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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study the uniqueness theorems of meromorphic functions, concerning differential polynomials and obtain theorems, from which we obtain as a very special case the results of Lin and Yi [4], Xiao Yu Zhang, Jun-Fan Chen, Wei-Chuan lin [8], and Renukadevi S. Dyavanal [9]. We also obtain several new interesting results.


## 1. Introduction And Main Results

In this paper the term meromorphic will always mean meromorphic in the complex plane. Let $f$ and $g$ be non-constant meromorphic functions and $a$ be a complex number. We say $f$ and $g$ share the value $a$ CM, if $f-a$ and $g-a$ have the same zeros with the same multiplicities. It is assumed that reader is familiar with notations of Nevanlinna theory of meromorphic functions, for instance, $T(r, f), m(r, f), N(r, f), \bar{N}(r, f)$, etc (see [1, 3]). We denote by $S(r, f)$ any function satisfying $S(r, f)=o\{T(r, f)\}$. as $r \rightarrow+\infty$, possibly outside a set of finite measure.

In 2004, Lin and Yi [4] proved the following theorems.
Theorem A. Let $f$ and $g$ be two non-constant meromorphic functions, $n \geq 12$ be a positive integer. If $f^{n}(f-1) f^{\prime}$ and $g^{n}(g-1) g^{\prime}$ share the value 1 CM, then $g=(n+2)\left(1-h^{n+1}\right) /(n+$ 1) $\left(1-h^{n+2}\right), f=(n+2) h\left(1-h^{n+1}\right) /(n+1)\left(1-h^{n+2}\right)$, where $h$ is a non-constant meromorphic function.

Theorem B. Let $f$ and $g$ be non-constant meromorphic functions, $n \geq 13$ be a positive integer. If $f^{n}(f-1)^{2} f^{\prime}$ and $g^{n}(g-1)^{2} g^{\prime}$ share the value $1 C M$, then $f(z) \equiv g(z)$.

In 2008, Xiao-Yu Zhang, Jun-Fan Chen, Wei-Chuan Lin [8] extended Theorems A and B and proved the following theorem.

Theorem C. Let $f$ and $g$ be two nonconstant meromrophic functions, let $n$ and $m$ be two positive integers with $n>\max \{m+10,3 m+3\}$ and $\operatorname{let} P(z)=a_{m} z^{m}+a_{m-1} z^{m-1}+\cdots+a_{0}$, where $a_{0} \neq$
$0, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m-1}, a_{m} \neq 0$ are complex constants. If $f^{n} P(f) f^{\prime}$ and $g^{n} P(g) g^{\prime}$ share $1 C M$, then either $f \equiv$ tg, for a constant $t$ such that $t^{d}=1$, where $d=\{n+m+1, \ldots, n+m+1-i, \ldots, n+1\}$, $a_{m-i} \neq 0$ for some $i=0,1, \ldots, m$ or $f$ and $g$ satisfy algebraic equation $R(f, g)=0$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
R\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)= & \omega_{1}^{n+1}\left(\frac{a_{m} \omega_{1}^{m}}{n+m+1}+\frac{a_{m-1} \omega_{1}^{m-1}}{n+m}+\cdots+\frac{a_{0}}{n+1}\right) \\
& -\omega_{2}^{n+1}\left(\frac{a_{m} \omega_{2}^{m}}{n+m+1}+\frac{a_{m-1} \omega_{2}^{m-1}}{n+m}+\cdots+\frac{a_{0}}{n+1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In 2004, Wei-Chuan Lin and Hong Xun Yi [7], extended Theorems A and B by replacing the value 1 with the function $z$ and obtained the following results.

Theorem D. Let $f$ and $g$ be two transcendental meromorphic functions, $n \geq 12$ an integer. If $f^{n}(f-1) f^{\prime}$ and $g^{n}(g-1) g^{\prime}$ share $z C M$, then either $f(z) \equiv g(z)$ or $g=(n+2)\left(1-h^{n+1}\right) /(n+$ 1) $\left(1-h^{n+2}\right), f=(n+2) h\left(1-h^{n+1}\right) /(n+1)\left(1-h^{n+2}\right)$, where $h$ is a non-constant meromorphic function.

