Available online at http://journals.math.tku.edu.tw/

LYAPUNOV-TYPE INEQUALITY FOR THIRD-ORDER HALF-LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

JOZEF KISEĽÁK

Abstract. In this paper, we give a proof of a Lyapunov-type inequality for third-order halflinear differential equations. Then some applications, e.g. the distance between consecutive zeros of a solution, are studied with the help of the inequality.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we generalize the Lyapunov inequality for linear third-order differential equation

$$y''' + p(t) y = 0 (1)$$

for a class of half-linear differential equations of the third-order. It is well known [6] that if $p \in C[a, b]$ and x(t) is nonzero solution of (1) s.t. x(a) = x(b) = 0 (a < b) and there exist $d \in [a, b]$ s.t. y''(d) = 0, then the following inequality holds:

$$\int_{a}^{b} |p(t)| \,\mathrm{d}s > \frac{4}{(b-a)^2}.$$
(2)

Such result has found many practical uses in problems as oscillation theory or eigenvalue problems (spectral properties of differential equations). There are several generalizations in the literature. For higher-order linear differential equations see e.g. [1, 8] and for certain nonlinear higher-order differential equations see [5]. Development of theory of differential equations together with practical problems bring also delayed type of equations. If one is interested along this line see [7], where authors handle with the third-order delay differential equations. We study here a special type of nonlinear differential equations, of which the solution space possesses homogeneity property but lacks for additivity property. Second-order half-linear differential equations have been widely studied in recent years and there is a nice

Communicated by Chung-Tsun Shieh.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 34C10.

Received June 16, 2011, accepted January 11, 2013.

Key words and phrases. Lyapunov-type inequality, half-linear, third-order differential equations, oscillatory solution.

JOZEF KISEĽÁK

overview in the monograph [2]. Less literature exists, which deals with such equations of higher-order (especially odd-order differential equations), but one can see for example [4].

2. Main results

We are concerned with the third-order half-linear differential equation

$$\left(\frac{1}{r_2(t)}\Phi_{\alpha_2}\left[\left(\frac{1}{r_1(t)}\Phi_{\alpha_1}[y']\right)'\right]\right)' + q(t)\Phi_{\beta}[y] = 0, \tag{E}$$

where $\alpha_1 > 0$, $\alpha_2 > 0$, $q \in C([a, b], \mathbb{R})$, and $\Phi_{\alpha}[x] := |x|^{\alpha-1} x$, $\alpha > 0$, known as signed-power function. Moreover we assume that $(r_k)^{-1} \in C^{3-k}([a, b], (0, \infty))$, k = 1, 2, and to preserve mentioned homogeneity property we also demand that $\beta = \alpha_1 \alpha_2$. Equation (*E*) can be written by means of quasi-derivatives with respect to the coefficients r_i and functions Φ_{α_i} , i = 1, 2. We will denote them as follows:

$$D^{(0)} y(t) = y(t),$$

$$D^{(i)} y(t) = \frac{1}{r_i(t)} \Phi_{\alpha_i} \left[\frac{d}{dt} D^{(i-1)} y(t) \right] \quad i = 1, 2$$

$$D^{(3)} y(t) = \frac{d}{dt} D^{(2)} y(t).$$
(4)

A solution of (*E*) is said to be oscillatory (nonoscillatory) if it has (has not) a sequence of zeros converging to infinity. Equation (*E*) is oscillatory if all its solutions are oscillatory and nonoscillatory otherwise. If a solution of (*E*) has two consecutive zeros a < b, then there can two cases occur. Either there exist a $d \in [a, b]$ s.t. $\frac{d}{dt}D^{(1)} y(d) = 0$ or $\frac{d}{dt}D^{(1)} y(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in [a, b]$. The first case illustrates the following assertion.

