



WEIGHTED SHARING AND UNIQUENESS OF MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

HARINA P. WAGHAMORE AND TANUJA ADAVISWAMY

Abstract. In this paper, we study with a weighted sharing method the uniqueness problem of $[f^n P(f)]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n P(g)]^{(k)}$ sharing one value and obtain some results which extend and improve the results due to Hong-Yan Xu and Ting-Bin Cao.

1. Introduction

Let f be a non-constant meromorphic function in the whole complex plane. We shall use the following standard notations of the value distribution theory:

$$T(r, f), m(r, f), N(r, f), \overline{N}(r, f), \dots$$

(See Hayman [3], Yang [6] and Yi and Yang [7]). We denote by $S(r, f)$ any quantity satisfying $S(r, f) = o(T(r, f))$,

as $r \rightarrow +\infty$, possibly outside of a set with finite measure. For any constant $'a'$, we define

$$\Theta(a, f) = 1 - \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)}{T(r, f)},$$

Let $'a'$ be a finite complex number and k a positive integer. We denote by $N_{(k)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)$ the counting function for the zeros of $f(z) - a$ with the multiplicity $\leq k$, and by $\overline{N}_{(k)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)$ the corresponding one for which the multiplicity is not counted. Let $N_{(k)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)$ be the counting function for the zeros of $f(z) - a$ with multiplicity atleast k , and $\overline{N}_{(k)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)$ be the corresponding one for which the multiplicity is not counted. Set

$$N_k\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right) = \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right) + \overline{N}_{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right) + \dots + \overline{N}_{(k)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right).$$

Received May 26, 2011, accepted September 11, 2013.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30D35.

Key words and phrases. Weighted sharing, entire functions, meromorphic functions, differential polynomials, uniqueness.

Corresponding author: Harina P. Waghamore.

We define

$$\delta_k(a, f) = 1 - \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{N}_k\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)}{T(r, f)}.$$

Let g be a meromorphic function. If $f(z) - a$ and $g(z) - a$, assume the same zeros with the same multiplicities then we say that $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ share the value ' a ' CM, where ' a ' is a complex number. Similarly, we say that f and g share a IM, provided that $f(z) - a$ and $g(z) - a$ have same multiplicities.

In 1996, Fang proved the following result.

Theorem A([1]). *Let f and g be two non-constant entire functions and let n, k be two positive integers with $n > 2k + 4$. If $[f^n]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n]^{(k)}$ share the value 1 CM, then either $f(z) = c_1 e^{cz}$ and $g(z) = c_2 e^{-cz}$ where c_1, c_2 and c are three constants satisfying $(-1)^k (c_1 c_2)^n (nc)^{2k} = 1$ or $f = tg$ for a constant t such that $t^n = 1$.*

In 1997, Yang and Hua obtained a unicity theorem corresponding to above result.

Theorem B([8]). *Let f and g be two nonconstant entire functions, $n \geq 6$ a positive integer. If $f^n f'$ and $g^n g'$ share 1 CM, then either $f(z) = c_1 e^{cz}$ and $g(z) = c_2 e^{-cz}$ where c_1, c_2 and c are three constants satisfying $(c_1 c_2)^{n+1} c^2 = 1$ or $f = tg$ for a constant t such that $t^{n+1} = 1$.*

In 2002, Fang proved the following result.

Theorem C([2]). *Let f and g be two non-constant entire functions and let n, k be two positive integers with $n > 2k + 8$. If $[f^n (f - 1)]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n (g - 1)]^{(k)}$ share the value 1 CM, then $f \equiv g$.*

In 2008, Zhang and Lin, Zhang, Chen and Lin extended Theorem C and obtain the following results.

