TAMKANG JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS Volume 38, Number 2, 159-165, Summer 2007

# EXTREMUM PROPERTIES OF THE NEW ELLIPSOID

YUAN JUN, SI LIN AND LENG GANGSONG

**Abstract.** For a convex body K in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , Lutwak, Yang and Zhang defined a new ellipsoid  $\Gamma_{-2}K$ , which is the dual analog of the Legendre ellipsoid. In this paper, we prove the following two results: (i) For any origin-symmetric convex body K, there exist an ellipsoid E and a parallelotope P such that  $\Gamma_{-2}E \supseteq \Gamma_{-2}K \supseteq \Gamma_{-2}P$  and V(E) = V(K) = V(P); (ii) For any convex body K whose John point is at the origin, then there exists a simplex T such that  $\Gamma_{-2}K \supseteq \Gamma_{-2}T$  and V(K) = V(T).

# 1. Introduction

For each convex subset in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , it is well-known that there is a unique ellipsoid with the following property: The moment of inertia of the ellipsoid and the the moment of inertia of the convex set are the same about every 1-dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . This ellipsoid is called the Lengendre ellipsoid of the convex set. The Lengendre ellipsoid and its polar (the Binet ellipsoid) are well-known concepts from classical mechanics. See ([4, 5, 10]) for historical references.

It has slowly come to be recognized that along side the Brunn-Minkowski theory there is a dual theory. The Lengendre ellipsoid (and Binet ellipsoid) is an object of this dual Brunn-Minkowski theory. A nature question is whether there is a dual analog of the classical Legendre ellipsoid in the Brunn-Minkowski theory. Applying the  $L_p$ -curvature theory ([7,8]), Lutwak, Yang and Zhang demonstrated the existence of precisely this dual object. Further, some beautiful and deep properties for this dual analog of the Legendre ellipsoid have been discovered ([9], see also [6]).

An often used fact in convex geometry is that associated with each convex body K is a unique ellipsoid JK of maximal volume that is contained in K. The ellipsoid is called the John ellipsoid of K and the center of this ellipsoid is called John point of K.

Let V(K) denote the *n*-dimensional volume of the convex body K in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $B_n$  denote the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\omega_n$  the volume of  $B_n$ . For each convex body K, let  $\Gamma_{-2}K$  denote the new ellipsoid which is defined by Lutwak, Yang and Zhang. In [9], the authors proved the following two theorems for the new ellipsoid.

Received March 2, 2006; revised November 20, 2006.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 52A40, 52A20.

Key words and phrases. Lengendre ellipsoid, John ellipsoid, new ellipsoid, simplex.

Supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China. (Grant NO.10671117).

## YUAN JUN, SI LIN AND LENG GANGSONG

**Theorem A.** Let K be an origin-symmetric convex body. Then

 $2^{-n}\omega_n V(K) \le V(\Gamma_{-2}K) \le V(K).$ 

Equality on the left-hand side holds if and only if K is a parallelotope and equality on the right-hand side holds if and only if K is an ellipsoid.

**Theorem B.** Suppose  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a convex body positioned so that its John point is at the origin, then

$$V(\Gamma_{-2}K) \ge \frac{n!\omega_n}{n^{n/2}(n+1)^{(n+1)/2}}V(K),$$

with equality if and only if K is a simplex.

The aim of this paper is to study the new ellipsoid further. We give two extremal properties of the new ellipsoid. Our main results are the following two theorems:

**Theorem 1.** For any origin-symmetric convex body K, there exist an ellipsoid E and a parallelotope P such that

$$\Gamma_{-2}E \supseteq \Gamma_{-2}K \supseteq \Gamma_{-2}P$$
 and  $V(E) = V(K) = V(P).$ 

Equality on the left-hand side holds if and only if K is an ellipsoid and equality on the right-hand side holds if and only if K is a parallelotope.

**Theorem 2.** Suppose  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a convex body positioned so that its John point is at the origin, then there exists a simplex T such that

$$\Gamma_{-2}K \supseteq \Gamma_{-2}T$$
 and  $V(K) = V(T)$ ,

with equality if and only if K is a simplex.