Theorem 2.1. If y(t) is a nonzero solution of (*E*) satisfying y(a) = y(b) = 0 and there exist a $d \in [a, b]$ s.t. $\frac{d}{dt} D^{(1)} y(d) = 0$. Then

$$2\left(\int_{a}^{b} |q(t)| \mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} > \min_{c \in [a,b]} h(c),$$
(5)

where $h(c) = \frac{1}{\int_{a}^{c} r_{1}^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}}} dt \left(\int_{a}^{c} r_{2}(t)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{2}}} dt\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}}}} + \frac{1}{\int_{c}^{b} r_{1}^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}}} dt \left(\int_{c}^{b} r_{2}(t)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{2}}} dt\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}}}}.$

Proof. We first define functions y_k , k = 0, 1, 2, as follows:

$$y_0 := D^{(0)} y,$$

$$y_i := D^{(i)} y(t) = \frac{1}{r_i(t)} \Phi_{\alpha_i} \left[\frac{d}{dt} y_{i-1} \right], \qquad i = 1, 2$$
(6)

Equation (*E*) is then equivalent to the following differential system:

$$y'_{i} = r_{i+1}(t)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{i+1}}} \Phi_{\alpha_{i+1}}^{-1} [y_{i+1}], \qquad i = 0, 1$$

$$y'_{2} = -q(t) \Phi_{\beta}[y_{0}]. \qquad (7)$$

Condition $y_0(a) = y_0(b) = 0$ gives us existence of $c \in (a, b)$ s.t. $y'_0(c) = 0$ and $|y_0(c)| = \max_{t \in [a,b]} |y_0(t)|$. It follows from the latter that $y_1(c) = 0$. By integrating the first equation of the system (7) from a to c we obtain

$$y_0(c) = \int_a^c r_1^{\frac{1}{\alpha_1}}(t) \Phi_{\alpha_1}^{-1}[y_1(t)] dt$$

which implies

$$|y_0(c)| \le \int_a^c r_1^{\frac{1}{\alpha_1}}(t) |y_1(t)|^{\frac{1}{\alpha_1}} \,\mathrm{d}t.$$
(8)

Now let *t* be in [*a*, *c*]. From the fact that $y_1(c) = 0$ and $y_1(t) = \int_c^t y'_1(s) ds$ we get

$$|y_1(t)| \le \int_a^c r_2^{\frac{1}{\alpha_2}}(t) |y_2(t)|^{\frac{1}{\alpha_2}} \,\mathrm{d}t.$$
(9)

Further, from the second condition of the assumptions and relations (6) or (7), we know that $y_2(d) = 0$, which implies $y_2(t) = -\int_d^t q(s) \Phi_\beta[y_0(s)] \, ds$ for $t \in [a, c]$. Moreover we have

$$|y_2(t)| < |y_0(c)|^{\beta} \int_a^b |q(t)| \,\mathrm{d}t.$$
(10)

Combining inequalities (8)-(10), we get

$$|y_0(c)| < |y_0(c)| \int_a^c r_1^{\frac{1}{\alpha_1}}(t) \, \mathrm{d}t \left(\int_a^c r_2^{\frac{1}{\alpha_2}}(t) \, \mathrm{d}t \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_1}} \left(\int_a^b |q(t)| \, \mathrm{d}t \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}},$$

which implies

$$1 < \int_{a}^{c} r_{1}^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}}}(t) \,\mathrm{d}t \left(\int_{a}^{c} r_{2}^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{2}}}(t) \,\mathrm{d}t \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}}} \left(\int_{a}^{b} |q(t)| \,\mathrm{d}t \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}.$$
(11)

Analogously, we can get

$$1 < \int_{c}^{b} r_{1}^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}}}(t) \, \mathrm{d}t \left(\int_{c}^{b} r_{2}^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{2}}}(t) \, \mathrm{d}t \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}}} \left(\int_{a}^{b} |q(t)| \, \mathrm{d}t \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}.$$
 (12)

But (11) and (12) together imply (5). Moreover, it is obvious that *h* takes its minimum in (*a*, *b*), since it is continuous there and $\lim_{c \to a^+} h(c) = \lim_{c \to b^-} h(c) = \infty$.

In the case that $\frac{d}{dt}D^{(1)} y(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in [a, b]$, we consider three consecutive zeros of y(t). We give only sketch of the proof as it is almost copy of the previous one.

JOZEF KISEĽÁK

Theorem 2.2. If y(t) is a nonzero solution of (*E*) satisfying y(a) = y(d) = y(b) = 0, $\frac{d}{dt}D^{(1)}y(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in [a, d]$ and $y(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in (a, d) \cup (d, b)$. Then inequality (5) holds.

Proof. Conditions $y_0(a) = y_0(d) = y_0(b) = 0$ give us existence of $c_1 \in (a, d)$, $c_2 \in (d, b)$, s.t. $y_1(c_1) = y_1(c_2) = 0$ and further application of Rolle's theorem gives us existence of $e \in (c_1, c_2)$, s.t. $y_2(e) = 0$. Denoting by $c \in (a, d) \cup (d, b)$ a point where $\max_{t \in [a, b]} |y_0(t)| = |y_0(c)|$ and using previous procedure it can be proved that inequality (5) holds. Notice, that there can not be problem with continuity of *h* on (a, b).