Theorem D([10]). *Let f and g be two non-constant entire functions and let n, m and k be three positive integers with $n > 2k + m + 4$, and λ, μ be constants such that $|\lambda| + |\mu| \neq 0$. If $[f^n (\mu f^m + \lambda)]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n (\mu g^m + \lambda)]^{(k)}$ share 1 CM, then*

- (i) *when $\lambda\mu \neq 0$, $f \equiv g$.*
- (ii) *when $\lambda\mu = 0$, either $f \equiv tg$, where t is a constant satisfying $t^{n+m} = 1$, or $f(z) = c_1 e^{cz}$ and $g(z) = c_2 e^{-cz}$ where c_1, c_2 and c are three constants satisfying $(-1)^k \lambda^2 (c_1 c_2)^{n+m} [(n+m)c]^{2k} = 1$ or $(-1)^k \mu^2 (c_1 c_2)^{n+m} [(n+m)c]^{2k} = 1$.*

Theorem E([11]). *Let f and g be two non-constant entire functions and let n, m and k be three positive integers with $n > 2k + m + 4$, and let $P(z) = a_m z^m + a_{m-1} z^{m-1} + \dots + a_1 z + a_0$ or $P(z) \equiv c_0$, where $a_0 \neq 0, a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}, a_m \neq 0, c_0 \neq 0$ are complex constants. If $[f^n P(f)]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n P(g)]^{(k)}$ share 1 CM, then*

- (i) when $P(z) = a_m z^m + a_{m-1} z^{m-1} + \cdots + a_1 z + a_0$, either $f \equiv tg$ for a constant t such that $t^d = 1$, where $d = (n + m, \dots, n + m - i, \dots, n)$, $a_{m-i} \neq 0$ for some $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$, or f and g satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f, g) \equiv 0$, where $R(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \omega_1^n (a_m \omega_1^m + a_{m-1} \omega_1^{m-1} + \cdots + a_1 \omega_1 + a_0) - \omega_2^n (a_m \omega_2^m + a_{m-1} \omega_2^{m-1} + \cdots + a_1 \omega_2 + a_0)$;
- (ii) when $P(z) = c_0$, either $f(z) = c_1 / \sqrt[n]{c_0} e^{cz}$, $g(z) = c_2 / \sqrt[n]{c_0} e^{-cz}$, where c_1, c_2 and c are three constants satisfying $(-1)^k (c_1 c_2)^n (nc)^{2k} = 1$, or $f = tg$ for a constant t such that $t^n = 1$.

In 2009, H.-Y. Xu and T.-B. Cao proved the following result.

Theorem F([5]). Let f and g be two nonconstant entire functions, and let n, m and k be three positive integers with $n \geq 5k + 5m + 8$. If $[f^n P(f)]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n P(g)]^{(k)}$ share $(1, 0)$, then the conclusion of Theorem E still holds.

Theorem G([5]). Let f and g be two nonconstant entire functions, and let n, m and k be three positive integers with $n > \frac{9}{2}m + 4k + \frac{9}{2}$. If $[f^n P(f)]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n P(g)]^{(k)}$ share $(1, 1)$, then the conclusion of Theorem E still holds.

Theorem H([5]). Let f and g be two nonconstant entire functions, and let n, m and k be three positive integers with $n \geq 3m + 3k + 5$. If $[f^n P(f)]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n P(g)]^{(k)}$ share $(1, 2)$, then the conclusion of Theorem E still holds.

In this paper, by introducing the notion of multiplicity, we reduce and improve Theorems F, G and H. Also we extend these theorems to meromorphic functions and obtain the following results.

Theorem 1.1. Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions, whose zeros and poles are of multiplicities atleast s , where s is a positive integer. Let $P(f) = a_m f^m + a_{m-1} f^{m-1} + \cdots + a_1 f + a_0$, ($a_m \neq 0$), and a_i ($i = 0, 1, \dots, m$) is the first nonzero coefficient from the right, and let n, k, m be three positive integers. If $[f^n P(f)]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n P(g)]^{(k)}$ share $(1, l)$ and one of the following conditions holds:

- (i) $l \geq 2$ and $s(n + m) > 3k + 10$
- (ii) $l = 1$ and $s(n + m) > 5k + 13$
- (iii) $l = 0$ and $s(n + m) > 9k + 16$

then either $f = tg$ for a constant t such that $t^d = 1$, where $d = (n + m, \dots, n + m - i, \dots, n)$, $a_{m-i} \neq 0$ for some $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$, or f and g satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f, g) \equiv 0$, where $R(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \omega_1^n P(\omega_1) - \omega_2^n P(\omega_2)$.