Theorem E. Let $f$ and $g$ be transcendental meromorphic functions, $n \geq 13$ is an integer. If $f^{n}(f-1)^{2} f^{\prime}$ and $g^{n}(g-1)^{2} g^{\prime}$ share $z C M$, then $f(z) \equiv g(z)$.

In 2009, Hong Yan Xu and Ting Bin Cao [6], obtained the following result.
Theorem F. Let $f$ and $g$ be two transcendental meromorphic functions and let $n$ and $m$ be two positive integers with $n>m+10$, and let $P(z)=a_{m} z^{m}+a_{m-1} z^{m-1}+\cdots+a_{0}$, where $a_{0} \neq 0$, $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m-1}, a_{m} \neq 0$ are complex constants. If $f^{n} P(f) f^{\prime}$ and $g^{n} P(g) g^{\prime}$ share $z C M$, then conclusion of Theorem C still holds.

In 2011, Renukadevi S. Dyavanal [9] proved the following results.
Theorem G. Let $f$ and $g$ be two non-constant meromorphic functions, whose zeros and poles are of multiplicities atleast $s$, where s is a positive integer. Let n be an integer satisfying ( $n-2$ ) $s \geq$ 10. If $f^{n}(f-1) f^{\prime}$ and $g^{n}(g-1) g^{\prime}$ share the value 1 CM, then $g=(n+2)\left(1-h^{n+1}\right) /(n+1)(1-$ $\left.h^{n+2}\right), f=(n+2) h\left(1-h^{n+1}\right) /(n+1)\left(1-h^{n+2}\right)$, where $h$ is a non-constant meromorphic function.

Theorem H. Let $f$ and $g$ be two non-constant meromorphic functions, whose zeros and poles are of multiplicities atleast $s$, where s is a positive integer. Let $n$ be an integer satisfying $(n-3) s \geq$ 10. If $f^{n}(f-1)^{2} f^{\prime}$ and $g^{n}(g-1)^{2} g^{\prime}$ share the value $1 C M$, then $f \equiv g$.

In this paper, using notion of multiplicity, we prove the following two theorems. As a consequence of these theorems, we improve the above mentioned theorems and in addition, we also obtain some new interesting results.

Theorem 1.1. Let $f$ and $g$ be two non-constant meromorphic functions, whose zeros and poles are of multiplicities atleast $s$, where $s$ is a positive integer. Let $n, m$ be positive integers with $(n-m-1) s \geq \max \{10,2 m+3\}$ and let $P(z)=a_{m} z^{m}+a_{m-1} z^{m-1}+\cdots+a_{0}$, where $a_{0} \neq 0, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m-1}, a_{m} \neq 0$ are complex constants. If $f^{n} P(f) f^{\prime}$ and $g^{n} P(g) g^{\prime}$ share $1 C M$, then one of the following two cases holds:
(1) $f=t g$ for a constant $t$ such that $t^{d}=1$, where $d=\{n+m+1, \ldots, n+m+1-i, \ldots, n+1\}$, $a_{m-i} \neq 0$ for some $i=0,1, \ldots, m$;
(2) $f$ and $g$ satisfy algebraic equation $R(f, g) \equiv 0$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
R\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)= & \omega_{1}^{n+1}\left(\frac{a_{m} \omega_{1}^{m}}{n+m+1}+\frac{a_{m-1} \omega_{1}^{m-1}}{n+m}+\cdots+\frac{a_{0}}{n+1}\right) \\
& -\omega_{2}^{n+1}\left(\frac{a_{m} \omega_{2}^{m}}{n+m+1}+\frac{a_{m-1} \omega_{2}^{m-1}}{n+m}+\cdots+\frac{a_{0}}{n+1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 1.1. We set $P(z)=(z-1)^{m}$. Then with $a_{m}=1, a_{0}=-1$ and under the condition (2) of Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.1 reduces to
(i) Theorem G, if $m=1$,
(ii) Theorem A, if $m=1$ and $s=1$,
(iii) Theorem H, if $m=2$,
(iv) Theorem B, if $m=2$ and $s=1$,
(v) Theorem C, if $s=1$.