As usual,  $S^{n-1}$  denotes the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let K be a nonempty compact convex body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the support function  $h_K$  of K is defined by ([1,11])

$$h_K(u) = max\{u \cdot x : x \in K\}, u \in S^{n-1},$$

where  $u \cdot x$  denotes the usual inner product of u and x in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

For a compact subset L of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , which is star-shaped with respect to the origin, we shall use  $\rho(L, \cdot)$  to denote its radial function; i.e., for  $u \in S^{n-1}$  ([1,11])

$$\rho(L, u) = \max\{\lambda > 0 : \lambda u \in L\}$$

For each convex body K, the new ellipsoid  $\Gamma_{-2}K$  was defined by ([9])

$$\rho_{\Gamma_{-2}K}^{-2}(u) = \frac{1}{V(K)} \int_{S^{n-1}} |u \cdot v|^2 dS_2(K, v), \tag{1}$$

160

for all  $u \in S^{n-1}$ , where  $S_2(K, \cdot)$  denotes the  $L_2$ -surface measure.

It was shown in [7] that  $S_2(K, \cdot)$  is absolutely continuous with respect to the classical surface area measure  $S_K$  and that the Radon-Nikodym derivative

$$\frac{dS_2(K,\cdot)}{dS_K} = \frac{1}{h_K}.$$

Thus, if P is a polytope whose faces have outer unit normals  $u_1, \ldots, u_N$ , and  $a_i$  denotes the area ((n-1)-dimensional volumes) of the face with outer normal  $u_i$  and  $h_i$  denotes the distance from the origin to this face, then the measure  $S_2(P, \cdot)$  is concentrated at the points  $u_1, \ldots, u_N \in S^{n-1}$  and  $S_2(P, u_i) = a_i/h_i$ . Thus, for the polytope P, we have for  $u \in S^{n-1}$ 

$$\rho_{\Gamma_{-2}P}^{-2}(u) = \frac{1}{V(P)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (u \cdot u_i)^2 \frac{a_i}{h_i}.$$
(2)

Suppose K is a convex body that contains the origin in its interior. In [9], the authors proved that  $\Gamma_{-2}K$  is affine invariant, i.e., if  $\phi \in GL(n)$ , then

$$\Gamma_{-2}(\phi K) = \phi \Gamma_{-2} K. \tag{3}$$

Since  $\Gamma_{-2}B_n = B_n$ , it follows from (3) that if E is an ellipsoid centered at the origin, then

$$\Gamma_{-2}E = E. \tag{4}$$

To prove of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, we need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1.**(John [2]) Each convex body K contains an unique ellipsoid JK of maximal volume. This ellipsoid is the unit ball  $B_n$  if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:  $B_n \subset K$  and there are contact points  $\{u_i\}_1^m$  and positive numbers  $\{c_i\}_1^m$  so that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_i u_i \otimes u_i = I_n,\tag{5}$$

where  $u_i \otimes u_i$  is the rank-one orthogonal projection onto the span of  $u_i$  and  $I_n$  is the identity on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

The condition (5) shows that the  $u_i$  behave like an orthonormal basis to the extent that, for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$||x||^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i (x \cdot u_i)^2.$$
(6)

The equality of the traces in (5) shows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_i = n.$$
(7)

### YUAN JUN, SI LIN AND LENG GANGSONG

**Lemma 2.** Let C be a cube centered at the origin in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then

$$\Gamma_{-2}C = JC.$$

**Proof.** Without loss of generality, let C be  $[-1,1]^n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For C the John ellipsoid is  $B_n$ . The contact points are the standard basis vectors  $(e_1,\ldots,e_n)$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and their negatives, and they satisfy

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} e_i \otimes e_i = I_n.$$

That is, one can take all the weights  $c_i$  equal to 1 in (6).