Theorem 1.2. Let f and g be two non-constant entire functions, whose zeros and poles are of multiplicities atleast s , where s is a positive integer. Let $P(f) = a_m f^m + a_{m-1} f^{m-1} + \cdots + a_1 f + a_0$,

($a_m \neq 0$), and $a_i (i = 0, 1, \dots, m)$ is the first nonzero coefficient from the right, and let n, k, m be three positive integers. If $[f^n P(f)]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n P(g)]^{(k)}$ share $(1, l)$ and one of the following conditions holds:

- (i) $l \geq 2$ and $s(n+m) > 3k+5$
- (ii) $l = 1$ and $s(n+m) > 4k+6$
- (iii) $l = 0$ and $s(n+m) > 5k+8$

then either $f = tg$ for a constant t such that $t^d = 1$, where $d = (n+m, \dots, n+m-i, \dots, n)$, $a_{m-i} \neq 0$ for some $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$, or f and g satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f, g) \equiv 0$, where $R(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \omega_1^n P(\omega_1) - \omega_2^n P(\omega_2)$.

Remark. In Theorem 1.2, giving specific values for s , we get the following interesting cases:

- (i) If $s = 1$, then for $l \geq 2$ we get $n > 3k+5-m$, for $l = 1$ we get $n > 4k+6-m$ and for $l = 0$ we get $n > 5k+8-m$.
- (ii) If $s = 2$, then for $l \geq 2$ we get $n > \frac{3k+5}{2} - m$, for $l = 1$ we get $n > 2k+3-m$ and for $l = 0$ we get $n > \frac{5k+8}{2} - m$.

We conclude that if f and g have zeros and poles of higher order multiplicity, then we can reduce the value of n .

2. Some Lemmas

Lemma 2.1 ([3]). *Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function, let k be a positive integer, and let c be a nonzero finite complex number. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f) &\leq \overline{N}(r, f) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)} - c}\right) - N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k+1)}}\right) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq \overline{N}(r, f) + N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)} - c}\right) - N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k+1)}}\right) + S(r, f). \end{aligned}$$

where $N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k+1)}}\right)$ is the counting function which only counts those points such that $f^{(k+1)} = 0$ but $f^{(k)} - c \neq 0$.

Lemma 2.2 ([9]). *Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function and $P(f) = a_0 + a_1 f + \dots + a_n f^n$, where a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n are constants and $a_n \neq 0$. Then*

$$T(r, P(f)) = nT(r, f) + S(r, f).$$

Lemma 2.3 ([4, 12]). *Let f be a non-constant meromorphic function and k be a positive integer, then*

$$\begin{aligned} N_p\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) &\leq N_{p+k}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + k\overline{N}(r, f) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq (p+k)\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + k\overline{N}(r, f) + S(r, f). \end{aligned}$$

This Lemma can be obtained immediately from the proof of Lemma 2.3 in [4] which is the case $p = 2$.

Lemma 2.4 ([13]). *Let F and G be two nonconstant meromorphic functions. If F and G share 1 IM, then $\overline{N}_L(r, \frac{1}{F-1}) \leq \overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{F}) + \overline{N}(r, F) + S(r, F)$.*

Lemma 2.5 ([5]). *Let f and g be two nonconstant entire functions, and let k be a positive integer. If $f^{(k)}$ and $g^{(k)}$ share $(1, l)$ ($l = 0, 1, 2$). Then*

(i) *If $l = 0$,*

$\Theta(0, f) + \delta_k(0, f) + \delta_{k+1}(0, f) + \delta_{k+1}(0, g) + \delta_{k+2}(0, f) + \delta_{k+2}(0, g) > 5$, then either $f^{(k)}g^{(k)} = 1$ or $f \equiv g$;

(ii) *If $l = 1$,*

$\frac{1}{2} [\Theta(0, f) + \delta_k(0, f) + \delta_{k+2}(0, f)] + \delta_{k+1}(0, f) + \delta_{k+1}(0, g) + \Theta(0, g) + \delta_k(0, g) > \frac{9}{2}$, then either $f^{(k)}g^{(k)} = 1$ or $f \equiv g$;

(iii) *If $l = 2$,*

$\Theta(0, f) + \delta_k(0, f) + \delta_{k+1}(0, f) + \delta_{k+2}(0, g) > 3$, then either $f^{(k)}g^{(k)} = 1$ or $f \equiv g$.