Theorem 1.2. Let $f$ and $g$ be two transcendental meromorphic functions, whose zeros and poles are of multiplicities atleast $s$, where $s$ is a positive integer. Let $n, m$ be positive integers with $(n-m-1) s \geq \max \{10,2 m+3\}$. Let $P(z)=a_{m} z^{m}+a_{m-1} z^{m-1}+\cdots+a_{0}$, where $a_{0} \neq$ $0, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m-1}, a_{m} \neq 0$ are complex constants. Let $f^{n} P(f) f^{\prime}$ and $g^{n} P(g) g^{\prime}$ share $z C M$, then conclusion of Theorem 1.1 still holds.

Remark 1.2. We set $P(z)=(z-1)^{m}$. Then with $a_{m}=1, a_{0}=-1$ and under the condition (2) of Theorem 1.2, Theorem 1.2 reduces to
(i) Theorem D, if $m=1, s=1$,
(ii) Theorem E, if $m=2, s=1$,
(iii) Theorem F, if $s=1$.

Some interesting new results in this vein are indicated in Section 4.

## 2. Some Lemmas

Lemma 1 ([2]). Let $f$ be non-constant meromorphic function and let $a_{n}(\neq 0), a_{n-1}, \ldots, a_{0}$ be small functions with respect to $f$. Then

$$
T\left(r, a_{n} f^{n}+a_{n-1} f^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{1} f+a_{0}\right)=n T(r, f)+S(r, f)
$$

Lemma 2 ([5]). Let $f$ and $g$ be two non-constant meromorphic functions. If $f$ and $g$ share 1 CM, one of the following three cases holds:
(i) $T(r, f) \leq N_{2}(r, f)+N_{2}(r, g)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+S(r, f)+S(r, g)$ the same inequality holding for $T(r, g)$;
(ii) $f \equiv g$;
(iii) $f g \equiv 1$.

Lemma 3 ([10]). Let $Q(\omega)=(n-1)^{2}\left(\omega^{n}-1\right)\left(\omega^{n-2}-1\right)-n(n-2)\left(\omega^{n-1}-1\right)^{2}$, then

$$
Q(\omega)=(\omega-1)^{4}\left(\omega-\beta_{1}\right)\left(\omega-\beta_{2}\right) \cdots\left(\omega-\beta_{2 n-6}\right),
$$

where $\beta_{j} \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0,1\},(j=1,2, \ldots, 2 n-6)$, which are distinct respectively.
Lemma 4 ([2]). Suppose $f(z)$ is a nonconstant meromorphic function in the complex plane and $k$ is a positive integer.Then

$$
N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) \leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+k \bar{N}(r, f)+S(r, f)
$$

## 3. Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

### 3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1.

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=f^{n} P(f) f^{\prime}, \quad G=g^{n} P(g) g^{\prime} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& F^{*}=\frac{a_{m} f^{m+n+1}}{m+n+1}+\frac{a_{m-1} f^{m+n}}{m+n}+\cdots+\frac{a_{0} f^{n+1}}{n+1}  \tag{2}\\
& G^{*}=\frac{a_{m} g^{m+n+1}}{m+n+1}+\frac{a_{m-1} g^{m+n}}{m+n}+\cdots+\frac{a_{0} g^{n+1}}{n+1}
\end{align*}
$$

By hypothesis $F$ and $G$ share 1 CM . By Lemma 1, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& T\left(r, F^{*}\right)=(n+m+1) T(r, f)+S(r, f)  \tag{3}\\
& T\left(r, G^{*}\right)=(n+m+1) T(r, g)+S(r, f)
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left(F^{*}\right)^{\prime}=F$, we deduce,

$$
m\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{*}}\right) \leq m\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+S(r, f),
$$

and by the first fundamental Theorem,

$$
\begin{align*}
T\left(r, F^{*}\right) \leq & T(r, F)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{*}}\right)-N\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+S(r, f) \\
\leq & T(r, F)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-b_{1}}\right)+\cdots+N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-b_{m}}\right) \\
& -N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-c_{1}}\right)-\cdots-N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-c_{m}}\right)-N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{\prime}}\right)+S(r, f) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{m}$ are roots of algebraic equation $\frac{a_{m} z^{m}}{m+n+1}+\frac{a_{m-1} z^{m-1}}{m+n}+\cdots+\frac{a_{0}}{n+1}=0$ and $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots$, $c_{m}$ are roots of the algebraic equation $a_{m} z^{m}+a_{m-1} z^{m-1}+\cdots+a_{0}=0$.