Hence, it is sufficient to prove that the new ellipsoid is  $B_n$ . By the definition (2) and (6), we have for  $u \in S^{n-1}$ 

$$\rho_{\Gamma_{-2}C}^{-2}(u) = \frac{1}{V(C)} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} (u \cdot e_i)^2 \frac{a_i}{h_i}$$
$$= \frac{2}{2^n} \sum_{i=1}^n (u \cdot e_i)^2 2^{n-1}$$
$$= \|u\|^2 = 1.$$

Hence  $\Gamma_{-2}C = B_n$ , the proof of lemma 2 is completed.

**Lemma 3.**(Fejes Tóth [13]) Let T be a simplex in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with inscribed ball radius r. Then

$$V(T) \ge \frac{n^{n/2}(n+1)^{(n+1)/2}}{n!}r^n,$$

with equality if and only if T is a regular simplex.

**Lemma 4.** Let T be a simplex in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  that contains the origin in its interior. Then

$$\Gamma_{-2}T = JT.$$

**Proof.** Since both  $\Gamma_{-2}T$  and JT are affine invariant, it suffices to prove that  $\Gamma_{-2}T = JT$  holds for a regular simplex. It is easy to verify that the John ellipsoid of a regular simplex is its inscribed ball.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that T is a regular simplex whose inscribed ball is  $B_n$ . Let  $u_1, \ldots, u_{n+1}$  denote the outer unit normals and S the area of the face of T. By (6), we have for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$||x||^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} c_i (x \cdot u_i)^2, \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} c_i = n.$$

Take  $u_i$  for x, and notice that  $(u_i \cdot u_j) = -\frac{1}{n}$  for  $i \neq j$ .

162

It follows that

$$1 = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} c_j (u_j \cdot u_i)^2 = \frac{1}{n^2} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} c_j - c_i \right) + c_i.$$

Hence

$$c_i = \frac{n}{n+1}$$

By (2), we have

$$\rho_{\Gamma_{-2}T}^{-2}(u) = \frac{1}{V(T)} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (u \cdot u_i)^2 S$$
$$= \frac{n}{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (u \cdot u_i)^2$$
$$= ||u||^2 = 1.$$

Hence  $\Gamma_{-2}T = B_n$ , the proof of lemma 4 is completed.

Now we give the proofs of theorems.

Proof of Theorem 1. We first prove the left inclusion. Let

$$V(\Gamma_{-2}K) = \lambda V(K).$$

From Theorem A, we have

$$\frac{\omega_n}{2^n} \le \lambda \le 1. \tag{8}$$

Now put

$$E = \lambda^{-\frac{1}{n}} \Gamma_{-2} K,$$

we obtain

$$V(E) = V(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{n}} \Gamma_{-2} K) = \lambda^{-1} V(\Gamma_{-2} K) = V(K).$$

Since  $\lambda^{-\frac{1}{n}} \ge 1$ , we have

$$\Gamma_{-2}E = \Gamma_{-2}(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{n}}\Gamma_{-2}K) = \lambda^{-\frac{1}{n}}\Gamma_{-2}K \supseteq \Gamma_{-2}K.$$

Now we prove the right inclusion of Theorem 1.

Since  $\Gamma_{-2}K$  is an ellipsoid, there exists a  $\phi \in SL(n)$  such that  $\phi(\Gamma_{-2}K)$  is a ball, that is,

$$\phi(\Gamma_{-2}K) = \left(\frac{V(\Gamma_{-2}K)}{\omega_n}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} B_n.$$
(9)

Let C be the cube centered at the origin with the side length  $V(K)^{\frac{1}{n}}$ , so V(C) = V(K). By Lemma 2, we have

$$\Gamma_{-2}C = JC = \frac{1}{2}V(K)^{\frac{1}{n}}B_n.$$
(10)

From (9),(10) and the left-hand side inequality of Theorem A, we have

 $\phi(\Gamma_{-2}K) \supseteq \Gamma_{-2}C,$ 

that is,

$$\phi(\Gamma_{-2}K) \supseteq \phi[\Gamma_{-2}(\phi^{-1}C)].$$

It follows that

$$\Gamma_{-2}K \supseteq \Gamma_{-2}(\phi^{-1}C).$$

Let  $P = \phi^{-1}C$ , then

$$\Gamma_{-2}K \supseteq \Gamma_{-2}(P)$$
 and  $V(K) = V(C) = V(P)$ .