Lemma 2.6. *Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions, $k (\geq 1)$ and $l (\geq 0)$ be integers. If $f^{(k)}$ and $g^{(k)}$ share $(1, l)$ ($l = 0, 1, 2$). Then*

(i) *If $l \geq 2$,*

$(k+2)\Theta(\infty, f) + 2\Theta(\infty, g) + \Theta(0, f) + \Theta(0, g) + \delta_{k+1}(0, f) + \delta_{k+1}(0, g) > k+7$, then either $f^{(k)}g^{(k)} = 1$ or $f \equiv g$;

(ii) *If $l = 1$,*

$(2k+3)\Theta(\infty, f) + 2\Theta(\infty, g) + \Theta(0, f) + \Theta(0, g) + \delta_{k+1}(0, f) + \delta_{k+1}(0, g) + \delta_{k+2}(0, f) > 2k+9$, then either $f^{(k)}g^{(k)} = 1$ or $f \equiv g$;

(iii) *If $l = 0$,*

$(2k+3)\Theta(\infty, f) + (2k+4)\Theta(\infty, g) + \Theta(0, f) + \Theta(0, g) + 2\delta_{k+1}(0, f) + 3\delta_{k+1}(0, g) > 4k+13$, then either $f^{(k)}g^{(k)} = 1$ or $f \equiv g$.

Proof. Let

$$\Phi(z) = \left(\frac{f^{(k+2)}}{f^{(k+1)}} - 2 \frac{f^{(k+1)}}{f^{(k)} - 1} \right) - \left(\frac{g^{(k+2)}}{g^{(k+1)}} - 2 \frac{g^{(k+1)}}{g^{(k)} - 1} \right). \quad (2.1)$$

Suppose that $\Phi(z) \neq 0$. If z_0 is a common simple 1-point of $f^{(k)}(z)$ and $f^{(k)}(z)$, substituting their Taylor series at z_0 into (2.1), we can get $\Phi(z_0) = 0$. Thus we have,

$$\begin{aligned} N_E^{(1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-1}\right) &= N_E^{(1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}-1}\right) \leq \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\Phi}\right) \leq T(r, \Phi) + O(1) \\ &\leq N(r, \Phi) + S(r, f) + S(r, g), \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where $N_E^{(1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-1}\right)$ denotes the counting function of common 1-points of $f^{(k)}$ and $g^{(k)}$.

According to our assumption, $\Phi(z)$ has simple poles only at zeros of $f^{(k+1)}$, $f^{(k)} - 1$ and $g^{(k+1)}$, $g^{(k)} - 1$ as well as poles of f and g .

From Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f) + T(r, g) &\leq \overline{N}(r, f) + \overline{N}(r, g) + N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) \\ &\quad + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-1}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}-1}\right) - N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k+1)}}\right) \\ &\quad - N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k+1)}}\right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Obviously,

$$N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-1}\right) \leq T(r, f^{(k)}) + O(1) \leq T(r, f) + k\overline{N}(r, f) + S(r, f). \quad (2.4)$$

If $l \geq 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} N(r, \Phi) &\leq \overline{N}(r, f) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \overline{N}(r, g) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + \overline{N}_{(l+1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-1}\right) \\ &\quad + N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k+1)}}\right) + N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k+1)}}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{N}_{(l+1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-1}\right) &+ \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-1}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}-1}\right) \\ &\leq N_{(1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}-1}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-1}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