By Lemma 2, one of the following three cases holds:
Case 1:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(r, F) \leq N_{2}(r, F)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+N_{2}(r, G)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+S(r, f)+S(r, g) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

the same inequality holding for $T(r, G)$.
On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{2}(r, F)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) \leq 2 \bar{N}(r, f)+2 \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-c_{1}}\right)+\cdots+N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-c_{m}}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{\prime}}\right)  \tag{6}\\
& N_{2}(r, G)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) \leq 2 \bar{N}(r, g)+2 \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{g-c_{1}}\right)+\cdots+N\left(r, \frac{1}{g-c_{m}}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{\prime}}\right) \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

From (3)-(7), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
T\left(r, F^{*}\right) \leq & 2 \bar{N}(r, f)+2 \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+2 \bar{N}(r, g)+2 \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) \\
& +N\left(r, \frac{1}{g-c_{1}}\right)+\cdots+N\left(r, \frac{1}{g-c_{m}}\right) \\
& +N\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{\prime}}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-b_{1}}\right)+\cdots+N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-b_{m}}\right)+S(r, f)+S(r, g) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By lemma 4, $\quad N\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{\prime}}\right) \leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+\bar{N}(r, g)+S(r, g)$ and by our assumption, zeros and poles of $f$ and $g$ are of multiplicities atleast $s$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{N}(r, g) \leq \frac{1}{s} N(r, g) \leq \frac{1}{s} T(r, g) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) \leq \frac{1}{s} N\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) \leq \frac{1}{s} T(r, g), \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

we deduce above inequality as,

$$
\begin{align*}
T\left(r, F^{*}\right) & \leq\left(\frac{4}{s}+m+1\right) T(r, f)+\left(\frac{5}{s}+m+1\right) T(r, g)+S(r, f)+S(r, g) \\
(n+m+1) T(r, f) & \leq\left(\frac{4}{s}+m+1\right) T(r, f)+\left(\frac{5}{s}+m+1\right) T(r, g)+S(r, f)+S(r, g) \\
\left(n-\frac{4}{s}\right) T(r, f) & \leq\left(\frac{5}{s}+m+1\right) T(r, g)+S(r, f)+S(r, g) \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(n-\frac{4}{s}\right) T(r, g) \leq\left(\frac{5}{s}+m+1\right) T(r, f)+S(r, f)+S(r, g) . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (10) and (11), we deduce that $(n-m-1) s \leq 9$, which contradicts $(n-m-1) s \geq 10$.
Case 2: Suppose that $F G \equiv 1$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{n} P(f) f^{\prime} g^{n} P(g) g^{\prime} \equiv 1 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we rewrite $P(z)=a_{m} z^{m}+a_{m-1} z^{m-1}+\cdots+a_{1} z+a_{0}$ as

$$
P(z)=a_{m}\left(z-d_{1}\right)^{l_{1}}\left(z-d_{2}\right)^{l_{2}} \cdots\left(z-d_{i}\right)^{l_{i}} \cdots\left(z-d_{k}\right)^{l_{k}}
$$

where $l_{1}+l_{2}+\cdots+l_{k}=m, 1 \leq k \leq m ; d_{i} \neq d_{j}, i \neq j, i, j \leq k ; d_{1}, d_{2}, \cdots, d_{k}$ are non-zero constants and $l_{1}, l_{2}, \ldots, l_{k}$ are positive integers.