Remark 2.1. Put $r_1(t) = r_2(t) = 1$. Since *h* attains minimum at $c = \frac{a+b}{2}$, then (5) reduces to

$$\int_{a}^{b} |q(t)| \,\mathrm{d}t > \left(\frac{2}{b-a}\right)^{\alpha_{2}(\alpha_{1}+1)}$$

Notice that in case $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1$ this inequality reduces to (2), which appears in the classical result.

Remark 2.2. Put $r_1(t) = r_2(t) = r(t)$ and $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha$ then (5) reduces to

$$\int_{a}^{b} |q(t)| \,\mathrm{d}t > \left(\frac{2}{\int_{a}^{b} r(t)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \,\mathrm{d}t}\right)^{\alpha(\alpha+1)}$$

since $\int_{a}^{c} r(t)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} dt = \int_{c}^{b} r(t)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} dt = \frac{1}{2} \int_{a}^{b} r(t)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} dt$ must hold for *c* in order to minimize *h* on (*a*, *b*) $\left(c = R^{-1}\left(\frac{R(b)+R(a)}{2}\right)\right)$, where *R* is antiderivative of $r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$.

3. Applications

Further we introduce some applications of the previous results for reduced equation (E).

Theorem 3.1. Let y(t) be an oscillatory solution of the reduced $(r_1(t) = r_2(t) = 1)$ equation (*E*) with increasing sequence of zeros $\{t_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and $q \in L^{\mu}([0,\infty],\mathbb{R}), \mu \in [1,\infty)$. Then distances between consecutive zeros $\{t_{k+1} - t_k\}$ or $\{t_{k+2} - t_k\}$ goes to infinity.

Proof. In a proof by contradiction we suppose that, in the case that $\frac{d}{dt}D^{(1)} y(t) = 0$ for some $t \in [t_k, t_{k+1}]$ for every large k, is not true that $\{t_{k+1} - t_k\} \to \infty$. Hence, there exist a subsequence $\{t_{k_n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ s.t. $(t_{k_{n+1}} - t_{k_n}) < K$ for every n, (K > 0). Let $\frac{d}{dt}D^{(1)} y(c_{k_n}) = 0$ for $c_{k_n} \in [t_{k_n}, t_{k_{n+1}}]$ and $\max_{t \in [t_{k_n}, t_{k_{n+1}}]} |y(t)| = |y(d_{k_n})|$ where $d_{k_n} \in (t_{k_n}, t_{k_{n+1}})$. Without loss of generality we can assume $t \in [t_{k_n} < d_{k_n}$. Then it follows that

$$\int_{t_{k_n}}^{t_{k_{n+1}}} |q(t)| \, \mathrm{d}t > \left(\frac{2}{d_{k_n} - t_{k_n}}\right)^{\beta + \alpha_2}$$

From integrability of *q* we have

$$\int_{t_{k_n}}^{\infty} |q(t)|^{\mu} \mathrm{d}t < \left(\frac{2^{\beta+\alpha_2}}{K^{\beta+\alpha_2+\frac{1}{\mu}}}\right)^{\mu},$$

for sufficiently large *n* and $\frac{1}{\mu} + \frac{1}{\tilde{\mu}} = 1$. Therefore using Hölder inequality we obtain

$$\begin{split} 1 < & \left(\frac{d_{k_n} - t_{k_n}}{2}\right)^{\beta + \alpha_2} \int_{t_{k_n}}^{t_{k_{n+1}}} |q(t)| \, \mathrm{d}t \le \frac{(t_{k_{n+1}} - t_{k_n})^{\beta + \alpha_2 + \frac{1}{\mu}}}{2^{\beta + \alpha_2}} \left(\int_{t_{k_n}}^{t_{k_{n+1}}} |q(t)|^{\mu} \, \mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}} \le \\ & \le \frac{(t_{k_{n+1}} - t_{k_n})^{\beta + \alpha_2 + \frac{1}{\mu}}}{2^{\beta + \alpha_2}} \left(\int_{t_{k_n}}^{\infty} |q(t)|^{\mu} \, \mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}} < \frac{K^{\beta + \alpha_2 + \frac{1}{\mu}}}{2^{\beta + \alpha_2}} \left(\frac{2^{\beta + \alpha_2}}{K^{\beta + \alpha_2 + \frac{1}{\mu}}}\right) = 1, \end{split}$$

a contradiction.