From (2.2)–(2.6) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, g) &\leq (k+2)\overline{N}(r, f) + 2\overline{N}(r, g) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) \\ &\quad + N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality, we suppose that there exists a set I with infinite linear measure such that $T(r, F) \leq T(r, G)$ for $r \in I$. Hence

$$T(r, g) \leq [(k+2)(1 - \Theta(\infty, f)) + 2(1 - \Theta(\infty, g)) + (1 - \Theta(0, f))]$$

$$+ (1 - \Theta(0, g)) + (1 - \delta_{k+1}(0, f)) + (1 - \delta_{k+1}(0, g)) + \varepsilon] T(r, g) + S(r, g),$$

for $r \in I$ and $0 < \varepsilon < \Delta_1 - (k + 7)$, that is $[\Delta_1 - (k + 7) - \varepsilon] T(r, g) \leq S(r, g)$.

ie.,

$$\Delta_1 \leq (k + 7), \quad (2.7)$$

If $l = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} N(r, \Phi) &\leq \bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \bar{N}(r, g) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + \bar{N}_{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)} - 1}\right) \\ &\quad + N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k+1)}}\right) + N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k+1)}}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Obviously,

$$\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)} - 1}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)} - 1}\right) \leq N_E^1\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)} - 1}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)} - 1}\right). \quad (2.9)$$

Thus, we deduce from (2.2)–(2.4), (2.8) and (2.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, g) &\leq (k + 2)\bar{N}(r, f) + 2\bar{N}(r, g) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) \\ &\quad + N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + \bar{N}_{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)} - 1}\right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Note that $l = 1$, from Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{N}_{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)} - 1}\right) &\leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k+1)}}\right) = N_1\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k+1)}}\right) \\ &\leq N_{k+2}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + (k + 1)\bar{N}(r, f) + S(r, f). \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

The inequality (2.10) together with (2.11) yields

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, g) &\leq (2k + 3)\bar{N}(r, f) + 2\bar{N}(r, g) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) \\ &\quad + N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + N_{k+2}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, g) &\leq [(2k + 3)(1 - \Theta(\infty, f)) + 2(1 - \Theta(\infty, g)) + (1 - \Theta(0, f)) \\ &\quad + (1 - \Theta(0, g)) + (1 - \delta_{k+1}(0, f)) + (1 - \delta_{k+1}(0, g)) + (1 - \delta_{k+2}(0, f)) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon] T(r, g) + S(r, g), \end{aligned}$$

for $r \in I$ and $0 < \varepsilon < \Delta_2 - (2k + 9)$, that is $[\Delta_2 - (2k + 9) - \varepsilon] T(r, g) \leq S(r, g)$,

ie.,

$$\Delta_2 \leq (2k + 9). \quad (2.12)$$

If $l = 0$, i.e., $f^{(k)}$ and $g^{(k)}$ share 1 IM, at this circumstance, we have

$$\begin{aligned} N(r, \Phi) &\leq \overline{N}(r, f) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \overline{N}(r, g) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + \overline{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)} - 1}\right) \\ &\quad + \overline{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)} - 1}\right) + N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k+1)}}\right) + N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k+1)}}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

From Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)} - 1}\right) + 2\overline{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)} - 1}\right) &\leq \overline{N}(r, f) + 2\overline{N}(r, g) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) \\ &\quad + 2\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}}\right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

From Lemma 2.3, we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) + 2\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}}\right) &= N_1\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) + 2N_1\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}}\right) \\ &\leq N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + 2N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + k\overline{N}(r, f) + 2k\overline{N}(r, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

When $l = 0$, we can get

$$\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)} - 1}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)} - 1}\right) \leq N_E^{(1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)} - 1}\right) + \overline{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)} - 1}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)} - 1}\right).$$

From (2.2)–(2.4) and (2.13)–(2.15) and the above inequality, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, g) &\leq (2k+3)\overline{N}(r, f) + (2k+4)\overline{N}(r, g) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) \\ &\quad + 2N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + 3N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