Let $z_{0}$ be a zero of $f$ of order $p$. Then from (12) we know that $z_{0}$ is a pole of $g$. Suppose $z_{0}$ is a pole of $g$ of order $q$. Again by (12), we obtain

$$
n p+p-1=n q+m q+q+1
$$

that is

$$
(n+1)(p-q)=m q+2 .
$$

which implies $p \geq q+1$ and $m q+2 \geq n+1$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
p \geq \frac{n+m-1}{m} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $z_{1}$ be a zero of $P(f)$ of order $p_{1}$ and a zero of $f-d_{i}$ of order $q_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, k$. Then $p_{1}=l_{i} q_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, k$. Suppose that $z_{1}$ is a pole of $g$ of order $t_{1}$. Again by (12), we obtain $q_{i} l_{i}+q_{i}-1=n t_{1}+m t_{1}+t_{1}+1$. That is,

$$
\begin{align*}
& q_{i}=\frac{n t_{1}+m t_{1}+t_{1}+2}{\left(l_{i}+1\right)} \\
& q_{i} \geq \frac{n s+m s+s+2}{l_{i}+1}, \quad \text { for } \quad i=1,2, \ldots, k \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $z_{2}$ be a zero of $f^{\prime}$ of order $p_{2}$, that is not a zero of $f P(f)$. Similarly, we get $p_{2}=n t_{2}+m t_{2}+$ $t_{2}+1$. That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{2} \geq n s+m s+s+1 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the same manner as above, we have similar results for the zeros of $g^{n} P(g) g^{\prime}$.
From (12), we can write,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{N}\left(r, f^{n} P(f) f^{\prime}\right) & =\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{n} P(g) g^{\prime}}\right) \\
\bar{N}(r, f) & =\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{P(g)}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{\prime}}\right) \\
\bar{N}(r, f) & \leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g-d_{1}}\right)+\cdots+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g-d_{k}}\right)+\overline{N_{0}}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{\prime}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

From (13)-(15), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{N}(r, f) \leq\left(\frac{m}{n+m-1}+\frac{m+k}{n s+m s+s+2}\right) T(r, g)+N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{\prime}}\right)+S(r, g) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{\prime}}\right)$ denotes the counting function corresponding to the zeros of $g^{\prime}$ that are not the zeros of $g P(g)$ and $N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{\prime}}\right)$ denotes the analogous quantity, $\left(\overline{N_{0}}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{\prime}}\right)\right)$ denotes the reduced counting function.
Similarly, as above we can obtain,

$$
\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-d_{1}}\right)+\cdots+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-d_{k}}\right) \leq\left(\frac{m}{n+m-1}+\frac{m+k}{n s+m s+s+2}\right) T(r, f) .
$$

By the second fundamental theorem and from (16), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
k T(r, f) \leq & \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-d_{1}}\right)+\cdots+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-d_{k}}\right)+\bar{N}(r, f)-N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{\prime}}\right)+S(r, f) \\
\leq & \left(\frac{m}{n+m-1}+\frac{m+k}{n s+m s+s+2}\right) T(r, f)+\left(\frac{m}{n+m-1}+\frac{m+k}{n s+m s+s+2}\right) T(r, g) \\
& +N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{\prime}}\right)-N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{\prime}}\right)+S(r, f) . \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
k T(r, g) \leq & \left(\frac{m}{n+m-1}+\frac{m+k}{n s+m s+s+2}\right) T(r, g)+\left(\frac{m}{n+m-1}+\frac{m+k}{n s+m s+s+2}\right) T(r, f) \\
& +N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{\prime}}\right)-N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{\prime}}\right)+S(r, g) . \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

