proof is accomplished. \square

3. Some Corollaries for Shannon-McMillan theorems

Corollary 1 ([13]). *Let $\{X_n, n \geq 0\}$ be an m th-order nonhomogeneous Markov chain with the m dimensional initial distribution (3) and the m th-order transition matrices (5), $f_n(\omega)$ and $H[p_k(s_1|X_{k-m}^{k-1}), \dots, p_k(s_M|X_{k-m}^{k-1})]$ be defined by (7) and (11), respectively. Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{f_n(\omega) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=m}^n H[p_k(s_1|X_{k-m}^{k-1}), \dots, p_k(s_M|X_{k-m}^{k-1})]\} = 0. \quad P - a.s. \tag{35}$$

Proof. In Theorem 1 letting $\sigma_n(\omega) = n$, $Y_n \equiv 1$, $n \geq 0$, we obtain $S_{[\sigma_n]}(\omega) = f_n(\omega)$,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\sigma_n(\omega)}{\sum_{k=m}^{[\sigma_n(\omega)]} Y_k} \right) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{n}{\sum_{k=m}^n Y_k} \right) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{n-m+1} = 1. \tag{36}$$

Hence $D(\omega) = \Omega$. (35) follows from (13) immediately. \square

Corollary 2 ([7]). *Let $\{X_n, n \geq 0\}$ be a nonhomogeneous Markov chain, denote*

$$f_n(\omega) = -\frac{1}{n+1} [\log p(X_0) + \sum_{k=1}^n \log p_k(X_k|X_{k-1})],$$

$$H(p_k(s_1|X_{k-1}), \dots, p_k(s_M|X_{k-1})) = -\sum_{x_k \in S} p_k(x_k|X_{k-1}) \log p_k(x_k|X_{k-1}).$$

Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{f_n(\omega) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n H[p_k(s_1|X_{k-1}), \dots, p_k(s_M|X_{k-1})]\} = 0. \quad P - a.s. \tag{37}$$