In the same way, we can also get

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, g) &\leq [(2k+3)(1 - \Theta(\infty, f)) + (2k+4)(1 - \Theta(\infty, g)) + (1 - \Theta(0, f))] \\ &\quad + (1 - \Theta(0, g)) + 2(1 - \delta_{k+1}(0, f)) + 3(1 - \delta_{k+1}(0, g)) + \varepsilon] T(r, g) + S(r, g), \end{aligned}$$

for $r \in I$ and $0 < \varepsilon < \Delta_3 - (4k+13)$, that is $[\Delta_3 - (4k+13) - \varepsilon] T(r, g) \leq S(r, g)$, i.e.,

$$\Delta_3 \leq (4k+13), \quad (2.17)$$

Hence, we get $\Phi(z) \equiv 0$, i.e.,

$$\frac{f^{(k+2)}}{f^{(k+1)}} - 2\frac{f^{(k+1)}}{f^{(k)} - 1} = \frac{g^{(k+2)}}{g^{(k+1)}} - 2\frac{g^{(k+1)}}{g^{(k)} - 1}.$$

Integration yields

$$\frac{1}{f^{(k)} - 1} \equiv \frac{bg^{(k)} + a - b}{g^{(k)} - 1},$$

where a and b are two constants and $a \neq 0$. By using the same argument as in [13], we can obtain $f^{(k)}g^{(k)} \equiv 1$ or $f \equiv g$, we here omit the detail. The proof of Lemma 2.6 is completed.

Lemma 2.7. *Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions, and let $n(\geq 1)$, $k(\geq 1)$ and $m(\geq 1)$ be integers. Then*

$$[f^n P(f)]^{(k)} [g^n P(g)]^{(k)} \neq 1.$$

Proof. Let

$$[f^n P(f)]^{(k)} [g^n P(g)]^{(k)} \equiv 1. \quad (2.18)$$

Let z_0 be a zero of f of order p_0 . From (2.18) we get z_0 is a pole of g . Suppose that z_0 is a pole of g of order q_0 . Again by (2.18), we obtain $np_0 - k = nq_0 + mq_0 + k$,

$$\text{i.e., } n(p_0 - q_0) = mq_0 + 2k.$$

which implies that $q_0 \geq \frac{n-2k}{m}$ and so we have $p_0 \geq \frac{n+m-2k}{m}$.

Let z_1 be a zero of $f - 1$ of order p_1 , then z_1 is a zero of $[f^n P(f)]^{(k)}$ of order $p_1 - k$. Therefore from (2.18) we obtain $p_1 - k = nq_1 + mq_1 + k$

$$\text{i.e., } p_1 \geq (n+m)s + 2k.$$

Let z_2 be a zero of f' of order p_2 that is not a zero of $fP(f)$, then from (2.18) z_2 is a pole of g of order q_2 . Again by (2.18) we get $p_2 - (k-1) = nq_2 + mq_2 + k$

$$\text{i.e., } p_2 \geq (n+m)s + 2k - 1.$$

In the same manner as above, we have similar results for the zeros of $[g^n P(g)]^{(k)}$.

On other hand, suppose that z_3 is a pole of f . From (2.18), we get that z_3 is the zero of $[g^n P(g)]^{(k)}$.

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{N}(r, f) &\leq \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g-1}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g'}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p_0} N\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + \frac{1}{p_1} N\left(r, \frac{1}{g-1}\right) + \frac{1}{p_2} N\left(r, \frac{1}{g'}\right) \\ &\leq \left[\frac{m}{n+m-2k} + \frac{1}{(n+m)s+2k} + \frac{2}{(n+m)s+2k-1} \right] T(r, g) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