From (17) and (18), we have

$$
k(T(r, f)+T(r, g)) \leq\left(\frac{2 m}{n+m-1}+\frac{2 m+2 k}{n s+m s+s+2}\right)(T(r, f)+T(r, g))+S(r, f)+S(r, g)
$$

which contradicts $(n-m-1) s \geq 2 m+3$.
Case 3: If $F \equiv G$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{*}=G^{*}+c \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is a constant, then it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(r, f)=T(r, g)+S(r, f) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $c \neq 0$, by (2), (3), (8), (9), (20), the second fundamental theorem, and lemma 1 , we have

$$
\begin{align*}
T\left(r, G^{*}\right) \leq & \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G^{*}}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G^{*}+c}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, G^{*}\right)+S(r, g) \\
(n+m+1) T(r, g) \leq & \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G^{*}}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{*}}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, G^{*}\right)+S(r, g) \\
\leq & \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{m}+\cdots+\frac{m+n+1}{n+1} \frac{a_{0}}{a_{m}}}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) \\
& +\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{m}+\cdots+\frac{m+n+1}{n+1} \frac{a_{0}}{a_{m}}}\right)+\bar{N}(r, g)+S(r, g) \\
\leq & \left(\frac{3}{s}+2 m\right) T(r, f)+S(r, f)+S(r, g) \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

which contradicts our assumption $(n-m-1) s \geq 10$. Therefore $F^{*}=G^{*}$ that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{n+1}\left(\frac{a_{m} f^{m}}{m+n+1}+\frac{a_{m-1} f^{m-1}}{m+n}+\cdots+\frac{a_{0}}{n+1}\right)=g^{n+1}\left(\frac{a_{m} g^{m}}{m+n+1}+\frac{a_{m-1} g^{m-1}}{m+n}+\cdots+\frac{a_{0}}{n+1}\right) . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $h=\frac{f}{g}$. If $h$ is a constant, then substituting $f=g h$ into (22) we deduce,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a_{m} g^{m+n+1}\left(h^{m+n+1}-1\right)}{m+n+1}+\frac{a_{m-1} g^{n+m}\left(h^{m+n}-1\right)}{m+n}+\cdots+\frac{a_{0} g^{n+1}\left(h^{n+1}-1\right)}{n+1}=0 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies $h^{d}=1$, where $d=(n+m+1, n+m, \ldots, n+m+1-i, \ldots, n+1), a_{m-i} \neq 0$, for some $i=0,1,2, \ldots, m$.

Thus $f=\operatorname{tg}$ for a constant $t$, such that $t^{d}=1$, where $d=(n+m+1, n+m, \ldots, n+m+1-$ $i, \ldots, n+1), a_{m-i} \neq 0$, for some $i=0,1, \ldots, m$.

If $h$ is not a constant, then by (23) $f$ and $g$ satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f, g) \equiv 0$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
R\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)= & \omega_{1}^{n+1}\left(\frac{a_{m} \omega_{1}^{m}}{n+m+1}+\frac{a_{m-1} \omega_{1}^{m-1}}{n+m}+\cdots+\frac{a_{0}}{n+1}\right) \\
& -\omega_{2}^{n+1}\left(\frac{a_{m} \omega_{2}^{m}}{n+m+1}+\frac{a_{m-1} \omega_{2}^{m-1}}{n+m}+\cdots+\frac{a_{0}}{n+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

### 3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2.

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\frac{f^{n} P(f) f^{\prime}}{z}, \quad G=\frac{g^{n} P(g) g^{\prime}}{z} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& F^{*}=\frac{a_{m} f^{m+n+1}}{m+n+1}+\frac{a_{m-1} f^{m+n}}{m+n}+\cdots+\frac{a_{0} f^{n+1}}{n+1}  \tag{25}\\
& G^{*}=\frac{a_{m} g^{m+n+1}}{m+n+1}+\frac{a_{m-1} g^{m+n}}{m+n}+\cdots+\frac{a_{0} g^{n+1}}{n+1}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus we obtain that $F$ and $G$ share 1 CM .
Since $\left(F^{*}\right)^{\prime}=F z$, we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
m\left(r, \frac{1}{\left(F^{*}\right)^{\prime}}\right) \leq m\left(r, \frac{1}{z F}\right)+S(r, f) \leq m\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+\log r+S(r, f) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by the first fundamental Theorem,

$$
\begin{align*}
T\left(r, F^{*}\right) \leq & T(r, F)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{*}}\right)-N\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+S(r, f) \\
\leq & T(r, F)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-b_{1}}\right)+\cdots+N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-b_{m}}\right) \\
& -N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-c_{1}}\right)-\cdots-N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-c_{m}}\right)-N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{\prime}}\right)+\log r+S(r, f) \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