The proof of Theorem 1 is completed.

**Proof of Theorem 2.** As in the proof of Theorem 1, there exists a  $\phi \in SL(n)$  such that  $\phi(\Gamma_{-2}K)$  is a ball, that is

$$\phi(\Gamma_{-2}K) = \left(\frac{V(\Gamma_{-2}K)}{\omega_n}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} B_n.$$
(11)

Construct a regular simplex T' with inscribed ball radius  $r = \left(\frac{n!V(K)}{n^{n/2}(n+1)^{(n+1)/2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$ . By Lemma 4, we know V(T') = V(K).

By Lemma 3, we have

$$\Gamma_{-2}T = JT' = rB_n = \left(\frac{n!V(K)}{n^{n/2}(n+1)^{(n+1)/2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} B_n.$$
(12)

According to the Theorem B, from (11) and (12), we infer that

$$\phi(\Gamma_{-2}K) \supseteq \Gamma_{-2}T',$$

that is,

$$\Gamma_{-2}K \supseteq \Gamma_{-2}(\phi^{-1}T').$$

Let  $T = \phi^{-1}T'$ , then

$$\Gamma_{-2}K \supseteq \Gamma_{-2}(T)$$
 and  $V(K) = V(T') = V(T).$ 

The proof of Theorem 2 is completed.

The idea of this paper origins from Schneider's excellent survey [12] and Jonasson [3].

164

#### References

- [1] R. J. Gardner, Geometric Tomography. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [2] F. John, Extremun problems with inequalities as subsidiary conditions, in Studies and essays presented to R. Courant on his 60th birthday (Jan. 8, 1948), Interscience, New York, 1948, 187–204.
- [3] J. Jonasson, Optimization of shape in continuum percolation, Ann. Probab. 29(2001), 624– 635.
- [4] K. Leichtwei $\beta$ , Affine Geometry of Convex Bodies. J. A. Barth, Heidelberg, 1998.
- [5] J. Lindenstrauss and V. D. Milman, *Local theory of normal spaces and convexity*, Handbook of Convex Geometry (P.M. Gruber and J.M. Wills, eds.), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1993, 1149–1220.
- [6] M. Ludwig, Ellipsoids and matrix-valued valuations, Duke. Math. J. 119(2003), 159–188.
- [7] E. Lutwak, The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey theory I: Mixed volumes and the Minkowski problem, J. Differential Geom. 38(1993), 131–150.
- [8] E. Lutwak, The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey theory II: affine and geominimal surface areas, Adv. in Math. 118(1996), 244–294.
- E. Lutwak, D. Yang and G. Y. Zhang(2000), A new ellipsoid associated with convex bodies, Duke. Math. J. 104(2000), 375–390.
- [10] V. D. Milman and A. Pajor, Isotropic position and inertia ellipsoids and zonoids of the unit ball of a normal n-dimensional space, Geometric Aspect of Functional Analysis, Springer Lecture Note in Math. 1376(1989), 64–104.
- [11] R. Schneider, Convex Bodies: The Brunn-Minkowski theory. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [12] R. Schneider, Simplices, Program "Asymptotic Theory of the Geometry of Finite Dimensional Spaces", Schrödinger Institute, Vienna, July 2005.
- [13] L. Fejes Tóth, Regulaere Figuren, Akademiai Kiado, Budapest, 1965.

School of Mathematics and Computer Science, Nanjing Normal University, 210097, Nanjing, P.R. China.

E-mail: yuanjun@graduate.shu.edu.cn

College of Science, Beijing Forestry University, Beijing, 100083, P.R. China. E-mail: silin@bjfu.edu.cn

Department of Mathematics, Shanghai University, Shanghai, 200444, P.R. China. E-mail: gleng@staff.shu.edu.cn