By second fundamental theorem and equation (2.19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f) &\leq \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right) + \overline{N}(r, f) \\ &\leq \frac{m}{n+m-2k} N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \frac{1}{(n+m)s+2k} N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right) \\ &\quad + \left[\frac{m}{n+m-2k} + \frac{1}{(n+m)s+2k} + \frac{2}{(n+m)s+2k-1} \right] T(r, g) + S(r, g) + S(r, f). \end{aligned}$$

$$T(r, f) \leq \left[\frac{m}{n+m-2k} + \frac{1}{(n+m)s+2k} \right] T(r, f)$$

$$+ \left[\frac{m}{n+m-2k} + \frac{1}{(n+m)s+2k} + \frac{2}{(n+m)s+2k-1} \right] T(r, g) + S(r, g) + S(r, f). \quad (2.20)$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, g) &\leq \left[\frac{m}{n+m-2k} + \frac{1}{(n+m)s+2k} \right] T(r, g) \\ &+ \left[\frac{m}{n+m-2k} + \frac{1}{(n+m)s+2k} + \frac{2}{(n+m)s+2k-1} \right] T(r, f) + S(r, g) + S(r, f). \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

Adding (2.20) and (2.21) we get

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f) + T(r, g) &\leq \left[\frac{2m}{n+m-2k} + \frac{2}{(n+m)s+2k} + \frac{2}{(n+m)s+2k-1} \right] \{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} \\ &+ S(r, g) + S(r, f). \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Thus Lemma proved.

3. Proofs of the Theorems

In this section we present the proofs of the main results.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $F = f^n P(f)$ and $G = g^n P(g)$.

Consider

$$\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) = \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^n P(f)}\right) \leq \frac{1}{s(n+m)} N\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) \leq \frac{2}{s(n+m)} [T(r, F) + O(1)].$$

$$\Theta(0, F) = 1 - \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)}{T(r, F)} \geq 1 - \frac{2}{s(n+m)}. \quad (3.1)$$

Similarly,

$$\Theta(0, G) \geq 1 - \frac{2}{s(n+m)}. \quad (3.2)$$

$$\Theta(\infty, F) = 1 - \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{N}(r, F)}{T(r, F)} \geq 1 - \frac{1}{s(n+m)}. \quad (3.3)$$

Similarly,

$$\Theta(\infty, G) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{s(n+m)}. \quad (3.4)$$

Consider

$$N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) = N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^n P(f)}\right) = (k+1) \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^n P(f)}\right) \leq \frac{(k+1)}{s(n+m)} [T(r, F) + O(1)].$$

Next, we have

$$\delta_{k+1}(0, F) = 1 - \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)}{T(r, F)} \geq 1 - \frac{(k+1)}{s(n+m)}. \quad (3.5)$$

Similarly,

$$\delta_{k+1}(0, G) \geq 1 - \frac{(k+1)}{s(n+m)}. \quad (3.6)$$

Case(i) If $l \geq 2$ and from (3.1) to (3.6) and also from Lemma 2.6, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1 &= (k+2)\Theta(\infty, f) + 2\Theta(\infty, g) + \Theta(0, f) + \Theta(0, g) + \delta_{k+1}(0, f) + \delta_{k+1}(0, g) \\ &> (k+8) - \frac{3k+10}{s(n+m)} \end{aligned}$$

Since $s(n+m) > 3k+10$, we get $\Delta_1 > k+7$.

Therefore, by Lemma 2.6, we deduce that either $F^{(k)}G^{(k)} \equiv 1$ or $F \equiv G$.

If $F^{(k)}G^{(k)} \equiv 1$, that is

$$[f^n(a_m f^m + a_{m-1} f^{m-1} + \cdots + a_1 f + a_0)]^{(k)} [g^n(a_m g^m + a_{m-1} g^{m-1} + \cdots + a_1 g + a_0)]^{(k)} \equiv 1, \quad (3.7)$$

then by Lemma 2.7 we can get a contradiction.

Hence, we deduce that $F \equiv G$, that is

$$f^n(a_m f^m + a_{m-1} f^{m-1} + \cdots + a_1 f + a_0) = g^n(a_m g^m + a_{m-1} g^{m-1} + \cdots + a_1 g + a_0). \quad (3.8)$$

Let $h = \frac{f}{g}$. If h is a constant, then substituting $f = gh$ in (3.8) we obtain

$$a_m g^{n+m} (h^{n+m} - 1) + a_{m-1} g^{n+m-1} (h^{n+m-1} - 1) + \cdots + a_0 g^n (h^n - 1) = 0,$$

which implies $h^d = 1$, where $d = (n+m, \dots, n+m-i, \dots, n)$, $a_{m-1} \neq 0$ for some $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$. Thus $f \equiv tg$ for a constant t such that $t^d = 1$, where $d = (n+m, \dots, n+m-i, \dots, n)$, $a_{m-1} \neq 0$ for some $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$.