where $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{m}$ are roots of the algebraic equation,

$$
\frac{a_{m} z^{m}}{m+n+1}+\frac{a_{m-1} z^{m-1}}{m+n}+\cdots+\frac{a_{0}}{n+1}=0
$$

and $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{m}$ are roots of the algebraic equation,

$$
a_{m} z^{m}+a_{m-1} z^{m-1}+\cdots+a_{0}=0 .
$$

By Lemma 2, one of the following three cases holds.

Case 1:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(r, F) \leq N_{2}(r, F)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+N_{2}(r, G)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+S(r, f)+S(r, g) . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we have
$N_{2}(r, F)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) \leq 2 \bar{N}(r, f)+2 \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-c_{1}}\right)+\cdots+N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-c_{m}}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{\prime}}\right)+2 \log r$
$N_{2}(r, G)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) \leq 2 \bar{N}(r, g)+2 \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{g-c_{1}}\right)+\cdots+N\left(r, \frac{1}{g-c_{m}}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{\prime}}\right)+2 \log r$
From (27) - (30), we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
T\left(r, F^{*}\right) \leq\left(\frac{4}{s}+m+1\right) T(r, f)+\left(\frac{5}{s}+m+1\right) T(r, g)+4 \log r+S(r, f)+S(r, g) \\
\left(n-\frac{4}{s}\right) T(r, f) \leq\left(\frac{5}{s}+m+1\right) T(r, g)+4 \log r+S(r, f)+S(r, g) \tag{31}
\end{gather*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(n-\frac{4}{s}\right) T(r, g) \leq\left(\frac{5}{s}+m+1\right) T(r, f)+4 \log r+S(r, f)+S(r, g) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (31) and (32), we deduce that $(n-m-1) s \leq 9$, which contradicts the assumption ( $n-$ $m-1) s \geq 10$
Case 2: $\quad$ Suppose $F G \equiv 1$, that is

$$
f^{n} P(f) f^{\prime} g^{n} P(g) g^{\prime} \equiv z^{2}
$$

Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 1.1(case 2), we get a contradiction.
Case 3: $\quad F \equiv G$ that is $F^{*}=G^{*}+c$.
Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 1.1 (case 3), we get a conclusion of Theorem 1.2.
Therefore, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.2.

## 4. Consequences of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2

Under the condition of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, setting $P(z)=(z-1)^{m}$, we get following results as immediate consequences of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2.

Consequences of Theorem 1.1
(A) Let $f$ and $g$ be two nonconstant meromorphic functions, whose zeros and poles are of multiplicities atleast $s$.Let $n, m, s$ be positive integers with,$(n-m-1) s \geq \max \{10,2 m+3\}$.If $f^{n} P(f) f^{\prime}$ and $g^{n} P(g) g^{\prime}$ share 1 CM , and
(1) if $s=1$,
(i) $m=1, n \geq 12$ then $g=\frac{(n+2)\left(1-h^{n+1}\right)}{(n+1)\left(1-h^{n+2}\right)}, f=\frac{(n+2) h\left(1-h^{n+1}\right)}{(n+1)\left(1-h^{n+2}\right)}$
(ii) $m=2, n \geq 13$ then $f \equiv g$
(iii) $m \geq 3$ then $f^{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{(-1)^{k} C_{m}^{m-k}}{n+m-k+1} f^{m-k}=g^{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{(-1)^{k} C_{m}^{m-k}}{n+m-k+1} g^{m-k}$
(2) if $s=2$,
(i) $m=1, n \geq 7$ then $g=\frac{(n+2)\left(1-h^{n+1}\right)}{(n+1)\left(1-h^{n+2}\right)}$, $f=\frac{(n+2) h\left(1-h^{n+1}\right)}{(n+1)\left(1-h^{n+2}\right)}$
(ii) $m=2, n \geq 8$ then $f \equiv g$
(iii) $m \geq 3$ then $f^{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{(-1)^{k} C_{m}^{m-k}}{n+m-k+1} f^{m-k}=g^{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{(-1)^{k} C_{m}^{m-k}}{n+m-k+1} g^{m-k}$