Proof. Letting $m = 1$ in Corollary 1, (37) follows from (35) directly. \square

References

- [1] C. Shannon, *A mathematical theory of communication*, Bell Syst. Tech. J., **27**(1948), 379–423.
- [2] B. Mcmillan, *The Basic Theorem of information theory*, Ann. Math. Statist., **24**(1953), 196–216.
- [3] L. Breiman, *The individual ergodic theorem of information theory*, Ann. Math. Statist., **28**(1957), 809–811.
- [4] K. L. Chung, *The ergodic theorem of information theory*, Ann. Math. Statist., **32**(1961), 612–614.
- [5] A. R. Barron, *The strong ergodic theorem for densities: Generalized Shannon-McMillan-Breiman theorem*, Ann. Probab., **13**(1985), 1292–1303.
- [6] P. H. Algoet, and T. M. Cover, *A sandwich proof of Shannon-McMillan theorem*, Ann. Probab., **16**(1988), 899–909.
- [7] W. Liu and W. G. Yang, *An extension of Shannon-McMillan theorem and some limit properties for nonhomogeneous Markov chains*, Stochastic. Process. Appl., **61**(1996), 129–145.
- [8] P. Billingsley, *Probability and Measure*. Wiley, New York, 1986.
- [9] R. V. Mises, *Mathematical Theory of Probability and Statistics*. Academic Press. New York, 1964.
- [10] A. N. Kolmogorov, *On the logical foundation of probability theory*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag. New York, 1982.1021:1-2.
- [11] W. Liu, and Z. Wang, *An extension of a theorem on gambling systems to arbitrary binary random variables*, Statistics and Probability Letters, **28**(1996), 51–58.
- [12] Z. Wang, *A strong limit theorem on random selection for the N-valued random variables*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, **15**(1999), 56–61.
- [13] W. G. Yang and W. Liu, *The asymptotic equipartition property for Mth-order nonhomogeneous Markov information source*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory., **50**(2004), 3326–3330.
- [14] J. L. Doob, *Stochastic Process*. Wiley New York. 1953.
- [15] Z. R. Xu and Y. J. Zhu, *Flow controled quene with negative customers and preemptive priority*, J. Jiangsu Univ. Sci-tech. Nat. Sci. **24**(4)(2010), 400–404.
- [16] K. K. Wang and D. C. Zong, *A class of strong limit theorems for random sum of Cantor-like random sequence on gambling system*, J. Jiangsu Univ. Sci-tech. Nat. Sci. **24**(3)(2010), 305–308.
- [17] Z. R. Xu and M. J. Li, *Geo Geo 1 queue model with RCH strategy of negative customers and single vacation*, J. Jiangsu Univ. Sci-tech. Nat. Sci., **25**(2)(2011), 191-194, 2011.
- [18] K. K. Wang and D. C. Zong, *A class of strong deviation theorems on generalized gambling system for the sequence of arbitrary continuous random variables*. J. Jiangsu Univ. Sci-tech. Nat. Sci., **25**(2)(2011), 195–199.
- [19] K. K. Wang, H. Ye and Y. Ma, *A class of strong deviation theorems for multivariate function sequence of mth-order countable nonhomogeneous Markov chains*, J. Jiangsu Univ. Sci-tech. Nat. Sci., **25**(1)(2011), 93-96.
- [20] K. K. Wang, *A class of local strong limit theorems for Markov chains field on arbitrary Bethe tree*, J. Jiangsu Univ. Sci-tech. Nat. Sci., **24** (2)(2010), 205–209.
- [21] K. K. Wang and D. C. Zong, *Strong limit theorems of mth-order nonhomogeneous Markov chains on fair gambling system*. J. Jiangsu Univ. Sci-tech. Nat. Sci., **24**(4)(201), 410-413.
- [22] K. K. Wang and F. Li, *Strong deviation theorems for the sequence of arbitrary random variables with respect to product distribution in random selection system*, J. Jiangsu Univ. Sci-tech. Nat. Sci., **24**(1)(2010), 91–94.
- [23] K. K. Wang and F. Li, *A class of Shannon-McMillan theorems for mth-order nonhomogeneous Markov information source on generalized gambling system*, J. Jiangsu Univ. Sci-tech. Nat. Sci., **25** (4)(2011), 396–400.
- [24] K. K. Wang, F. Li and Y. Ma, *A class of Shannon-McMillan theorems for nonhomogeneous Markov information source on random selection system*, J. Jiangsu Univ. Sci-tech. Nat. Sci., **25**(3)(2011), 299–302.
- [25] S. H. Li and Z. G. Zhou, *Shrunken estimators of seemingly unrelated regression model*, J. Jiangsu Univ. Sci-tech. Nat. Sci., **24**(2)(2010), 197–200.
- [26] Y. X. Yuan and J. S. Jiang, *A direct updating method for the stiffness matrix*, J. Jiangsu Univ. Sci-tech. Nat. Sci., **24** (2)(2010), 193–196.
- [27] M. Hu, *Feasibility of classification on two kinds of 2-person noncooperative finite games*, J. Jiangsu Univ. Sci-tech. Nat. Sci., **24** (4)(2010), 405–409.

- [28] X. Q. Hua and D. P. Xu, *Two groups of three-level high-precision explicit schemes for dispersive equation*, J. Jiangsu Univ. Sci-tech. Nat. Sci., **24**(4)(2010), 395-399.
- [29] Y. X. Yuan and J. S. Jiang, *Generalized inverse eigenvalue problems for tridiagonal symmetric matrices*, J. Jiangsu Univ. Sci-tech. Nat. Sci., **24** (1)(2010), 88-90.
- [30] J. E. Wu and T. C. Wu, *Regularized Symmlq for solving Symm integral equation*, J. Jiangsu Univ. Sci-tech. Nat. Sci., **25**(3)(2011), 294–298. 2011
- [31] S. H. Li and Z. G. Zhou, *Linear minimax estimators of estimable function in multiple Gauss-Markov model with matrix loss function*, J. Jiangsu Univ. Sci-tech. Nat. Sci., **24**(1)(2010), 95–98.
- [32] C. J. Fang, D. C. Zong, *Strong deviation theorems for the stochastic sequence on the Poisson distribution in generalized gambling systems*, J. Jiangsu Univ. Sci-tech. Nat. Sci., **26**(1)(2012), 103–106.
- [33] Z. S. Zang, *The symmetric positive semidefinite solution of a class of matrices equation with a submatrix constraint*, J. Jiangsu Univ. Sci-tech. Nat. Sci., **26**(1)(2012), 100-102.

School of Mathematics and Physics, Jiangsu University of Science and Technology, Zhenjiang 212003, China.
E-mail: wkk.cn@126.com

Department of Computer Science, Changshu Institute of Technology, Changshu 215500, China.
E-mail: zongdecai@126.com