Now suppose that $\frac{d}{dt}D^{(1)} y(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in [t_k, t_{k+1}]$ (for some large k). In this case we consider three consecutive zeros $t_k < t_{k+1} < t_{k+2}$. Suppose that there exist subsequence $\{t_{k_n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ s.t. $(t_{k_{n+1}} - t_{k_n}) < M$ for every n, (M > 0) and $\frac{d}{dt}D^{(1)} y(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in [t_{k_n}, t_{k_{n+1}}]$. Since $y(t_{k_{n+2}}) = 0$, there exists a $c_{k_n} \in [t_{k_{n+1}}, t_{k_{n+2}}]$ such that $\frac{d}{dt}D^{(1)} y(c_{k_n}) = 0$. Now set $\max_{t \in [t_{k_n}, t_{k_{n+2}}]} |y(t)| = |y(d_{k_n})|$ where $d_{k_n} \in (t_{k_n}, t_{k_{n+1}}) \cup (t_{k_{n+1}}, t_{k_{n+2}})$. If $d_{k_n} \in (t_{k_{n+1}}, t_{k_{n+2}})$ then we can proceed as in the previous part of the proof. If $d_{k_n} \in (t_{k_n}, t_{k_{n+1}})$, then it follows that

$$\int_{t_{k_n}}^{t_{k_{n+2}}} |q(t)| \, \mathrm{d}t > \left(\frac{2}{d_{k_n} - t_{k_n}}\right)^{\beta + \alpha_2}$$

Therefore using Hölder inequality we obtain a contradiction as in the first part of the proof. $\hfill \Box$

The following theorems give us an estimation (upper bound) of the number of zeros of an oscillatory solution of reduced equation (E) on bounded interval [0, T].

Theorem 3.2. If y(t) is an oscillatory solution of reduced $(r_1(t) = r_2(t) = 1)$ equation (E) with zeros $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_N \le T$ and $\frac{d}{dt}D^{(1)}y(e_k) = 0$ for some $e_k \in [t_k, t_{k+1}], k = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$. Moreover let $\beta + \alpha_2 \ge 1$, then

$$T^{\beta+\alpha_2} \int_0^T |q(t)| \, \mathrm{d}t > 2^{\beta+\alpha_2} \, (N-1)^{\beta+\alpha_2+1}.$$

Proof. We know that $\int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} |q(t)| dt > \left(\frac{2}{t_{k+1} - t_k}\right)^{\beta + \alpha_2}, k = 1, \dots, N-1$. Thus, $\int_0^T |q(t)| dt \ge \int_{t_1}^{t_N} |q(t)| dt > \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \left(\frac{2}{t_{k+1} - t_k}\right)^{\beta + \alpha_2}.$

JOZEF KISEĽÁK

Now, using known inequality for the power mean with exponent $\beta + \alpha_2$ and arithmetic mean and inequality $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i \ge \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} A_i\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \ge \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{A_i}\right)^{-1}$, $A_i > 0$, $1 \le i \le n$, we obtain $\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \left(\frac{1}{t_{k+1} - t_k}\right)^{\beta + \alpha_2} \ge (N-1) \left(\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{t_{k+1} - t_k}\right)^{\beta + \alpha_2} \ge (N-1)^{\beta + \alpha_2 + 1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} (t_{k+1} - t_k)\right)^{-\beta - \alpha_2}$ $= (N-1)^{\beta + \alpha_2 + 1} (t_N - t_1)^{-\beta - \alpha_2} > \frac{(N-1)^{\beta + \alpha_2 + 1}}{T^{\beta + \alpha_2}}.$

This completes the proof.

Theorem 3.3. If y(t) is an oscillatory solution of reduced $(r_1(t) = r_2(t) = 1)$ equation (E) with zeros $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_{2N+1} \le T$ and $\frac{d}{dt}D^{(1)}y(t) \ne 0$ for $t \in [t_{2k-1}, t_{2k}]$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Moreover let $\beta + \alpha_2 \ge 1$, then

$$T^{\beta+\alpha_2} \int_0^T |q(t)| \, \mathrm{d}t > 2^{\beta+\alpha_2} N^{\beta+\alpha_2+1}.$$

The proof can be omitted as one can proceed similarly as in Theorem 3.2. We left the case $\beta + \alpha_2 \in (0, 1)$ as an open problem.