Similar observations can be made for $s=3,4, \ldots, 9$
(3) if $s \geq 10$,
(i) $m=1, n \geq 2$ then $g=\frac{(n+2)\left(1-h^{n+1}\right)}{(n+1)\left(1-h^{n+2}\right)}$, $f=\frac{(n+2) h\left(1-h^{n+1}\right)}{(n+1)\left(1-h^{n+2}\right)}$
(ii) $m=2, n \geq 3$ then $f \equiv g$
(iii) $m \geq 3$ then $f^{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{(-1)^{k} C_{m}^{m-k}}{n+m-k+1} f^{m-k}=g^{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{(-1)^{k} C_{m}^{m-k}}{n+m-k+1} g^{m-k}$.

Thus as per the above observations, we see that as multiplicity ' $s^{\prime}$ increases, the value of $n$ decreases.

Consequences of Theorem 1.2
(B) Let $f$ and $g$ be two trancendental meromorphic functions, whose zeros and poles are of multiplicities atleast $s$. Let $n, m, s$ be positive integers with $(n-m-1) s \geq \max \{10,2 m+3\}$. If $f^{n} P(f) f^{\prime}$ and $g^{n} P(g) g^{\prime}$ share $z \mathrm{CM}$, we observe results similar to consequences mentioned above.

Under the condition of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, setting $P(z)=z^{m}-a, a \neq 0$ we get following results as immediate consequences of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2.

Consequences of Theorem 1.1
(C) Let $f$ and $g$ be two nonconstant meromorphic functions, whose zeros and poles are of multiplicities atleast $s$. Let $n, m, s$ be positive integers with $(n-m-1) s \geq \max \{10,2 m+3\}$.If $f^{n} P(f) f^{\prime}$ and $g^{n} P(g) g^{\prime}$ share 1 CM , and
(1) if $s=1$,
(i) $m=1, n \geq 12$ then $g=\frac{a(n+2)\left(1-h^{n+1}\right)}{(n+1)\left(1-h^{n+2}\right)}, f=\frac{a h(n+2)\left(1-h^{n+1}\right)}{(n+1)\left(1-h^{n+2}\right)}$
(ii) $m \geq 2$ then $g=\left[\frac{a(n+m+1)\left(1-h^{n+1}\right)}{(n+1)\left(1-h^{n+m+1}\right)}\right]^{\frac{1}{m}}, f=\left[\frac{a(n+m+1)\left(1-h^{n+1}\right)}{(n+1)\left(1-h^{n+m+1}\right)}\right]^{\frac{1}{m}} h$.
(2) if $s \geq 10$,
(i) $m=1, n \geq 2$ then $g=\frac{a(n+2)\left(1-h^{n+1}\right)}{(n+1)\left(1-h^{n+2}\right)}, f=\frac{a h(n+2)\left(1-h^{n+1}\right)}{(n+1)\left(1-h^{n+2}\right)}$
(ii) $m \geq 2$ then $g=\left[\frac{a(n+m+1)\left(1-h^{n+1}\right)}{(n+1)\left(1-h^{n+m+1}\right)}\right]^{\frac{1}{m}}, f=\left[\frac{a(n+m+1)\left(1-h^{n+1}\right)}{(n+1)\left(1-h^{n+m+1}\right)}\right]^{\frac{1}{m}} h$

## 5. Final Remarks

It follows from the proof of Theorem 1.2 that if condition $f^{n} P(f) f^{\prime}$ and $g^{n} P(g) g^{\prime}$ share $z \mathrm{CM}$ is replaced by the condition $f^{n} P(f) f^{\prime}$ and $g^{n} P(g) g^{\prime}$ share $\alpha(z)$ CM, where $\alpha$ is a meromorphic function such that $\alpha \neq 0, \infty$ and $T(r, \alpha)=o\{T(r, f), T(r, g)\}$, the conclusion of the Theorem 1.2 still holds.
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