If h is not a constant, then we know (3.8) that f and g satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f, g) = 0$, where $R(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \omega_1^n P(\omega_1) - \omega_2^n P(\omega_2)$.

Case(ii) If $l = 1$ and from (3.1) to (3.6) and also from Lemma 2.6, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_2 &= (2k+3)\Theta(\infty, f) + 2\Theta(\infty, g) + \Theta(0, f) + \Theta(0, g) + \delta_{k+1}(0, f) + \delta_{k+1}(0, g) + \delta_{k+2}(0, f) \\ &> (2k+10) - \frac{5k+13}{s(n+m)} \end{aligned}$$

Since $s(n+m) > 5k+13$, we get $\Delta_2 > 2k+9$.

By continuing as in case(i), we get case(ii).

Case(iii) If $l = 0$ and from (3.1) to (3.6) and also from Lemma 2.6, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_3 &= (2k+3)\Theta(\infty, f) + (2k+4)\Theta(\infty, g) + \Theta(0, f) + \Theta(0, g) + 2\delta_{k+1}(0, f) + 3\delta_{k+1}(0, g) \\ &> (4k+14) - \frac{9k+16}{s(n+m)} \end{aligned}$$

Since $s(n + m) > 9k + 16$, we get $\Delta_2 > 4k + 13$.

By continuing as in case(i), we get case(iii).

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Since f and g are entire functions we have $\overline{N}(r, f) = \overline{N}(r, g) = 0$. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 1.1 we can easily prove Theorem 1.2.

References

- [1] M. L. Fang and X. H. Hua, *Entire functions that share one value*, J. Nanjing Univ. Math., biquarterly **13**(1) (1996), 44–48.
- [2] M. L. Fang, *Uniqueness and value-sharing of entire functions*, Comput. Math. Appl., **44**(2002), 823–831.
- [3] W. K. Hayman, *Meromorphic Functions*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.
- [4] Lahiri, I. and Sarkar, A., *Uniqueness of meromorphic functions and derivative*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., **5**(1)(2004), Art.20.
- [5] H. Y. Xu and T. B. Cao, *Uniqueness of entire or meromorphic functions sharing one value or a function with finite weight*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., **10**(3)(2009) Art.88.
- [6] L. Yang, *Value Distribution Theory*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [7] H. X. Yi and C. C. Yang, *Unicity Theory of Meromorphic Functions*, Science Press, Beijing, 1995.
- [8] C. C. Yang and X. H. Hua, *Uniqueness and value-sharing of meromorphic functions*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math., **22**(2)(1997), 395–406.
- [9] C. C. Yang and H. X. Yi, *Uniqueness Theory of Meromorphic Functions*, Science Press/ Kluwer Academic Publishers, Beijing/ New York, (1995/2003).
- [10] X. Y. Zhang and W. C. Lin, *Uniqueness and value-sharing of meromorphic functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **43**(2)(2008), 938-950.
- [11] X. Y. Zhang, J. F. Chen and W. C. Lin, *Entire or meromorphic functions sharing one value*, Comput. Math. Appl., **56**(2008), 1876-1883.
- [12] Q. C. Zhang, *Meromorphic functions that shares one small function with its derivative*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., **6**(4)(2005) Art.116.
- [13] T. Zhang and W. Lu, *Uniqueness theorems on meromorphic functions sharing one value*, Comput. Math. Appl., **55**(2008), 2981–2992.

Department of Mathematics, Central College Campus, Bangalore University, Bangalore-560 001, India.

E-mail: pree.tam@rediffmail.com

Department of Mathematics, Central College Campus, Bangalore University, Bangalore-560 001, India.

E-mail: a.tanuja1@gmail.com