Example 3.1. For simplicity we consider exponents α_1 , α_2 to be the quotients of two odd numbers. Moreover, let the coefficients of the quasi-derivatives be identically constant. We study the following generalized Euler's differential equation on \mathbb{R}^+

$$\left(\left[\left([y']^{\alpha_1}\right)'\right]^{\alpha_2}\right)' + \frac{\gamma}{(t+1)^{\beta+\alpha_2+1}} y^{\beta} = 0.$$
(13)

We can proceed using the analogy with the linear Euler differential equation. If we denote as $D = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)^2 + 4\beta(\beta + \alpha_2)$, then the roots of an algebraic (indical) equation corresponding to a solution t^{λ} are

$$\lambda_{\pm} = \frac{\alpha_1 + 2\beta(1+\alpha_1) + \alpha_2 \pm \sqrt{D}}{2\alpha_1(1+\beta+\alpha_2)},$$

Although it has not been proven yet and it is only a conjecture, see [3], we believe that it can be shown the following. Constants $\gamma_{\pm} = \lambda_{\pm}^{\beta} (\lambda_{\pm} - 1)^{\alpha_2} \alpha_1^{\alpha_2} (\beta(\lambda_{\pm} - 1) - \alpha_2)$ decide whether (13) is oscillatory or not (notice, that in the linear case $\gamma_{\pm} = 2\sqrt{3}/9$). Be more precise, a conjecture states that if $\gamma \in [\gamma_{-}, \gamma_{+}]$ then equation (13) is nonoscillatory, otherwise it is oscillatory. Thus we can state at least estimate for γ . So, from the previous reflections we have

$$\frac{|\gamma|(1-(T+1)^{-\beta-\alpha_2})T^{\beta+\alpha_2}}{\beta+\alpha_2} > 2^{\beta+\alpha_2}(N-1)^{\beta+\alpha_2+1}.$$

 \Box

Example 3.2. Finally we give an application of the obtained result for the following eigenvalue problem

$$D^{(3)} y \pm \lambda q(t) \Phi_{\beta}[y] = 0,$$

$$y(a) = y(c) = y(b) = 0, \quad a < c < b.$$
(14)

Let the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 be fulfilled, then it follows that

(0)

$$|\lambda| > \frac{H^{\beta}}{2^{\beta} \int_{a}^{b} |q(t)| \,\mathrm{d}t},$$

where $H = \min_{c \in [a,b]} h(c)$ and *h* is function defined in Theorem 2.1. Especially for the reduced problem ($r_1(t) = r_2(t) = 1$) we obtain

$$|\lambda| > \frac{2^{\beta + \alpha_2}}{(b-a)^{\beta + \alpha_2} \int_a^b |q(t)| \,\mathrm{d}t}$$

References

- [1] D. Çakmak, *Lyapunov-type integral inequalities for certain higher order differential equations*, Appl. Math. Comput., **216**(2010), 368–373.
- [2] O. Došlý and P. Řehák, *Half-linear differential equations*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies 202. Amsterdam: Elsevier. xiv, 517 p., 2005.
- [3] J. Kisel'ák, *Integral comparison theorem for half-linear third-order differential equations*, Adv. Differ. Equ. Control Process, **8**(2011), 23–32.
- [4] M. Naito, *Existence and asymptotic behavior of positive solutions of higher-order quasilinear ordinary differential equations*, Math. Nachr., **279**(2006), 198–216.
- [5] S. Panigrahi, *Liapunov-type integral inequalities for certain higher-order differential equations*, Electron. J. Differ. Equ., 2009(2009), 1–14.
- [6] S. Panigrahi and N. Parhi, On Liapunov-type inequality for third-order differential equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 233(1999), 445–460.
- [7] N. Parhi, and S. Panigrahi, *Lyapunov-type inequality for delay-differential equations of third order*, Czech. Math. J., **52**(2002), 385–399.
- [8] X. Yang, Y.-I. Kim and K. Lo, *Lyapunov-type inequality for a class of odd-order differential equations*, J. Comput. Appl. Math., **234**(2010), 2962–2968.

Institute of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, PJ.Šafárik University in Košice, Jesenná 5, 040 01 Košice, Slovakia.

E-mail: jozef.kiselak@upjs.sk